# **OVERVIEW AGENDA**

# **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

http://www.catc.ca.gov

# March 2-3, 2005 Sacramento, California

## Wednesday, March 2, 2005

9:15 a.m.	California Transportation Foundation's 5 <sup>th</sup> Annual Transportation Forum Reservations Required: call 916-453-1767 or e-mail ctffoundation@aol.com					
	Sacramento Convention Center, Room 202 13 <sup>th</sup> and K Streets Sacramento, California					
6:30 p.m.	Commissioners' Dinner					
	Lucca Restaurant 1615 J Street Sacramento, California					
<u>Thursday. March 3. 20</u>	<u>05</u>					
9:00 a.m.	Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)					
	Department of Water Resources Auditorium, First Floor 1416 9 <sup>th</sup> Street Sacramento, California					
CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:						

<u>CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:</u> The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation: <u>Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al.</u>, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

# <u>NOTE: The Closed Session will be scheduled in conjunction with the lunch break. Following</u> the lunch break the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website: <u>www.catc.ca.gov</u>

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

<u>NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):</u> CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined CTC Commission Meeting – April 13-14, 2005, Stockton

<u>Tab # /</u> Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Status*
THILE				

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**FREQUENTLY USED TERMS:** California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

## Thursday, March 3, 2005

9:00 a.m.

Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)

Department of Water Resources Auditorium, First Floor 1416 9<sup>th</sup> Street Sacramento, California

<u>NOTICE:</u> All times indicated on this agenda are <u>estimates</u> only. Agenda items may be moved unless indicated by the notation "TIMED ITEM." TIMED ITEMS may not be heard prior to the Time scheduled but may be heard at, or anytime after, the Time scheduled.

9:00 am	<u>GENERAL BUSINESS (#1-5)</u>	1.			
1.	Roll Call	1.1	Joe Tavaglione	I	С
2.	Approval of Minutes for January 20, 2005 Meeting	1.2	Joe Tavaglione	Α	С
3.	<ul> <li>Commission Reports</li> <li> Appointment of Committee Chairs and Members</li> </ul>	1.3	Joe Tavaglione	Α	С
4.	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.4	Joe Tavaglione	Α	С
5.	<ul> <li>Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary</li> </ul>	1.5	Sunne McPeak	I	В
9:15 am	CALTRANS REPORT (#6-16)				
6.	<ul> <li>Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director</li> </ul>	1.6	Will Kempton	I	D
7.	<ul> <li>Status Report on Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program</li> </ul>	4.8	Will Kempton	I	D

<u>Tab # /</u> Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Presenter</u>	Stat	us*
8.	<ul> <li>2004 3rd Quarter Report on Seismic Safety Retrofit Program</li> </ul>	3.13	Will Kempton	I	D
9.	Transportation Performance Measures	4.7	Will Kempton	Α	D/C
10.	<ul> <li>Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report</li> </ul>	3.1	Norma Ortega	I	D
11.	Overview of 2006 Fund Estimate Development	4.5	Norma Ortega	I	D
12.	<ul> <li>Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects Not Yet Awarded</li> </ul>	3.6	Ross Chittenden	I	D
13.	<ul> <li>Local Assistance Quarterly AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ, and Regional TEA Balances</li> </ul>	3.10	Terry Abbott	I	D
14.	<ul> <li>Local Assistance FY 2004-05 Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report</li> </ul>	3.11	Terry Abbott	I	D
15.	<ul> <li>FY 2004-05 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Project Delivery Report</li> </ul>	3.8	Jay Norvell	I	D
16.	• FY 2004-05 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter Rail Operations Report	3.9	Bill Bronte	I	D
10:50 am	MODAL ISSUES (Intercity Rail) (#17-19)				
	<ul> <li>Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors.</li> </ul>				
17.	Pacific Surfliner	5.1a.(2)	Bill Bronte	I	D
18.	San Joaquin	5.1a.(3)	Bill Bronte	I	D
19.	Capitol Corridor	5.1a.(1)	Gene Skoropowski	I	R
11:25 am	REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPOR	<u>RT (#20-21</u> )			
20.	Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.7	Celia McAdam	I	R
21.	Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.8	Kathy Mathews	I	R

Tab		Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Stat	<u>us*</u>
Tim	e					
11:3	5 am	INFORMATION CALENDAR (#22-33)	IC	Stephen Maller	I	С
22.	IC	• STIP Amendment 04S-020 The City of Portola and Plumas County are requesting this amendment. The City of Portola and Plumas County propose to shift \$1,138,000 of RIP Construction funds programmed on the A-15 Reconstruction project (PPNO 2371) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2007-08 and to reprogram \$30,000 of R/W in FY 2005-06 to PA&ED in FY 2005-06. In addition, Plumas County also proposes to make minor programming and schedule changes to ten other existing local projects. These changes result in a net decrease of \$2,000 of RIP funds in FY 2007-08 to be returned to the county share.	2.1b.(2)		I	D
23.	IC	• STIP Amendment 04S-021 The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA), City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) are requesting this amendment. CCTA, C/CAG, and VTA propose to shift a total of \$3,531,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) programming in FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08 on four projects (PPNOs 2011K, 2025C, 2140C and 2255B).	2.1b.(3)		I	D
24.	IC	• STIP Amendment 04S-026 The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to reprogram from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06 \$49,839,000 in RIP funds and \$9,434,000 in IIP funds for various components on 37 projects not included in the priority categories list adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting.	2.1b.(7)		I	D
25.	IC	<ul> <li>Informational Report on Emergency G-11 Allocations.</li> <li>14 projects totaling \$8,187,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)		I	D
26.	IC	<ul> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations. One project for \$117,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		I	D
27.	IC	<ul> <li>Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2		I	D
28.	IC	<ul> <li>Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul>	3.3		I	D

Tab Time		Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Stat	<u>us*</u>
29.	IC	<ul> <li>Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.4		I	D
30.	IC	<ul> <li>Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service</li> </ul>	3.5		I	С
31.	IC	<ul> <li>Annual Review of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants</li> </ul>	4.2		I	D
32.	IC	<ul> <li>Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Letters of No Prejudice (Letter) Requests, per Resolution G-03-12. Eight Letter requests totaling \$595,425,000.</li> </ul>	4.3a.		I	D/C
33.	IC	<ul> <li>Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200.</li> </ul>	4.3b.		I	D
		END OF INFORMATION CALENDAR ITEMS				
11:40	) am	CONSENT CALENDAR (#34-52)	CC	Robert Chung	Α	С
34.	СС	• STIP Amendment 04S-017 The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to delete \$442,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 on the Skyway/Wagstaff Signalization project (PPNO 2L120) and program \$263,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2005-06 for a new project, the Route 99/162 Signalization project (PPNO 2420). The remaining \$179,000 will be returned to Butte County's unprogrammed share balance.	2.1a.(1)		Α	D
35.	сс	• Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for the Napa County Transportation Planning Agency. Request to transfer eligible applicant status from the City of Napa to the Napa County Transportation Planning Agency and program an additional \$2,128 in Proposition 116 funds to the Napa Bus Yard Expansion project. ( <i>Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.</i> ) Resolution PA-05-01, Amending Resolution PA-00-25	2.1d.		A	D
36.	СС	<ul> <li>Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 5 in Tehama County – Truck climbing lane near Red Bluff (ND). Resolution E-05-03</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)		Α	D
		Page 5				

Tab Tim		Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Stat	<u>us*</u>
37.	сс	• One Relinquishment Resolution 7-LA-105-PM R14.7/R15.2 Route 105 in the City of Paramount Resolution R-3604	2.3c.(1)		Α	D
38. 6 AY	CC ES	<ul> <li>15 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18996 through C-19010</li> </ul>	2.4b.		Α	D
39.	СС	<ul> <li>Director's Deeds, Items #1 through #8</li> <li>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$396,750</li> <li>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(1)		Α	D
40.	СС	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for 26 Minor Projects totaling \$14,055,000 plus \$500,000 from other sources.</li> <li>Resolution FP-04-31</li> </ul>	2.5a.(1)		Α	D
41.	СС	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Six Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$2,920,000 plus \$100,000 from other sources.</li> <li>Resolution FP-04-32</li> </ul>	2.5a.(3)		Α	D
42.	сс	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Four SHOPP Projects totaling \$10,827,000.</li> <li>Resolution FP-04-33</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)		Α	D
43.	сс	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Three SHOPP Projects for \$10,332,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action.</li> <li>Resolution FP-04-34</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)		Α	D
44.	сс	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for One State Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System for \$900,000. Resolution FP-04-35</li> </ul>	2.5c.(1)		Α	D
45.	СС	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$547,000 plus \$5,078,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-36</li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)		Α	D
46.	сс	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for One State Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$675,000. Resolution FP-04-39</li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)		Α	D

<u>#/</u> e	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Presenter</u>	State	<u>us*</u>
CC	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)		Α	D
сс	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000.</li> <li>Resolution FP-04-38</li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		A	D
СС	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000.</li> <li>Resolution MFP-04-01</li> </ul>	2.6a.(2)		Α	D
СС	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$155,215.</li> <li>Resolution BFP-04-03</li> </ul>	2.6b.		Α	D
СС	• Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004, in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&ED.	2.9a.		Α	D
СС	• Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001- 581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/federal to State Only and to clarify the allocation component under Resolution FP-02-80 as PA&ED.	2.9b.		Α	D
	cc cc cc cc cc	<ul> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000. Resolution FP-04-38</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000. Resolution MFP-04-01</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$155,215. Resolution BFP-04-03</li> <li>CC • Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004, in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&amp;ED.</li> <li>CC • Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001- 581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&amp;ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/federal to State Only and to clarify the allocation component under Resolution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000. Resolution FP-04-38</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000. Resolution MFP-04-01</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$155,215. Resolution BFP-04-03</li> <li>CC • Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004, in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&amp;ED.</li> <li>CC • Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001- 581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&amp;ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/federal to State Only and to clarify the allocation component under Resolution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000. Resolution FP-04-38</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000. Resolution MFP-04-01</li> <li>CC • Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$155,215. Resolution BFP-04-03</li> <li>CC • Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004, in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&amp;ED.</li> <li>CC • Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001- 581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&amp;ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to clarify the project for Bade Road Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/federal to State Only and to clarify the allocation component under Resolution</li> </ul>	CC       • Financial Allocation for Seven Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,518,000 plus \$283,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-37       2.5c.(5)       A         CC       • Financial Allocation for 28 Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling \$8,511,000. Resolution FP-04-38       2.5c.(6)       A         CC       • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000. Resolution MFP-04-01       2.6a.(2)       A         CC       • Financial Allocation for Two Intercity Rail STIP projects totaling \$10,254,000. Resolution MFP-04-01       2.6a.(2)       A         CC       • Financial Allocation for Two Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects totaling \$15,215. Resolution BFP-04-03       2.6b.       A         CC       • Technical Change to Resolution FP-04-13, originally approved October 28, 2004, in the amount of \$661,000 for Three Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects. A technical correction is needed to clarify the project recipient for Project #1 (PPNO 3036A) as Lake County and to clarify allocation component as PA&ED.       2.9a.       A         CC       • Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2001- 581 approved June 11, 2001, for \$35,000 for PA&ED, and Resolution FP-02-80, approved May 22, 2003, for \$85,000, for the Amesti Road Reconstruction Project (PPNO 05-2054). Correction is needed to modify the project funding from State/Federal to State Only and to clarify the allocation component under Resolution       2.9b.       A

#### END OF CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

## 11:45 am LUNCH BREAK & CLOSED SESSION

## **CLOSED SESSION PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:**

The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation: *Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

# <u>NOTE: The Closed Session will be scheduled in conjunction with the lunch break.</u> Following the lunch break the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.

<u>Tab # /</u> Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	<u>Sta</u>	tus*
1:00 pm	RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION				
53.	<ul> <li>Review and Comment on Caltrans 2005 Ten-Year State Highway Operation and Protection Plan</li> </ul>	3.12	Ross Chittenden	Α	D
54.	<ul> <li>Department's Five Year Capital Facilities Plan</li> </ul>	4.4	Glenn Yee	I	D
55.	<ul> <li>Status of Delivery of AB 3090 STIP Project Components</li> </ul>	3.7	Ross Chittenden	Α	D
56.	2004 Excellence in Transportation Facilities Awards	4.6	Molly Cogan	I	D
57.	<ul> <li>STIP Amendment 04S-018         The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC proposes to transfer \$1,050,000 of the \$5,665,000 in IIP funds from Construction Support to Construction in FY 2004-05 for the Route 60 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0033) in Riverside County. RCTC is also requesting the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. for the Route 60 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0033) in Riverside County. RCTC will use local Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) funds to replace \$13,046,000\$8,881,000 (\$9,785,000 \$5,421,000 in IIP and \$3,261,000\$3,460,000 in RIP funds) programmed in FY 2004-05. RCTC will program \$13,046,000\$8,881,000 in FY 2007-08 for a replacement project, (PPNO 0116C), to be identified at a later date. (Related items under 2.1c.(1) and 2.1c.(4).)     </li> </ul>	2.1a.(2)	David Brewer	Α	D
1:45 pm	Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Items (#58-61	<u>1)</u>			
58.	<ul> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval. One application to program \$21,000,000 in TCR funding for Phase 4 - Construction. Resolution TA-05-01</li> </ul>	2.1c.(1)	Ross Chittenden	Α	D
	<ul> <li>Project #63 – \$21,000,000 for Phase 4, for Route 60; add seven miles of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County. Also update application to indicate the Riverside County Transportation Commission as applicant agency. Applicant Agency: Department Riverside County Transportation Commission</li> <li>Implementing Agency: Department (Related items under 2.1a.(2) and 2.1c.(4).)</li> </ul>				

<u>Tab # /</u>	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Status*	
Time					
59.	<ul> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals. One Letter Request for \$21,000,000. Resolution TL-05-01</li> </ul>	2.1c.(4)	Ross Chittenden	Α	D
	<ul> <li>Project #63 – \$21,000,000 for Phase 4, for Route 60; add seven mile of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County.</li> <li>Applicant Agency: Riverside County Transportation Commission (Related items under 2.1a.(2) and 2.1c.(1).)</li> </ul>				
60.	• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Two application amendments, no net change in TCR funding, per Resolution G-03-14, Traffic Congestion Relief Program Policy for Managing Cash Between TCR Projects. Resolution TAA-05-02, Amending Resolution TAA-02-06 and TAA-04-09	2.1c.(2)	Ross Chittenden Annette Gilbertson	Α	D/C
	Project #50 – Route 71; complete three miles of six- lane freeway through Ponoma, from Route 10 to Route 60 in Los Angeles County. The Department requests amendment to update project schedule previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-09. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i>				
	Project #41.1 – Route 5; add HOV lanes on Golden State Freeway through San Fernando Valley, Route 170 (Hollywood Freeway) to Route 14 (Antelope Valley Freeway) in Los Angeles County. The Department requests amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-02-06. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.)</i>				
61.	• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Two allocation amendment requests, no net change in TCR funding, per Resolution G-03-14, Traffic Congestion Relief Program Policy for Managing Cash Between TCR Projects. Resolution TFP-05-02	2.6e.	Ross Chittenden Annette Gilbertson	Α	D/C
2:15 pm	Highway Route and Right of Way Matters (#62-66)				
62.	<ul> <li>One Vacation Resolution</li> <li>03-Sac-5 PM 23.5 Route 5 in the City of Sacramento Resolution A-858</li> </ul>	2.3d.	Jody Jones	Α	D
63.	<ul> <li>Director's Deeds, Item #1</li> <li>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$6,895,000</li> <li>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(4)	Jody Jones	Α	D

<u>Tab # /</u> Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Presenter</u>	Stat	<u>us*</u>
64.	One Relinquishment Resolution     3-Sac-275-KP 0.11/1.13 Route 275 in the     City of Sacramento     Resolution R-3601	2.3c.(2)	Jody Jones	Α	D
65.	<ul> <li>Director's Deeds, Items #1 through #6</li> <li>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$719,570</li> <li>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(2)	Barry Cowan	Α	D
66.	<ul> <li>Director's Deeds, Item #1 through #2</li> <li>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$442,500</li> <li>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>	2.4d.(3)	Charlie Fielder	Α	D
2:40 pm 67.	<ul> <li>Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – 5900 Folsom Boulevard in Sacramento County – Building safety corrections in Sacramento (ND).</li> <li>Resolution E-05-04</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)	Robert Chung	Α	D
68.	• State and Federal Legislative Matters * *The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.	4.1	Robert Chung	Α	С
69.	<ul> <li>Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One project totaling \$800,000. Resolution FA-04-04</li> <li> 02-Tri-L5733 – SHOPP project in Trinity County. Current allocation is \$3,572,500. This request for \$800,000 to close out the construction contract results in an increase of 42% over the voted amount.</li> </ul>	2.5e.	Ross Chittenden	Α	D
70.	<ul> <li>Financial Allocation Adjustment of \$360,723,000 in Federal Funds for FY 2004-05 Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation Resolution FM-04-05</li> </ul>	2.5g.	Terry Abbott	Α	D
71.	<ul> <li>Budget Revision to Transfer Authority within the STIP Budget Act Item in FY 2004-05 Resolution FM-04-04</li> </ul>	2.5h.	Norma Ortega	Α	D

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter	Stat	us <u>*</u>
Time					
3:00 pm	Time Extension Requests (#72-75)				
72.	• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for One SHOPP Project in Del Norte County for \$3,600,000. Waiver-05-03	2.8b.	Stephen Maller	Α	D
73.	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Intercity Rail STIP Project for \$132,000. Waiver-05-01	2.8c.(1)	Stephen Maller	Α	D
74.	<ul> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Local Road STIP Project for \$770,000.</li> <li>Waiver-05-04</li> </ul>	2.8c.(2)	Stephen Maller	Α	D
75.	• Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure Deadline for One STIP Project in the County of Santa Barbara for \$1,200,000. Waiver-05-05	2.8d.	Stephen Maller	Α	D
3:15 pm	STIP Amendments for Notice (#76-79)				
76.	• STIP Amendment 04S-023 The Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) is requesting this amendment. VCTC proposes to shift \$4,778,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09 from the Route 101 Improvements project (PPNO 2291) to the Lewis Road Widening project (PPNO 7100) to accommodate a cost increase. The Lewis Road Widening project is currently programmed with \$18,222,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2008-09; an additional \$4,778,000 is needed for construction cost increases. VCTC is also requesting the Commission approve an AB 3090 Reimbursement for the Lewis Road Widening project. VCTC will use local bond funds to award the contract in FY 2004-05 and program \$23,000,000 in RIP funds for cash reimbursement (PPNO 3626) in FY 2008-09.	2.1b.(5)	David Brewer	I	D

<u>Tab # /</u> Time	Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Presenter	Sta	tus*
77.	• STIP Amendment 04S-019 The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is requesting this amendment. SBCAG proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,766,000 in RIP funds from seven local road projects to two existing projects: \$2,742,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project (PPNO 4460) in FY 2005-06 for Construction, and \$24,000 to SBCAG's Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 1834) in FY 2007-08. To accomplish this, SBCAG proposes to: transfer a total of \$1,367,000 in FY 2005-06 from four local road projects (PPNOs 1194, 1194C, 1197 and 1202); move \$859,000 in FY 2005-06 from PPNO 1194B and \$310,000 in FY 2004-05 from PPNO 0223G; and move \$230,000 of RIP and RIP TE funds in FY 2005-06 from the Coast Route Bike Path Improvement project (PPNO 0223E) and program \$206,000 to the Santa Maria Six-Lane project and \$24,000 to SBCAG's TE Reserve. The Construction and Construction Support programmed on Santa Maria Six- Lane project are in FY 2004-05. The funding for this project did not meet the allocation criteria established and adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting, therefore, the funding will be moved to FY 2005-06. The affected local agencies are in agreement with SBCAG's proposal. This is a revenue neutral programming action.	2.1b.(1)	David Brewer	I	D
78.	• STIP Amendment 04S-022 The City of Portola and Plumas County Transportation Commission (PCTC) are requesting this amendment. PCTC proposes to transfer a total of \$474,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 3209) to a new Portola Pedestrian Improvement project (PPNO 2410) as follows: \$30,000 in FY 2005-06 for PS&E and \$444,000 in FY 2006-07 for Construction.	2.1b.(4)	David Brewer	I	D
79.	<ul> <li>STIP Amendment 04S-025         The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is requesting this amendment. SFCTA proposes to move \$1,251,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2007S) funds programmed in FY 2005-06 to four new eligible projects as follows: 1) \$368,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Bicycle Shared Lane Pavement Stencils project (PPNO 2007T); 2) \$283,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Merchant Road Improvement project (PPNO 2007U); 3) \$400,000 in FY 2004-05 for the Downtown Ferry Terminal Public Pier project (PPNO 2007V); and 4) \$200,000 in FY 2004-05 for the San Francisco Bikestation – 4<sup>th</sup> and King Caltrain Station project (PPNO 2007W).     </li> </ul>	2.1b.(6)	David Brewer	I	D

# **OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT**

3:30 pm ADJOURN