# <u>AGENDA</u>

### **CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

http://www.catc.ca.gov

## February 1-2, 2006 Sacramento, California

#### Wednesday, February 1, 2006

2:00 p.m.	COMMISSION MEETING Department of Water Resources First Floor Auditorium 1416 9 <sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento, California
6:00 p.m.	Commissioners' Dinner Lucca Restaurant 1615 J Street Sacramento, California

### Thursday, February 2, 2006

8:30am

COMMISSION MEETING Department of Water Resources First Floor Auditorium 1416 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento, California

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website: <a href="http://www.catc.ca.gov">www.catc.ca.gov</a>

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Mary Lea Filbert at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change): March 9, 2006 Southern STIP Hearing CTC Commission Meeting – March 15-16, 2006, Sacramento

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Status*</u>

\* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (BTH) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

**FREQUENTLY USED TERMS:** California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS	1.		
Roll Call	1.1	I	С
• Approval of Minutes for December 5 and December 15, 2005 Meetings	1.2	Α	С
<ul> <li>Commission Reports</li> <li> Draft Operating Procedures</li> <li> Election of Officers</li> <li> Revised Meeting Location Schedule</li> </ul>	1.3	Α	С
Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.4	Α	С
BUSINESS. TRANSPORTATION & HOUSING AGENCY R	<b>EPORT</b> 1.5	1	в
<ul> <li>Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary</li> </ul>	1.5	1	В
CALTRANS REPORT			
<ul> <li>Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director</li> <li>Update on Project Estimating Practice Improvement Efforts</li> </ul>	1.6	I	D
REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT	C C		
Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.7	I	R
Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.8	I	R
INFORMATION CALENDAR	IC	I	С
To Be Determined			
CONSENT CALENDAR Page 2	CC	Α	_ c

Item Description	Dof #	Statu	16*
	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>13</u>
To Be Determined			
PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS	2.		
Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action	2.1a.		
• STIP Amendment 04S-082 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting this amendment. OCTA proposes to change the implementing agency for the R/W and Construction components on the Oso Parkway Storage Lane and Interchange Improvement project (PPNO 2671A) from the Department to OCTA.	2.1a.(1)	Α	D
• STIP Amendment 04S-083 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting this amendment. OCTA proposes to change the implementing agency for the R/W and Construction components on the Culver Drive Southbound Off-Ramp Widening project (PPNO 2796) on Route 5 from the Department to OCTA and also proposes to revise the RIP as follows: a net decrease of \$198,000 in FY 2004-05; a net increase of \$181,000 in FY 2005-06; and a net increase of \$246,000 in FY 2006-07, for a total increase of \$229,000 in the RIP. This will increase the total project cost from \$1,959,000 to \$2,188,000.	2.1a.(2)	Α	D
Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice	2.1b.		
• STIP Amendment 04S-084 The City of Goleta (City) is requesting this amendment. The City proposes to change the implementing agency for the R/W component on the Ellwood Overhead project (PPNO 1840) from the City to the Department and also proposes to reprogram \$111,000 of RIP from R/W in FY 2005-06 to R/W Support and R/W in FY 2006-07.	2.1b	I	D
Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments	2.1c.		
• The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project # 37.2 – LRT System along Exposition Blvd. ( <i>Related Items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).</i> ) Resolution TAA-06-01, Amending Resolution TAA-05-33	2.1c.(1)	Α	D/C
<ul> <li>The San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise</li> </ul>	2.1c.(2)	Α	D/C

Item Descri	ption	<u>Ref. #</u>	State	<u>us*</u>
funding plan Buses; Acqu (Related Iten	cope, and update the project schedule and for Project # 75.2 – San Diego Transit ire CNG buses and passenger transit vans. <i>n under 2.6e.(1).)</i> AA-06-02, Amending Resolution TA-01-11			
Amendment project scheo environmenta <u>Fresno</u> to I-5	ment requests a TCRP Application to revise the project scope and update the dule for Project #93 – Route 180; al studies to extend Route 180 from <del>Mendota</del> 5. ( <i>Related Item under 2.6e.(3).)</i> AA-06-03, Amending Resolution TA-01-03	2.1c.(3)	Α	D/C
Amendment R/W to PS&E funding plan and improve ( <i>Related Iten</i>	tment requests a TCRP Application to redistribute \$424,000 in TCRP funds from E, and update the project schedule and for Project #95 – Route 41; add auxiliary lane ramps at Friant Road interchange. <i>ns under 2.6e.(3) and 2.6e.(5).)</i> AA-06-04, Amending Resolution TA-02-13	2.1c.(4)	Α	D/C
TCRP Applic new TCRP fu the project so South Line L <i>(Related Iten</i>	nento Regional Transit District requests a ation Amendment to program \$3,000,000 in unds, revise the project scope, and update chedule and funding plan for Project #115 – ight Rail. <i>n under 2.6e.(4).)</i> AA-06-05, Amending Resolution TAA-03-08	2.1c.(5)	Α	D/C
Amendment PS&E, and u for Project #1 bore tunnel v (Related Iten	ment requests a TCRP Application to redistribute \$5,000,000 from PA&ED to pdate the project schedule and funding plan 15 – Route 24; Caldecott Tunnel; add fourth vith additional lanes. <i>n under 2.6e.(5).)</i> AA-06-06, Amending Resolution TAA-05-04	2.1c.(6)	Α	D/C
TCRP Applic and update t Project #29 - fueling facilit (Related Iten	da Contra Costa Transit District requests a cation Amendment to revise the project scope, he project schedule and funding plan for - AC Transit; buy three fuel cell buses and y for demonstration project. <i>n under 2.6e (3).)</i> AA-06-07, Amending Resolution TAA-04-06	2.1c.(7)	Α	D/C

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Statu</u>	<u>IS*</u>
• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment to de-program \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding and revise the project funding plan for Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad; Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan obligations (Q-fund). <i>(Related Item under 2.6e.(6).)</i> Resolution TAA-06-01, Amending Resolution TA-00-01	2.1c.(8)	Α	D/C
<ul> <li>Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Approvals.</li> <li><u>No Items this Month</u></li> </ul>	2.1c.(9)		
<u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project</u> Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month	2.1d.		
<u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/</u> <u>Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1e.		
<u>Proposition 116 – Water-Borne Ferry</u> Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month	2.1f.		
Environmental Matters	2.2		
<u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an</u> Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month	2.2a.		
Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR)	2.2b.		
<ul> <li>Route 140 in Merced County – Replace overhead and upgrade highway facility in Merced (DEIR).</li> </ul>	2.2b.	Α	D
Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)	2.2c.		
<ul> <li>Route 20 in Colusa and Sutter Counties – Rehabilitate roadway near Colusa (ND) Resolution E-06-01</li> </ul>	2.2c.(1)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Route 101 in Mendocino County – Realign highway near Leggett (FEIR) (<i>Related item under 2.3a.</i>) Resolution E-06-02</li> </ul>	2.2c.(2)	Α	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Stat	us*
Highway Route Matters	2.3		
Route Adoptions	2.3a.		
• Route Adopting a Traversable Highway at 01-Men-101 KP 159.2/162.2 (PM 98.9/100.8) in County of Mendocino ( <i>Related item under 2.2c.(2</i> ).) Resolution HRA-06-01	2.3a.	Α	D
<u>New Public Road Connections – No Items This Month</u>	2.3b.		
Four Relinquishment Resolutions	2.3c.	Α	D
2-Teh-36-PM 38.4 Route 36 in the County of Tehama Resolution R-3629			
2-Mod-299-PM 21.6/22.3 (KP 34.8/35.9) Route 299 in the County of Modoc Resolution R-3630			
2-Sha-299-PM 67.8/77.9 Route 299 in the County of Shasta Resolution R-3631			
3-But-70-PM 0.2/9.1 (KP 0.32/14.64) Route 70 in the County of Butte Resolution R-3632			
Vacation Resolutions – No Items This Month	2.3d.		
Highway Right of Way Matters	2.4		
<ul> <li>Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances</li> </ul>	2.4a.		
Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank, successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al. 12-Ora-90-PM 12.05 Resolution C-19233	2.4a.(1)	Α	D
Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank, successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al. 12-Ora-90-PM 12.24 Resolution C-19234	2.4a.(2)	Α	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Stat</u>	us*
Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank, successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al. 12-Ora-90-PM 11.80/12.43 Resolution C-19235	2.4a.(3)	Α	D
Jefferson M. Hunt, et ux. 11-SD-125-PM 9.3 Resolution C-19236	2.4a.(4)	Α	D
<ul> <li>34 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19237 through C-19270</li> </ul>	2.4b.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – Request for Approval of Long-Term Airspace Lease Terms with Madison Park Partners II</li> </ul>	2.4c.	A	D
Director's Deeds	2.4d.		
Items #1 through #13 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$357,900 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)	Α	D
Item #1 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,159,480 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Rescinding Resolution Melvin Deaile and Louise Deaile, Co-Trustees of the Deaile Family Trust, etc., et al. 06-Fre-180- PM 53.9 Resolution CR-136, Rescinding Resolution G-19154</li> </ul>	2.4e.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Report on Temporary Access Extension in Fresno County</li> </ul>	2.4f.	I	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	State	<u>JS*</u>
Highway Financial Matters			
<ul> <li>\$ 236,543,000</li> <li>\$ 5,703,000</li> <li>\$ 5,703,000</li> <li>\$ 94,428,000</li> <li>\$ 500,286,000</li> <li>\$ 836,960,000</li> <li>Total SHOPP/Minor Requested for Allocation</li> <li>\$ box content of the second second</li></ul>	ion		
<ul> <li>\$ 2,297,000 Contributions from Other Sources</li> <li>\$ 17,907,000 Delegated Allocations</li> <li>\$ 857,164,000 Grand Total</li> </ul>			
• Financial Allocation for One Minor Project for \$203,000. Resolution FP-05-52	2.5a.	Α	D
Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects	2.5b.		
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for 13 SHOPP Projects totaling \$178,304,000.</li> <li>Resolution FP-05-53</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Seven SHOPP Projects totaling \$23,767,000.</li> <li>Resolution FP-05</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1.1)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for 18 Projects totaling \$34,269,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-05-54</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.5b.(3)		
Financial Allocations for STIP Projects	2.5c.		
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for State-Administered STIP</li> <li>Projects on the State Highway System – <u>No Items This</u></li> <li><u>Month</u></li> </ul>	2.5c.(1)		
• Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System for \$1,379,000. Resolution FP-05	2.5c.(1.1)	Α	D
• Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$983,000. Resolution FP-05-59	2.5c.(2)	Α	D
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Project off the State Highway System for \$118,000, plus \$472,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-55</li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)	Α	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Stat</u>	<u>us*</u>
Financial Allocation for Three Locally-Administered	2.5c.(3.1)	Α	D
STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$1,286,000.			
Resolution FP-05			
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP</li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)	Α	D
Transportation Enhancement Project for \$373,000.	2.00.(4)	~	D
Resolution FP-05-60			
Financial Allocation for Five Locally-Administered STIP	2.5c.(5)	Α	D
Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$1,174,000,			
plus \$265,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-56			
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Locally-Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring Projects – <u>No</u></li> </ul>	2.5c.(6)		
Items This Month			
Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP	2.5c.(7)	А	D
Project off the State Highway System for \$390,000, plus			_
\$1,560,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-57			
Financial Allocations for Projects that Exceed 20	2.5d.		
Percent	2.00.		
Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent	2.5d.	А	D
of the Programmed Amount. Four projects totaling			_
\$59,622,000. Resolution FP-05-58			
01N-Men-20 – SHOPP project in Mendocino County.			
Current programmed amount is \$8,686,000 and current			
estimate is \$14,020,000, for an increase of 61% over the programmed amount.			
04N-Ala-580 – SHOPP project in Alameda County.			
Current programmed amount is \$15,700,000 and current			
estimate is \$24,271,000, for an increase of 55% over the programmed amount.			
07S-LA-2 – SHOPP project in Los Angeles County. Current programmed amount is \$14,292,000 and current			
estimate is \$18,274,000, for an increase of 28% over the programmed amount.			
10N-SJ-26 – SHOPP project in San Joaquin County. Current programmed amount is \$2,348,000 and current			
estimate is \$3,039,000, for an increase of 29% over the programmed amount.			
programmod amount.			

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Stat	<u>us*</u>
Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds	2.5e.		
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Six projects totaling \$32,256,000.</li> <li>Resolution FA-05-08</li> </ul>	2.5e.(1)	Α	D
03N-Nev-80 - SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current allotment is \$107,992,000. This request for \$2,600,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 3% over the original allocation.			
04N-Son-101 - STIP project in Sonoma County. Current allotment is \$51,327,000. This request for \$19,200,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 37% over the original allocation.			
05S-SB-101 - STIP project in Santa Barbara County. Current allocation is \$22,372,000. This request for \$9,651,000 to re-advertise the contract results in an increase of 43% over the original allocation.			
10S-Cal-4 – STIP project in Calaveras County. Current allocation is \$2,772,000. This request for \$40,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 2% over the voted amount.			
12S-Ora-1 – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$3,545,000. This request for \$350,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 15% over the voted amount.			
12S-Ora-various – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$985,000. This request for \$415,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 42% over the voted amount.			
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One project for \$2,500,000. Resolution FA-05-09</li> </ul>	2.5e.(2)	Α	D
03N-Nev-80 - SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current allotment is \$5,770,000. This request for \$2,500,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 43% over the original allocation.			
Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority	2.5f.		
<ul> <li>Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations.</li> <li>Seven Projects totaling \$3,140,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(1)	I	D
<ul> <li>Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit (Proposition 192, Phase II) G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.5f.(2)		

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Stat	<u>us*</u>
<ul> <li>Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations. Three Projects for \$7,526,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(3)	I	D
<ul> <li>Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 Allocations. Seven Projects totaling \$2,969,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(4)	I	D
<ul> <li>Informational Report on Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects: G-05-04 Allocations. Eight Projects totaling \$4,272,000.</li> </ul>	2.5f.(5)	I	D
• Financial Allocation Adjustment of \$500,286,000 for FY 2005-06 Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation Resolution FM-05-03, Amending Resolution FM-04-06	2.5h.	Α	D
Mass Transportation Financial Matters\$ 241,178,000Total TCRP Requested for Allocation\$ 3,698,000Total STIP Requested for Allocation\$ 540,955Total Proposition 116 Requested for Allocation\$ 245,416,955Total State Funds Requested for Allocation			
Financial Allocations for STIP Projects	2.6a.		
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$3,573,000. Resolution MFP-05-10</li> </ul>	2.6a.(1)	Α	D
• Financial Allocation for One STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$125,000. Resolution MFP-05-11	2.6a.(2)	Α	D
Financial Allocations/Amendments for Proposition 116 Program Projects	2.6b.		
• Financial Allocation for One Local Proposition 116 Rail Program Project for \$540,955 for the Newhall Yard Siding Extension. Resolution BFP-05-06	2.6b.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 Rail/Transit Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.6c.		
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for Multi-Program</li> <li>Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.6d.		

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Statu</u>	<u>JS*</u>
 <u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations /</u> Allocation Amendments	2.6e.		
<ul> <li>Financial allocation for Two Projects totaling \$215,800,000 in new TCRP funds.</li> <li>Resolution TFP-06</li> </ul>	2.6e.(1)	Α	D/C
• Financial allocation for One TCRP project for \$14,000,000 to liquidate One previously approved AB 1335 Letter of No Prejudice (LONP). ( <i>Items under 2.1c.(1).</i> ) and 2.6e (1).) Resolution TFP-06-01	2.6e.(2)	Α	D/C
• Financial Re-allocation totaling \$8,378,000 in lapsing TCRP funds for Three TCRP Projects. <i>(Related Item under 2.1c.(5).)</i> Resolution TFP-06-02	2.6e.(3)	Α	D/C
• Financial Allocation for One TCRP Project for \$3,000,000 in new TCRP funding. <i>(Related Item under 2.1c.(3).)</i> Resolution TFP-06	2.6e.(4)	Α	D/C
<ul> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for Two TCRP Projects, no net change in funding. Resolution TFP-06-03</li> </ul>	2.6e.(5)	Α	D/C
• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment. One allocation amendment to de-allocate \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding. ( <i>Related Item under 2.1c.(8</i> ).) Resolution TFP-06-04	2.6e.(6)	Α	D/C
<u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19,</u> <u>STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds /</u> <u>Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous</u> <u>Requests</u>	2.8		
<ul> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Local STIP Project for \$3,000,000 for the Orange County Transportation Authority.</li> <li>Waiver-06-01</li> </ul>	2.8a.	Α	D
• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Five Local STIP Projects totaling \$8,239,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-02	2.8b.(1)	Α	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	Status*	
 • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Ten SHOPP Projects totaling \$51,307,000 and Four STIP Projects totaling \$176,000,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria. Waiver-06-03	2.8b.(2)	A	D
<ul> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Rural Transit System Grant Program Project for \$32,000 for the City of Red Bluff.</li> <li>Waiver-06-04</li> </ul>	2.8c.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Development</li> <li>Expenditure – <u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.8d.		
<ul> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement</li> <li><u>No Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.8e.		
<ul> <li>Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <u>No</u> <u>Items This Month</u></li> </ul>	2.8f.		
• Request for Waiver of Assembly Bill 1012 Sixth Cycle "Use it or Lose it" Provisions for Regional Agency Apportioned Federal Funds for two agencies with three projects totaling \$1,265,287. Waiver-06-05	2.8g.	Α	D
<u>Technical Corrections to Previously Approved</u> <u>Resolutions – No Items This Month</u>	2.9		
PROGRAM STATUS	3.		
Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report	3.1	I	D
<ul> <li>Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.2	I	D
<ul> <li>Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria</li> </ul>	3.3	I	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	State	<u>us*</u>
 <ul> <li>Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul>	3.4	I	D
<ul> <li>Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded</li> </ul>	3.5	I	D
<ul> <li>Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria</li> </ul>	3.6	I	D
• Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Congestion Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria	3.7	I	D
<ul> <li>Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Activity</li> </ul>	3.8	I	С
<ul> <li>I-710 Gateways Major Investment Study</li> </ul>	3.9	I	R
<ul> <li>Update on the I-5 South Widening in Los Angeles</li> </ul>	3.10	I.	D
<ul> <li>Final Project Development and Right of Way Expenditures on State Transportation Improvement Program Projects</li> </ul>	3.11	I	D
POLICY MATTERS	4.		
State and Federal Legislative Matters	4.1a.	Α	С
*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director John Barna at (916) 654-4245.			-
<ul> <li>Federal Reauthorization Bill – SAFETEA-LU</li> </ul>	4.1b.	I	D
<ul> <li>STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List 14 SHOPP Projects totaling \$35,290,000; 96 STIP Projects totaling \$40,857,000.</li> </ul>	4.2a.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations</li> <li>15 Projects totaling \$2,797,200</li> </ul>	4.2b.	Α	D

Item Description	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Status*</u>	
<ul> <li>TCRP Pending Allocations</li> <li>Five Projects totaling \$62,030,000</li> </ul>	4.2c.	Α	D
<ul> <li>Adoption of the 2005 Policy Element of the California Aviation System Plan</li> </ul>	4.3	Α	D
Presentation of 2006 Aeronautics Fund Estimate	4.4	I	D
<ul> <li>Six-month Estimate of Loan Capacity under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level Resolution G-05</li> </ul>	4.5	Α	D
• State Water Resources Control Board Presentation Regarding Regulations and Exception Process for Zero Discharge of Storm Water in Designated Areas of Special Biological Significance Along the California Coast	4.6	I	С
• Caltrans Presentation Regarding Regulation by the State Water Quality Control Board for Zero Discharge of Storm Water in Designated Areas of Special Biological Significance Along the California Coast	4.7	I	D
<ul> <li>Report on Design-Sequencing Pilot Program</li> </ul>	4.8	I	D
<ul> <li>Report on Support Costs Exceeding Capital Costs/District Consistency</li> </ul>	4.9	I	D
<ul> <li>Presentation of the Governor's Proposed FY 2006-07 Budget</li> </ul>	4.10	I	D
<ul> <li>Department of Water Resources' Status of Seismic Retrofit of Bridges</li> </ul>	4.11	I	WR
• Presentation by BTH Agency and Caltrans on the Strategic Growth Plan - <i>Go California</i> Performance Criteria	4.12	Α	B/D
MODAL ISSUES No Items This Month	5.		
OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT	6.		