

# **AGENDA**

## **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**April 13-14, 2005  
Stockton, California**

---

### **Wednesday, April 13, 2005**

- 1:00 p.m.**                      **Workshop – 2006 STIP Fund Estimate Assumptions**  
**San Joaquin Council of Governments**  
**Auditorium**  
**555 East Weber Avenue**  
**Stockton, California 95202**
- 3:15 p.m. or upon completion**  
**of the Workshop**              **Commission Meeting**  
**San Joaquin Council of Governments**  
**Auditorium**  
**555 East Weber Avenue**  
**Stockton, California 95202**
- 5:30 p.m.**                      **Reception**  
**San Joaquin Council of Governments**  
**Patio Area**  
**555 East Weber Avenue**  
**Stockton, California 95202**
- 7:00 p.m.**                      **Commissioner's Dinner**  
**Ernie's on the Brick Walk**  
**296 Lincoln Center**  
**Stockton, California**

### **Thursday, April 14, 2005**

- 8:00 a.m.**                      **Commission Meeting**  
**San Joaquin Council of Governments**  
**Auditorium**  
**555 East Weber Avenue**  
**Stockton, California 95202**

#### **CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:**

The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

*Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:  
[www.catc.ca.gov](http://www.catc.ca.gov)

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

#### **NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):**

**CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined**  
**CTC Commission Meeting – May 25-26, 2005, Sacramento**

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**FREQUENTLY USED TERMS:** California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

**GENERAL BUSINESS**

	1.		
• Roll Call	1.1	I	C
• Approval of Minutes for March 4, 2005 Meeting	1.2	A	C
• Commission Reports	1.3	A	C
• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.4	A	C
• Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary	1.5	I	B

**CALTRANS REPORT**

• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	1.6	I	D
• Transportation Systems Performance Measures Prototype Report.	1.7	A	D

**REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT**

• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.8	I	R
• Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.9	I	R

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>INFORMATION CALENDAR</u></b>	IC	I	C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To Be Determined</li> </ul>			
	<b><u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u></b>	CC	A	C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To Be Determined</li> </ul>			
	<b><u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u></b>	2.		
	<b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u></b>	2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STIP Amendment 04S-019 The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment. SBCAG proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,766,000 in RIP funds from seven local road projects to two existing projects: \$2,742,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project (PPNO 4460) in FY 2005-06 for Construction, and \$24,000 to SBCAG's Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 1834) in FY 2007-08. To accomplish this, SBCAG proposes to: transfer a total of \$1,367,000 in FY 2005-06 from four local road projects (PPNOs 1194, 1194C, 1197 and 1202); move \$859,000 in FY 2005-06 from PPNO 1194B and \$310,000 in FY 2004-05 from PPNO 0223G; and move \$230,000 of RIP and RIP TE funds in FY 2005-06 from the Coast Route Bike Path Improvement project (PPNO 0223E) and program \$206,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project and \$24,000 to SBCAG's TE Reserve. In the 2004 STIP, the Construction and Construction Support phases are programmed on Santa Maria Six-Lane project in FY 2004-05. The funding for this project did not meet the allocation criteria established and adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting, therefore the funding will be moved to FY 2005-06. The affected local agencies are in agreement with SBCAG's proposal. This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1a.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STIP Amendment 04S-020 The City of Portola and Plumas County are requesting this amendment. The City of Portola and Plumas County propose to shift \$1,138,000 of RIP Construction funds programmed on the A-15 Reconstruction project (PPNO 2371) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2007-08 and to reprogram \$30,000 of R/W in FY 2005-06 to PA&amp;ED in FY 2005-06. In addition, Plumas County also proposes to make minor programming and schedule changes to ten other existing local projects. These changes result in a net decrease of \$2,000 of RIP funds in FY 2007-08 to be returned to the county share.</li> </ul>	2.1a.(2)	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-021 The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA), City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) are requesting this amendment. CCTA, C/CAG, and VTA propose to shift a total of \$3,531,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) programming in FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08 on four projects (PPNOs 2011K, 2025C, 2140C and 2255B).</li> </ul>	2.1a.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-022 The City of Portola and Plumas County Transportation Commission (PCTC) are requesting this amendment. PCTC proposes to transfer a total of \$474,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 3209) to a new Portola Pedestrian Improvement project (PPNO <del>2440</del> <b>3209A</b>) as follows: \$30,000 in FY 2005-06 for PS&amp;E and \$444,000 in FY 2006-07 for Construction.</li> </ul>	2.1a.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-023 The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment. VCTC proposes to shift \$4,778,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09 from the Route 101 Improvements project (PPNO 2291) to the Lewis Road Widening project (PPNO 7100) to accommodate a cost increase. The Lewis Road Widening project is currently programmed with \$18,222,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09; an additional \$4,778,000 is needed for construction cost increases. VCTC is also requesting the Commission approve an AB 3090 Reimbursement for the Lewis Road Widening project. VCTC will use local bond funds to award the contract in FY 2004-05 and program \$23,000,000 in RIP funds for cash reimbursement (PPNO 3626) in FY 2010-11.</li> </ul>	2.1a.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-025 The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is requesting this amendment. SFCTA proposes to move \$1,251,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2007S) funds programmed in FY 2005-06 to four new eligible projects as follows: 1) \$368,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Bicycle Shared Lane Pavement Stencils project (PPNO 2007T); 2) \$283,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Merchant Road Improvement project (PPNO 2007U); 3) \$400,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Downtown Ferry Terminal Public Pier project (PPNO 2007V); and 4) \$200,000 in FY 2004-05 for the San Francisco Bikestation – 4<sup>th</sup> and King Caltrain Station project (PPNO 2007W). (<i>Concurrent item under 2.5c.(9).</i>)</li> </ul>	2.1a.(6)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-026 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to reprogram from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06 a total of \$71,263,000 (\$61,639,000 in RIP funds and \$9,624,000 in IIP funds) for various components on 37 projects not included in the priority categories list adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting. An additional \$2,454,000 in RIP funds for subsequent components on three projects will require reprogramming from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1a.(7)		A D
	<p><b><u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u></b></p>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-024 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA is requesting \$7,645,000 in previously approved AB 3090 Replacement project funding (PPNOs 3345, 3332, 3376, 3377, 3378, 3379 and 3380) in FY 2005-06 be designated for Construction (CON) and CON Support on the Route 60 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0482R) to backfill the reduction in non-STIP funding on the project. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-027 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA proposes to reprogram a total of \$4,777,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds from five existing TE projects to ten new TE projects. LACMTA is also proposing to adjust the costs and schedules for 22 additional TE projects. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(2)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-028 The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) is requesting this amendment. SANDAG proposes to reprogram \$5,000,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 7421) funds in Construction from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-029 The County of Sacramento is requesting this amendment. The County of Sacramento proposes to change the implementing agency for the U.S. Highway 50 at Mather Field Road Interchange Landscaping project (PPNO 2L66) from Sacramento County to the City of Rancho Cordova for both the PS&amp;E and Construction phases of the project.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(4)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-030 The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is requesting this amendment. MCOG proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,000,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 4112) to two existing projects and one new project as follows: \$384,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway project (PPNO 4060); \$91,000 in FY 2005-06 and \$280,000 in FY 2006-07 for the Ukiah and Talmage Bike and Pedestrian Facilities project (PPNO 4100P); and \$1,245,000 in FY 2007-08 for the new TE eligible Downtown Streetscape, Phase III project (PPNO 4112A). MCOG also proposes to make minor changes to two existing projects as follows: reprogram \$69,000 from PS&amp;E in FY 2005-06 to Construction in FY 2005-06 and shorten project limits for the Franklin Street Reconstruction project (PPNO 4087P); and reprogram R/W from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 for the East Side Potter Valley Road Reconstruction Phase I project (PPNO 4073P).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(5)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-031 The City of Redding (City) and the Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency (Shasta RTPA) are requesting this amendment. The City and Shasta RTPA propose to combine the Cypress A – Widen Bridge Over Sacramento River project (Cypress A) (PPNO 2037) and the Cypress B – Widen Bridge Approaches (Cypress B) project (PPNO 2038). This programming action will shift \$2,253,000 in RIP funds from Cypress B (PPNO 2038) to the Cypress A project (PPNO 2037) in FY 2005-06, and revise the scope of the Cypress A project to include “Widen Bridge Approaches.” This amendment is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(6)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-032 Trinity County Transportation Commission (Trinity CTC) is requesting this amendment. Trinity CTC proposes to reprogram \$3,950,000 in RIP Construction (CON) funds on the Hyampom Road Widening project (PPNO 2140) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. In addition, Trinity CTC proposes to reprogram \$729,000 of CON on the Hyampom Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2216) and \$175,000 of CON on the Browns Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 2223), for a total of \$904,000 from FY2006-07 to FY 2005-06.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(7)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-033 The Lake County/City Area Planning Council (LAPC) is requesting this amendment. LAPC proposes to reprogram \$48,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds from two existing TE projects, the South Main Street Rehabilitation project (PPNO 3032R)(\$22,000) and the Soda Bay Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 3033R) (\$26,000), to a new Main Street Kelseyville Walkway Landscaping and Lighting project (PPNO 3036A). To fully fund PPNO 3036A, LAPC is requesting to reprogram \$162,000 from the TE Reserve (PPNO 3036). LAPC also proposes to reprogram the remaining RIP TE Reserve funds of \$470,000 on a new Lake County Fairgrounds Sidewalks project (PPNO 3036B). This is a revenue neutral programming action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(8)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-034 The Tehama County Transportation Commission (Tehama CTC) is requesting this amendment. Tehama CTC proposes to reprogram RIP funds between fiscal years and/or components for 11 local road projects. The programming changes are necessary to keep these projects consistent with the staffing levels and modified delivery plan for Tehama County.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(9)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-035 The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to reprogram \$353,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve funds (PPNO 3124) in FY 2005-06 and reprogram \$156,000 in RIP TE funds from FY 2006-07 to FY 2005-06, to fund two new FY 2005-06 TE projects: the Route 70 and Montgomery Street Beautification project (PPNO 3124B) for \$358,000 and the Skyway Lookout Point project (PPNO 3124D) for \$151,000.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(10)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-036 The Modoc County Transportation Commission (Modoc CTC) is requesting this amendment. The City of Alturas and Modoc CTC propose to make the following changes to the RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 3210): advance and reprogram \$723,000 in the TE Reserve (PPNO 3210) from FY 2008-09 to FY 2005-06; revise the project description from "TE Reserve" to "Alturas Scenic Beautification"; and program an additional \$277,000 from Modoc County's unprogrammed share to FY 2005-06 to fully fund the new Alturas Scenic Beautification project for a total of \$1,000,000, including \$965,000 for Construction (CON), \$25,000 for PS&amp;E, and \$10,000 for CON Support.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(11)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-037 The Siskiyou County Transportation Commission (Siskiyou CTC) is requesting this amendment. Siskiyou CTC proposes to reprogram \$58,000 from the RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2398) in FY 2006-07 to a new Collier Interpretive Information Center project (PPNO 2398A) in FY 2005-06.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(12)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-038 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. The City of Blythe proposes to reprogram \$84,000 of RIP funds from PA&amp;ED and PS&amp;E to Construction (CON) in FY 2007-08 on the Lovekin Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 0005K). The City of Blythe also proposed to reprogram \$103,000 of RIP funds from PA&amp;ED and PS&amp;E to CON Support in FY 2007-08 on the Intake Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 0096E), which is a Local Capital Outlay project . The City of Blythe will use local funds to complete the PA&amp;ED and PS&amp;E phases for both projects.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(13)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-039 The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is requesting this amendment. SANBAG proposes to reprogram \$12,473,000 in FY 2004-05 RIP funds from the Route 10 Widening project (PPNO 0159D) to the Route 215 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0247D) in FY 2006-07. To keep the Route 10 Widening project (PPNO 0159D) on schedule, SANBAG will use Local Measure I and Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds in FY 2004-05. In addition, SANBAG proposes to reschedule the Construction (CON) RIP funds on PPNO 0247D as follows: \$62,960,000 (\$56,210,000 in CON and \$6,750,000 in CON Support) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and \$63,133,000 (\$43,673,000 in CON and \$19,460,000 in CON Support) from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(14)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-040 The County of Sierra is requesting this amendment. The County of Sierra proposes to delete the Ridge Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 1L61) and reprogram the \$195,000 in RIP Construction funds to the Goodyear's Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 1L27) in FY 2005-06. These funds will be used to match Federal Highway Bridge Rehabilitation and Replacement (HBRR) program funds.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(15)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-041 The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is requesting this amendment. SFCTA proposes to reprogram a total of \$1,461,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction (CON) funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 2007S) to three new projects as follows: \$276,000 in FY 2005-06 for CON for the Rehabilitation of Historic Streetcar #1 (PPNO 2007X); \$105,000 in FY 2005-06 for PS&amp;E and \$198,000 in FY 2006-07 for CON for the Pedestrian Safety and Access Education Program project (PPNO 2007Y); and \$5,000 in FY 2005-06 for PA&amp;ED, \$78,000 in FY 2006-07 for PS&amp;E, and \$799,000 in FY 2007-08 for CON for the Stockton Street Tunnel Lighting and Pedestrian Improvements project (PPNO 2007Z). Additionally, SFCTA proposes to reprogram six AB 3090 Replacement projects (PPNOs: 1007A, 2014B, 2014F, 2014G, 2014M, and 2014P) totaling \$5,551,000 in RIP funds in FY 2007-08 to R/W on the existing Doyle Drive Replacement Project (PPNO 0619A). The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(16)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-042 The Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) and the Department are requesting this amendment. SCTA and the Department propose to reprogram \$13,759,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2004-05 from the Steel Lane Interchange Improvements project (PPNO 0789B) to the Route 101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes – Route 12 to Steele Lane project (PPNO 0789A) in FY 2005-06 for Construction. In addition, SCTA proposes to reprogram \$1,988,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 5156A) funds in FY 2005-06 as follows: \$685,000 in FY 2006-07; \$639,000 in FY 2007-08; and \$664,000 in FY 2008-09. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(17)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-043 The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) is requesting this amendment. VTA proposes to reprogram a total of \$3,822,000 in STIP funds for the Route 152/Route 156 Interchange Improvements project (PPNO 0070) as follows: \$1,991,000 in RIP funds from R/W and Construction (CON) Support to CON, and \$1,831,000 in IIP funds from R/W and CON Support to CON. In addition, VTA proposes to reprogram \$3,700,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) CON funds for the Borregas Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridges project (PPNO 2015B) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(18)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-044 The Solano Transportation Authority (STA) is requesting this amendment. STA proposes to reprogram \$1,500,000 of RIP funds in FY 2005-06 from the Route 80 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Westbound Gap Closure project (PPNO 0261F) in Contra Costa County to the Route 80 Reliever Route/Jepson Parkway project (PPNO 5301) in FY 2006-07 in Solano County. In addition, STA proposes to reprogram \$2,000,000 in RIP funds from PA&amp;ED in FY 2005-06 to PS&amp;E in FY 2006-07 on the Route 80/Route 680/Route 12 Interchange/Connector Phase 2 project (PPNO 5301K). Contra Costa Transportation Authority and Metropolitan Transportation Commission concur with this action.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(19)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-045 The Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG) is requesting this amendment. MCAG requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. MCAG proposes to use local developer and regional Transportation Impact Fees to replace \$3,269,000 in RIP funds in FY 2004-05 for PA&amp;ED on the Castle Highway project (PPNO 5264), with later reimbursement (PPNO 5264B) in FY 2008-09. The local developer contribution will be in the form of a loan and must be paid pack at a later date.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(20)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-046 The County of Sierra is requesting this amendment. The County of Sierra proposes that the Sierra Valley Visitor's Center project (PPNO 3117) programmed for \$135,000 for Construction be split between the two locations within the project: \$40,000 for the Calpine location in FY 2005-06, and \$95,000 for the Sierraville location in FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(21)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-047 The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) and the City of Santa Cruz are requesting this amendment. The City of Santa Cruz proposes to reprogram \$1,336,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds on the Broadway-Brommer Bicycle/Pedestrian Path project (PPNO 1822) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The SCCRTC proposes to reprogram \$40,000 of RIP TE PS&amp;E funds on the Coastal Bike/Pedestrian Trail project (PPNO 1826) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(22)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-048 The City of Santa Barbara, the City of Goleta and the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) are requesting this amendment. The City of Santa Barbara proposes to reprogram \$160,000 of RIP funds in PA&amp;ED and PS&amp;E from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and reprogram \$590,000 of RIP Construction (CON) from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08 on the Las Positas Road project (PPNO 0820). In addition, the City of Goleta proposes to reprogram \$200,000 of RIP PA&amp;ED in FY 2005-06 on the San Jose Creek Class I Bikeway (Environmental) project (PPNO 1204) to PS&amp;E in FY 2006-07 and modify the project title and description. The City of Goleta also proposes to reprogram \$4,561,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 on the Fowler Road and Ekwill Street Extension project (PPNO 4611).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(23)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-049 The Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC) and Monterey County are requesting this amendment. Monterey County proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,010,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 on two projects as follows: \$1,960,000 for the Abbott Street Overlay project (PPNO 1151) and \$50,000 for the Davis Road Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 1152). Monterey County also proposes to reprogram a total \$1,700,000 of RIP Construction (CON) in FY 2005-06 to PS&amp;E in FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07 on two additional projects as follows: \$200,000 for the Hall Road Overlay project (PPNO 1153) and \$1,500,000 for the Pajaro Yard and Rail Station Improvements project (PPNO 1155).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(24)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-050 The Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC) and the City of Madera are requesting this amendment. The City of Madera proposes to reduce the programmed project scope, modify the project limits, and remove a total of \$3,436,000 of local funds programmed for Construction Support and Construction on the South Madera and Gateway Improvement project (PPNO 5355).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(25)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-051 The Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG) is requesting this amendment. StanCOG proposes to reprogram \$2,343,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 0019) funds to 12 new eligible projects in FY 2004-05 and FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(26)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-052 The Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA) is requesting this amendment. SCRRA requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. SCRRA will use Orange County Transportation Authority's Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and local funds to replace a total of \$5,000,000 in IIP funds, including \$350,000 for PS&amp;E in FY 2006-07 and \$4,650,000 for Construction in FY 2007-08, for the Los Angeles Storage Facilities and Track project (PPNO 2023). SCRRA proposes to program a total of \$5,000,000 in IIP funds in FY 2008-09 for a replacement project to be determined at a later date. The Orange County Transportation Authority concurs with this request.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(27)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-053 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC proposes to reprogram a total of \$7,191,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 on the following three local projects: \$1,967,000 on the Moreno Valley - Reche Vista Drive Realignment and Signal project (PPNO 9993); \$3,184,000 on the Moreno Valley - Perris Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 1005); and \$2,040,000 on the City of Indio - Miles Avenue/Clinton Street Widening and Bridge Construction project (PPNO 1101).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(28)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-054 The Napa County Transportation Planning Agency (NCTPA) is requesting this amendment. NCTPA proposes to reprogram \$623,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction Reserve (PPNO 2130B) funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(29)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-055 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to reprogram eight projects with RIP and IIP funds. The result is a net decrease of \$7,838,000 RIP and \$9,946,000 IIP in FY 2005-06; a net increase of \$2,796,000 RIP and \$7,886,000 IIP in FY 2006-07; and a net increase of \$5,042,000 RIP and \$2,060,000 IIP in FY 2007-08.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(30)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-056 The Mono County Local Transportation Commission (LTC) and Mono County are requesting this amendment. Mono County proposes to reprogram \$2,480,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08, and \$3,082,000 of RIP funds from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08 on the following four local road rehabilitation projects: \$1,333,000 on the Lundy Lake Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2009); \$1,031,000 on the Owens Gorge Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2012); \$1,396,000 on the Owens River Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2020); and \$1,802,000 on the Bridgeport Street Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2021).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(31)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-057 The Inyo County Local Transportation Commission, Inyo County, and the City of Bishop are requesting this amendment. Inyo County proposes to reprogram \$2,540,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 through FY 2008-09 on 11 local road projects. The City of Bishop proposes to reprogram \$519,000 in FY 2005-06 RIP funds by deleting the Pavement Management Plan project (PPNO 1503), and reprogramming \$319,000 to the Home Street Reconstruction project (PPNO 0005) in FY 2005-06 and \$200,000 to the Maclver Street Extension project (PPNO 1502) in FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(32)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-058 The San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG) and San Luis Obispo County are requesting this amendment. San Luis Obispo County proposes to reprogram \$825,000 of RIP Construction funds on the Price Canyon Road Widening project (PPNO 2071) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(33)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-059 The Department and San Diego Associated Governments (SANDAG) are requesting this amendment. The Department and SANDAG propose to reprogram \$12,500,000 of Regional Implementation Plan (RIP) funds and \$37,500,000 of Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds on the Route 905 freeway – Otay Mesa project (PPNO 0374K) in San Diego County from Construction to R/W in FY 2005-06.</li> </ul>	2.1b.(34)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STIP Amendment 04S-060 The Department, Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) are requesting this amendment. The Department, MTC, and TAM propose to reprogram \$11,858,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 for the Route 101 HOV Lane Gap Closure project, Segment 3 (PPNO 0342L).</li> </ul>	2.1b.(35)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u></b>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval. – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(1)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Two application amendments, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TAA-05-03, amending Resolutions TAA-04-07 and TAA-04-09</li> <li>-- Project #38.1 – Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; (A) build an East-West Bus Rapid Transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor, from North Hollywood to Warner Center. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-07. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i></li> <li>-- Project #49.1 – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; Intermodal facility at Highland Avenue and Hawthorn Avenue in the City of Los Angeles. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation requests amendment to update project schedule previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-09.</li> </ul>	2.1c.(2)	<b>A</b>	<b>D/C</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals. – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.1c.(3)		
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1d.		
	<b><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.1e.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters</u></b>	2.2		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></b>	2.2a.		
	<b><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u></b>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Route 65 in Tulare County – Widen to four-lane expressway near Terra Bella (DEIR).</li> </ul>	2.2b.(1)	<b>A</b>	<b>D</b>

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Draft EIS for Fremont to Warm Springs Extension.</li> </ul>	2.2b.(2)		A C
	<p><b><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></b></p>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 33 in Kern and Kings Counties – Pavement rehabilitation near Devil’s Den (ND). Resolution E-05-05</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – Route 10 in San Bernardino County – Mixed-flow lane widening project in Redlands (ND). Resolution E-05-06</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)		A D
	<p><b><u>Highway Route Matters</u></b></p>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Route Adoptions – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Public Road Connections – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.3b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relinquishment Resolutions</li> </ul>	2.3c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Relinquishment Resolution 3-Sac-275-KP 0.11/1.13 Route 275 in the City of Sacramento Resolution R-3601</li> </ul>	2.3c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Relinquishment Resolution 8-SBd-58-PM 36.1/37.0 &amp; 39.3/39.4 Route 58 in the City of Barstow Resolution R-3605</li> </ul>	2.3c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vacation Resolutions</li> </ul>	2.3d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Vacation Resolution 03-Sac-5 PM 23.5 Route 5 in the City of Sacramento Resolution A-858</li> </ul>	2.3d.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- One Vacation Resolution 02-Teh-172 PM 5.6/6.3 Route 172 in the County of Tehama Resolution A-859</li> </ul>	2.3d.(2)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u></b>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One Resolution of Necessity Appearance -- County of San Diego 11-SD-125-KP 18.1 Resolution C-19011</li> </ul>	2.4a.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 48 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19012 through C-19059</li> </ul>	2.4b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.4c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Director’s Deeds</li> </ul>	2.4d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Items #1 through #38 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$9,351,549 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Items #1 through #3 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$10,450 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Items #1 through #12 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$3,268,212 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Item #1 and #2 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$6,895,000 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Item #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$49,100 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(5)		A D
	<b><u>Highway Financial Matters</u></b>			
	\$ 104,313,000 Total Funds Requested for Allocation			
	\$ 18,338,800 Contributions from Other Sources			
	\$ 122,962,800 Grand Total			
	<b><u>Financial Allocations for Minor Projects</u></b>	2.5a		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for Six Minor Projects totaling \$2,474,000. Resolution FP-04-40</li> </ul>	2.5a.(1)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Minor Projects not proposed for allocation at this time – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5a.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for 14 Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$6,575,000 plus \$122,800 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-41</li> </ul>	2.5a.(3)		A D
	<b><u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u></b>	2.5b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for 11 SHOPP Projects totaling \$83,514,000. Resolution FP-04-42</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$5,658,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-04-43</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5b.(3)		
	<b><u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects</u></b>	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for State Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(1)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Five Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$1,036,000 plus \$15,321,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-44</li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for State Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects - <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for 11 Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$3,066,000 plus \$2,455,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-45</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects for \$20,000. Resolution FP-04-46</li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Locally Administered Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(7)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STIP Project Advancements – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5c.(8)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects for \$200,000 plus \$440,000 from other sources. (<i>Concurrent item under 2.1a.(6).</i>) Resolution FP-04-47</li> </ul>	2.5c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Two projects totaling \$1,320,000. Resolution FA-04-05</li> </ul>	2.5e.		A D
	<p>-- 07-LA-110 – STIP project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$16,100,000. This request for \$1,000,000 to close out the construction contract results in an increase of 37% over the voted amount.</p> <p>-- 12-Ora-73 – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$1,095,000. This request for \$320,000 to complete the construction contract results in an increase of 55% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<b><u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></b>	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Emergency G-11 Allocations. 61 projects totaling \$50,270,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Safety G-03-10 Allocations. Eight projects totaling \$24,769,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project in Humboldt County for \$450,000. Resolution FP-04-48</li> </ul>	2.5g.		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

**Mass Transportation Financial Matters**

- \$ 0 Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) Funds Requested for Allocation
- \$ 0 Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) Funds Requested for Allocation
- \$ 0 Total Proposition 116 Program Funds Requested for Allocation
- \$ 0 Grand Total State Allocation Funds Requested for Allocation

**Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit** 2.6a.

- Financial Allocation for Local Rail/Transit STIP Projects 2.6a.(1)  
– **No Items This Month**

- Financial Allocation for Intercity Rail STIP Projects – 2.6a.(2)  
**No Items This Month**

- Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Non-Urban 2.6b.  
County Program Projects – **No Items This Month**

- Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 2.6c.  
Program Projects – **No Items This Month**

- Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program 2.6d.  
Funded Projects – **No Items this Month**

**Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations /** 2.6e.  
**Allocation Amendments**

- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation 2.6e. **A D/C**  
Amendment Approval. One allocation amendment  
request, no net change in TCR funding.  
Resolution TFP-05-03, amending Resolution TFP-04-04  
(*Related item under 2.1c.(2)*)

**Aeronautics Financial Matters – No Items This Month** 2.7

**Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution** 2.8  
**G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of**  
**Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests /**  
**Miscellaneous Requests**

- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for 2.8a. **A D**  
six local STIP projects totaling \$1,416,000.  
Waiver-05-06

- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – **No** 2.8b.  
**Items This Month**

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion</b></li> </ul>	2.8c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one local road STIP project for \$1,135,000. Waiver-05-07</li> </ul>	2.8c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one Intercity Rail STIP project on the Capitol Corridor Route for \$20,160,000. Waiver-05-08</li> </ul>	2.8c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure for three local STIP projects totaling \$181,000. Waiver-05-09</li> </ul>	2.8d.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement for \$1,147,000 on one local STIP project for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Waiver-05-10</li> </ul>	2.8e.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <b><u>No Items This Month</u></b></li> </ul>	2.8f.		
	<b><u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved Resolutions</u></b>	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Technical Change to Resolutions FP-01-101, FP-02-95, and FP-03-35, originally approved on June 13, 2002, June 26, 2003, and February 26, 2004, respectively, totaling \$1,955,000 for one Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project. A technical correction is needed to modify the State/federal funding split on each allocation. No change to the overall amount allocated.</li> </ul>	2.9		A D
	<b><u>PROGRAM STATUS</u></b>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report</li> </ul>	3.1		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.3		I D
	• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded	3.4		I D
	• Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service	3.5		I C
	• Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects Not Yet Awarded	3.6		I D
	• Status Report on Proposition 116 Programs	3.7		I C
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS</u></b>	4.		
	• State and Federal Legislative Matters *	4.1		A C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.			
	• Adoption of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants	4.2		A D
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations -- 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200.	4.3		I D
	• Department's Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan.	4.4		I D
	• Dissolution of the Commission's Airspace Advisory Committee.	4.5		A C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES</u></b>	5.		
	<b><u>Rail</u></b>	5.1		
	• Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors.	5.1a.		
	-- Capitol Corridor	5.1a.(1)	I	R
	-- Pacific Surfliner	5.1a.(2)	I	D
	-- San Joaquin	5.1a.(3)	I	D
	<b><u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u></b>	6.		