California State Transportation Agency

**TAB 81** 

# M e m o r a n d u m

#### To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

CTC Meeting: May 18-19, 2016

Reference No.: 2.8a. - *REPLACEMENT ITEM* Action Item

From: NORMA ORTEGA Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by:

Rihui Zhang, Chief Division of Local Assistance

#### Subject: REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT ALLOCATION FOR LOCALLY ADMINISTERED ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM PROJECTS, PER ATP GUIDELINES WAIVER 16-15

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

The California Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) extend the period of project allocation as supported for the projects listed on the attached document for the time periods shown.

#### **ISSUE:**

The attached document identifies 34 allocations totaling \$56,407,000 that are programmed in the Active Transportation Program (ATP) for Fiscal Year 2015-16. The agencies will not be able to request allocation of funds by the June 30, 2016 deadline. The attachment shows the details of the projects and the explanations for the delays. The project sponsors are requesting extensions, and the regional planning agencies concur.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Current ATP Guidelines adopted by the Commission stipulate that funds that are programmed for all components of local grant projects or for Department construction costs are available for allocation only until the end of the fiscal year identified in the ATP. The Commission may approve a waiver to the timely use of funds deadline one-time only for up to 20 months.

Attachment

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation	
1	City of Galt Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1681 South Galt Safe Routes to Schools project The City of Galt (City) requests a 12-	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,800 <b>\$1,800</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support for the construction (CON) phase of the South Galt Safe Routes	
	The City encountered an unanticipate adjacent to the project. The project e which was used to identify and acqui	ncountered an additional delay by the une re a consultant to assist the City with the upons, and Estimate phases of work, and allo	y Construction Permits from the 29 homeowners located xpectedly long and complex Request for Proposal process, nexpected ROW work. The City now anticipates completing cating CON by June 2017. Therefore, the City requests a 12-	
2	City of East Palo Alto San Mateo County PPNO: 04-1040A East Palo Alto Highway 101 Pedestrian/Bicycle Overcrossing project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$8,600 <b>\$8,600</b>	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support	
	The City of East Palo Alto (City) requests a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the East Palo Alto Highway 101 Pedestrian/Bicycle Overcrossing project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase and additional unforeseen environmental studies.			
	to and is controlled by the adjacent sh the ramp for the new overpass and m necessitates the revalidation of CEQA	apping center owner, a major stakeholder ast be relocated. The relocation of the sig (completed March 12, 2016). The City	tion of a signage structure was encountered. The sign belongs for the project and the local economy. The sign conflicts with n triggered the revision of the Visual Impact Report, which anticipates ROW certification in August 2016 and CON tension for allocation of the CON phase to December 31, 2016.	
3	East Bay Regional Park District Contra Costa County PPNO: 04-2122B San Francisco Bay Trail, Pinole Shore to Bay Front Park project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$4,000 <b>\$4,000</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support	
	The East Bay Regional Park District (Park District) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the San Francisco Bay Trail, Pinole Shore to Bay Front Park project. The Park District experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase.			
	project schedule. As of April 2016, the delay to the project in acquiring the result.	he Park District has not received the prope equired permit from the California Public	o acquire the necessary property rights to avoid delays to the rty rights. The Park District is also anticipating an additional Utilities Commission. The Park District is anticipating ON phase in June 2017. Therefore, the Park District requests a	

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
4	City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2599 Cacique and Soledad Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridges and Corridor Improvements project	\$0 \$400 \$0 \$0 <b>\$400</b>	10 Months 04/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Santa Barbara (City) requests a 10-month time extension to allocate funding for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase of the Cacique and Soledad Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridges and Corridor Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Studies (PA&ED) phase. The City is also requesting a concurrent time extension for the construction phase. The City anticipated allocation of the PA&ED funds in August 2014. However, the City did not request allocation of the funds until December 2014, which delayed the project schedule three months. The project was also delayed when the project survey, base mapping, and constraints mapping took longer than originally anticipated due to topographic and Right of Way (ROW) complications. This delayed the conceptual project alignment, which was needed to initiate the required environmental technical studies. The project was delayed further by a				
	The City anticipates NEPA approva		allocation in January 2017. To allow for any unforeseen sts a 10-month time extension for allocation of the PS&E phase		
5	City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2599 Cacique and Soledad Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridges and Corridor Improvements project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,153 <b>\$2,153</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Santa Barbara (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Cacique and Soledad Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridges and Corridor Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Studies (PA&ED) phase. The City is also requesting a time concurrent extension for the Plans, Specifications and Document (PS&E) phase.				
	The City anticipated allocation of the PA&ED funds in August 2014. However, the City did not request allocation of the funds until December 2014, which delayed the project schedule three months. Another project delay occurred when the project survey, base mapping, and constraints mapping took longer than originally anticipated due to topographic and Right of Way (ROW) complications. This delayed the conceptual project alignment, which was needed to initiate the required environmental technical studies. The project was delayed further by a more lengthy community outreach process, which was necessary to build a consensus among the project stakeholders.				
			ons and Estimate (PS&E) and ROW allocation in January 2017. ime extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.		

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation
6	City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2601 Las Positas Road Multiuse Path project	\$0 \$1,018 \$0 \$0 <b>\$1,018</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support
			e funding for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) expected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental
	the project occurred when the pro data of an existing S-curve locate outreach process, which was nece Community interest in the project and greater than expected public i environmental document. To allo	ject survey took longer than expected due to d upstream of the bridge. The project was de ssary to gather consensus on the project from exceeded what the City had expected. How nput, the City anticipates needing additional	elayed the project schedule three months. A one-month delay to the unexpectedly large number of utilities and need for survey layed an additional six months by a lengthy community all of the stakeholders impacted by the City's plans. ever, due to the unpredictability of the environmental process time to respond to public comments after circulating the final s, the City requests an additional two months. Therefore, the une 30, 2017.
7	the project occurred when the pro data of an existing S-curve located outreach process, which was nece Community interest in the project and greater than expected public i environmental document. To allo City requests a 12-month time ext City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County	ject survey took longer than expected due to d upstream of the bridge. The project was de ssary to gather consensus on the project from exceeded what the City had expected. How mput, the City anticipates needing additional w for any additional unforeseen future delays tension for allocation of the PS&E phase to Ju \$0 \$0	the unexpectedly large number of utilities and need for survey layed an additional six months by a lengthy community all of the stakeholders impacted by the City's plans. ever, due to the unpredictability of the environmental process time to respond to public comments after circulating the final s, the City requests an additional two months. Therefore, the ine 30, 2017. 12 Months 06/30/2017
7	the project occurred when the pro data of an existing S-curve locate outreach process, which was nece Community interest in the project and greater than expected public i environmental document. To allo City requests a 12-month time ext City of Santa Barbara	ject survey took longer than expected due to d upstream of the bridge. The project was de ssary to gather consensus on the project from exceeded what the City had expected. Howe nput, the City anticipates needing additional w for any additional unforeseen future delays tension for allocation of the PS&E phase to Ju \$0	the unexpectedly large number of utilities and need for survey layed an additional six months by a lengthy community all of the stakeholders impacted by the City's plans. ever, due to the unpredictability of the environmental process time to respond to public comments after circulating the final s, the City requests an additional two months. Therefore, the ane 30, 2017.
7	the project occurred when the pro data of an existing S-curve located outreach process, which was nece Community interest in the project and greater than expected public i environmental document. To allo City requests a 12-month time ext City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2602 Lower Milpas Pedestrian Improvement project The City of Santa Barbara (City)	ject survey took longer than expected due to d upstream of the bridge. The project was de ssary to gather consensus on the project from exceeded what the City had expected. Howe nput, the City anticipates needing additional w for any additional unforeseen future delays tension for allocation of the PS&E phase to Ju \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$972 <b>\$972</b> <b>\$972</b> requests a 12-month time extension to allocat	the unexpectedly large number of utilities and need for survey layed an additional six months by a lengthy community all of the stakeholders impacted by the City's plans. ever, due to the unpredictability of the environmental process time to respond to public comments after circulating the final s, the City requests an additional two months. Therefore, the ane 30, 2017. 12 Months 06/30/2017
7	the project occurred when the pro data of an existing S-curve located outreach process, which was nece Community interest in the project and greater than expected public i environmental document. To allo City requests a 12-month time ext City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2602 Lower Milpas Pedestrian Improvement project The City of Santa Barbara (City) Pedestrian Improvement project. phase. The City received allocation of th time extension to receive allocatic was granted because the project e and approval from the UPRR and	ject survey took longer than expected due to d upstream of the bridge. The project was de issary to gather consensus on the project from exceeded what the City had expected. Howe nput, the City anticipates needing additional w for any additional unforeseen future delays tension for allocation of the PS&E phase to Ju \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$972 <b>\$972</b> <b>\$972</b> requests a 12-month time extension to allocat The City experienced an unexpected delay due e PA&ED phase in December 2014. At the M on of funds for their Plans, Specifications and ncroaches on Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) the Department. The City anticipates PS&E	the unexpectedly large number of utilities and need for survey layed an additional six months by a lengthy community all of the stakeholders impacted by the City's plans. ever, due to the unpredictability of the environmental process time to respond to public comments after circulating the final s, the City requests an additional two months. Therefore, the ane 30, 2017. 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support e funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Lower Milpas

ject #	4 Applicant County PPNO	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval &	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
	Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) <b>TOTAL</b>			
8	County of Fresno	\$0	12 Months		
	Fresno County PPNO: 06-6765	\$0 \$0	06/30/2017 Suggest		
	Riverdale Pedestrian Path Bikeway	\$308	Support		
	Hazel from Mt. Whitney to Stathem project	\$308			
			funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Riverdale anty experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way		
	needed for the project. The County an Edison (SCE) to relocate some of SCI and the Plans, Specifications and Estin	nticipates the project will experience addi 3's utilities. With the current and anticip nate phase of work by March 2017. This al unforeseen delays, the County request	able acquiring all the Temporary Construction Easements tional delays as they begin work with Southern California ated project delays, the County anticipates completing the ROW is will allow the County to allocate the CON phase by s an additional two months. Therefore, the County requests a		
9	City of Parlier	\$0	12 Months		
	Fresno County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 06-6768	\$0	Support		
	Manning Avenue Safe Routes to School project	\$180 <b>\$180</b>			
	The City of Parlier (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Manning Avenue Safe Routes to School project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase of the project.				
	The City encountered an unexpected delay in their efforts to relocate overhead power poles owned by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). Although the City worked diligently to coordinate with PG&E, the slow response time from PG&E resulted in a 12-month delay to the project. The completion of the Plans, Specifications & Estimate (PS&E) and ROW phases of work are anticipated by May 2017, with allocation of CON funds in June 2017. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.				
10	City of Covina	\$0	12 Months		
	Los Angeles County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 07-4528	\$0	Support		
	Covina Bicycle Network Phase 2 project	\$839 <b>\$839</b>			
	The City of Covina (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Covina Bicycle Network Phase 2 project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document phase.				
		The project was unexpectedly delayed in design due to the need to refine the design to better accommodate bicyclists and address safety concerns. The City anticipates that revisions to the plan may trigger a project scope change, delaying the project by seven months. The City encountered another unexpected delay when the City needed additional help in preparing the environmental documents. The City had to conduct a complex Request for Proposal process to identify and acquire a specialized consultant delaying the project three months. To allow for any additional unforeseen delays, the City requests for an additional two months.			
	concerns. The City anticipates that re encountered another unexpected delay conduct a complex Request for Propo	visions to the plan may trigger a project s when the City needed additional help in sal process to identify and acquire a spec	preparing the environmental documents. The City had to alized consultant delaying the project three months. To allow		

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
11	City of Duarte	\$0	6 Months		
	Los Angeles County	\$0	12/31/2016		
	PPNO: 07-4529	\$0	Support		
	Duarte Gold Line Station Pedestrian	\$1,157			
	and Bicycle Improvements project	\$1,157			
			ng for the construction (CON) phase of the Duarte Gold Line nexpected delays during the Plans, Specifications and Estimate		
	more time than the City anticipated. consensus on the project from all imp completion by June 2016. By Decen	The project was further delayed by a leng bacted stakeholders. The City is currently	lized consultants. The process was long and complex, and took thy community outreach process, which was necessary to gather working on the PS&E phase of the project and anticipates e Right of Way phase and allocate CON funding. Therefore, o December 31, 2016.		
12	City of Palmdale	\$0	12 Months		
	Los Angeles County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 07-4878	\$2,500	Neutral		
	Avenue R Complete Streets and	\$0			
	Safe Routes project	\$2,500			
	The City of Palmdale (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the Right of Way (ROW) phase of the Avenue R Complete Streets and Safe Routes project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Studies (PA&ED) phase. For this project, the City is also concurrently requesting a time extension for the construction (CON) phase.				
	requirements for the project. Origina the Final Rule now requires a Finding 12 months, creating a cascade effect	lly, the environmental document had qual g of No Significant Impact. This will dela delaying all subsequent project milestones	hway Administration Final Rule amended the environmental ified for a Categorical Exemption. However, implementation of y completion of the project's environmental document by the City anticipates allocation of ROW funds by cation of the ROW phase to June 30, 2017.		
13	City of Palmdale	\$0	20 Months		
-	Los Angeles County	\$0	02/28/2018		
	PPNO: 07-4878	\$0	Neutral		
	Avenue R Complete Streets and	\$2,252			
	Safe Routes project	\$2,252			
	The City of Palmdale (City) requests a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Avenue R Complete Streets and Safe Routes project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Studies (PA&ED) phase of the project. For this project, the City is also concurrently requesting a time extension for the Right of Way (ROW) phase.				

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
14	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4931 Sixth Street Viaduct Replacement Project: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities project	\$0 \$0 \$2,052 <b>\$2,052</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Los Angeles (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Sixth Street Viaduct Replacement Project: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase. The City was unable to initiate authorization until the project description was amended to include the Active Transportation Program component of work in the Federal Transportation Improvement Program. The City has multiple federal funding sources on the project. The project was unexpectedly delayed when its Highway Bridge Program funding eligibility had to be revised and approved by FHWA. This resulted in a 12 month delay. The City anticipates allocation of CON funds in June 2017. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.				
15			09 Months 03/31/2017 Support ding for the construction (CON) phase of the Priority The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project		
	Approval and Environmental Document phase. The project was unexpectedly delayed for nine months when the City discovered that the project required a more complex environmental document than was originally anticipated due to some areas being located within a historical district. This resulted in the need for the City to hire specialized environmental experts to complete the more detailed studies. The length and complexity of the process to acquire these specialized consultants resulted in a delay to the project. Also contributing to the previously mentioned project delay, was an unanticipated, lengthy community outreach process that turned out to be required for a consensus of approval from the property owners, residents, business owners, and other stakeholders who will be impacted by the project.				
	Way and Plans, Specifications and Es	imate by December 2016. To allow for a	ty outreach planned, the City anticipates completing Right of my unforeseen issues, the City is requesting an additional three , the City requests a nine-month time extension for allocation of		

oject #	Applicant County PPNO	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval &	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
	Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) <b>TOTAL</b>			
16	City of Bell Gardens Los Angeles County	\$0 \$0	6 Months 12/31/2016		
	PPNO: 07-5154	\$0	Support		
	Bell Gardens Citywide Safety Enhancement project	\$802 <b>\$802</b>			
			e funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Bell Gardens ys during the Project Approval and Environmental Document		
	project proposes to install pedestriar bike route study prior to identifying original scope. The Preliminary Env	and bicycle safety enhancements through all required bike and pedestrian pavement ironmental Study was submitted in March the CON allocation by December 2016. T	as needing to be addressed by the project within the City. The out the City. The City had to complete a preliminary citywide marking locations. This work was not accounted for in the 2016. The Right of Way Certification is anticipated to be herefore, the City requests a six-month time extension for		
17	City of Santa Clarita	\$0	12 Months		
- /	Los Angeles County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 07-5156	\$0	Support		
	Sierra Highway Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge and Street Improvements project	\$1,402 <b>\$1,402</b>			
	The City of Santa Clarita (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Sierra Highway Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge and Street Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase.				
	The City noted that the project required a more complex environmental document, triggered by the proposed pedestrian bridge. The Visual Impact Assessment and the Natural Environmental Study were more complex than anticipated, and delayed the project by nine months. Because a portion of the project is within the State's Right of Way (ROW), the project must also include additional State oversight of the project design plans, and specifications. This was not accounted for in the original project schedule, delaying the project an additional three months.				
			2016 and the Plans, Specification and Estimate in June 2017. e City requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the		
18	San Gabriel Valley Council of	\$0	6 Months		
	Governments	\$0	12/31/2016		
	Los Angeles County	\$0 \$642	Support		
	PPNO: 07-5182 Regional Active Transportation	\$643 <b>\$643</b>			
	Planning Initiative	ψυτυ			
	The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG) requests a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Regional Active Transportation Planning Initiative. SGVCOG experienced unexpected delays during the pre-award audit.				
	to receive funding. As an alternative of Governments (SCAG), to administ	e, SGVCOG has been seeking to transfer t ster the project on behalf of SGVCOG. SO	selection. However, SGVCOG became designated as ineligible he funds to another agency, the Southern California Association GVCOG anticipates to complete the transfer by July 2016. h transition of the project between SGVCOG and SCAG, and		

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
19	City of Indio Riverside County PPNO: 08-1144 Andrew Jackson Elementary Pedestrian Improvements	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,374 <b>\$2,374</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Indio (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Andrew Jackson Elementary Pedestrian Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. The process to receive proposals, interview qualified firms, and begin negotiations took longer than expected. This delay directly impacted the allocation of CON funds. The project was delayed further by a more lengthy community outreach process than was initially scoped, which was necessary to build a consensus among the project stakeholders. With the initial delay to PA&ED and the need for more extensive community outreach, the Right of Way and Plans, Specifications, and Estimate phases are estimated to be completed and CON allocated in June 2017. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.				
20	County of Riverside Riverside County PPNO: 08-1153 Grapefruit Boulevard/4 <sup>th</sup> Street	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,860	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	Pedestrian and Roadway Safety Improvements project	\$1,860 equests a 12-month time extension to alloc:	te funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Grapefruit		

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
21			12 Months 06/30/2017 Support ate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Troth Street sected delays during the Plans, Specifications and Estimate phase		
	of the project. The City of Jurupa Valley (City) determined they could not secure a Master Agreement in time to deliver the project. As a result, the City entered into a service agreement with the County in March 2015, designating the County as the lead agency. During early development of improvement plans, it was learned that the Right of Way (ROW) dedication will require 28 properties and several power poles to be relocated. The County will need to hold several community meetings for feedback from property owners to formulate a design that will be acceptable to the entire community. The County anticipates incorporating the community's concerns, completion of ROW, and completion of plans by February 2017. The County proposes to construct this project in the summer months to minimize impacts to school operation. Therefore, the County requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.				
22	City of Coachella Riverside County PPNO: 08-1163 Active Transportation Program Improvements project	\$0 \$0 \$1,664 <b>\$1,664</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Coachella (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Active Transportation Program Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document phase.				
	This project received a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase at the June 2015 Commission meeting. The time extension was granted because the City needed to ensure that the project's proposed elements would not create any negative impacts to the community, which required waiting for the adoption of the City's General Plan (GP). Until the GP was adopted, the City could not proceed with the project's environmental document (NEPA) clearance, which is required before CON can be allocated.				
	approved and during the environmen The current target NEPA completion city is currently procuring the PS&E	tal technical studies, additional studies and is in November 2016. The PS&E phase v design consultant and anticipates having to 9 months which is targeted in May 2017.	ental Study concurrence in September 2015. After the GP was d consultation with Native American Tribes were identified. was allocated at the January 2016 Commission meeting. The them under contract by August 2016. The design of the scope Therefore, based on this timeline, the City requests a 12-month		

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation
23	San Bernardino Association of Governments San Bernardino County PPNO: 08-1166 San Bernardino Association of Governments Metrolink Station Accessibility Improvement project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$4,103 <b>\$4,103</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support nth time extension to allocate funding for the construction
	experienced an unexpected delay durin During PA&ED, SANBAG found that safety component needed in a historic of clearance in March 2016. The City and	g the Project Approval and Environment the project required a more complex env district, the project was unexpectedly del	ironmental document than originally anticipated. With a key ayed by ten months. SANBAG received environmental cations and Estimate, and allocation of CON by June 2017.
24	City of Moreno Valley Riverside County PPNO: 08-1167 Citywide Safe Routes to School Pedestrian Facility Improvements	\$0 \$0 \$1,469 <b>\$1,469</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support
	Safe Routes to School Pedestrian Facil Environmental Document (PA&ED) pl The City determined the project require have the expertise to complete the requ previously received a 12-month time er approval in May 2016, and allocation of	ity Improvements project. The City expenses. ed a more complex environmental docun ired environmental studies, a consultant xtension to the PA&ED phase during the	te funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Citywide erienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and nent than originally anticipated. Because the City staff did not was hired, which delayed the project by 12 months. The City May 2015 Commission meeting. The City anticipates NEPA ions and Estimate phases in June 2016. Therefore, the City 2017.
25	City of Chino Hills San Bernardino County PPNO: 08-1168 Los Serranos Safe Routes to School Sidewalk project The City of Chino Hills (City) requests	\$0 \$0 \$1,613 <b>\$1,613</b> • a seven-month time extension to allocat	7 Months 01/31/2017 Support e funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Los
l	Serranos Safe Routes to Schools Sidew Document phase.	alk project. The City experienced unexp	o have a Master Agreement (MA) with the Department prior to
		equest CON allocation by January 2017.	nd Estimate phase by October 2016, Right-of-Way Therefore, the City requests a seven-month time extension for

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation	
26	City of Fontana San Bernardino County PPNO: 08-1169 Safe Routes to Schools project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,458 <b>\$1,458</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support	
	Schools project. The City experien The project was unexpectedly delay required Cultural Studies including Report record search. The City now expects to complete to The City expects to have the ROW	ced unexpected delays during the Project A ved after the Preliminary Environmental Sur an Archaeology Survey Report, a Historic heir NEPA document by June 2016. The R	ling for the construction (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to pproval and Environmental Document phase. rvey (PES) was performed. The PES indicated the project Property Survey Report and a Historic Resources Evaluation ight of Way (ROW) allocation is now anticipated by May 2017. way for the City to allocate CON by June 2017. Therefore, the ne 30, 2017.	
27			10 Months 04/30/2017 Support nding for the construction (CON) phase of the Wells/Arlanza ng the Project Approval and Environmental Document	
	determined that the project required Waste Initial Site Assessment, an N consultant was hired to complete the project. With the consultant now on board,	I additional environmental studies including latural Environmental Study, a Historical Pr e required studies. Hiring a consultant and the City anticipates completing the PA&ED are estimated to be completed in April 2017	on, with no additional studies required. However, it was a Noise Technical Study, a Hazardous Study, a Hazardous operty Report, and a Historic Resources Evaluation Report. A additional environmental studies added a ten-month delay to the phase in August 2016. The Right of Way and Plans, 7. Therefore, the City requests a 10-month time extension for	
28	City of Riverside Riverside County PPNO: 08-1188 Norte Vista Sidewalk Improvements	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,882 <b>\$1,882</b>	10 Months 04/30/2017 Support	
	The City of Riverside (City) requests a 10-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Norte Vista Sidewalk Improvements project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. The City determined a Noise Study Hazardous Waste Initial Site Assessment, Natural Environmental Study, and Cultural Studies would need to be performed. Adding this work to the scope delayed the project seven months. In addition, to complete the studies, a consultant was hired by the City. This delayed the project five months. With the consultant now on board, the City anticipates completing the PA&ED phase in August 2016. The Right of Way and Plans,			
		are estimated to be completed by April 201	phase in August 2016. The Right of Way and Plans, 7. Therefore, the City requests a ten-month time extension fo	

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
29	County of Kern Kern County PPNO: 09-6772 Mojave Pedestrian Improvements project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$249 <b>\$249</b>	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support		
			unding for the construction (CON) phase of the Mojave during the Project Approval and Environmental Document		
	was unable to meet the delivery dates work on PA&ED began, the County F and requirements, including state lead project also created a gap in a rail line County PWD decided to exclude the document approved on March 25, 201	This late change in project sponsorship WD discovered the project was on the St on the CEQA review, a state encroachme crossing, which required a logical Termi ail section from the project scope. With	ommunity Development Department of Kern County, which resulted in a four-month delay to the PA&ED phase. Once ate Highway System. This required additional state oversight ent permit and a state cooperative maintenance agreement. The ni Mini Study. After a two-month delay to the project, the he delayed start of design and with the environmental esting CON allocation by December 2016. Therefore, the ase to December 31, 2016.		
30	City of Tracy San Joaquin County PPNO: 10-3160 Mount Diablo/Mount Oso/C. Street Improvement project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$760 <b>\$760</b>	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support		
	The City of Tracy (City) requests a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Mount Diablo/Mount Oso/C. Street Improvement project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase.				
	The City is currently working with property owners to acquire the ROW needed to construct the project, which has taken longer than anticipated. The City anticipates ROW acquisition and certification by October 2016. For this project, the City is also requesting an additional two months to allow for any unforeseen issues. Therefore, the City requests a six-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to December 31, 2016.				
31	City of Modesto Stanislaus County PPNO: 10-6002 Modesto Junior College Class I Bicycle Path (Phase II) project	\$0 \$0 \$512 <b>\$512</b>	10 Months 04/30/2017 Support		
	The City of Modesto (City) requests a 10-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Modesto Junior College Class I Bicycle Path (Phase II) project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase.				
	and UPRR have worked together in so a sublease of one of the properties, wi ROW by August 2016 and Plans, Spe an internal review of the PS&E packa	earch of the current ownership of the parc nich made the process to acquire the prop cifications & Estimate (PS&E) by Februa	barcels owned by the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR). The City els. This record search reached back to the 1800s, and included erties long and complex. The City now anticipates completing ry 2017. After PS&E, but prior to CON, the project will have purposes before it receives approval from the City Manager. N phase to April 30, 2017.		

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation		
32	County of San Diego San Diego County PPNO: 11-1158 Safe Routes to School – Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High project	\$0 \$0 \$166 \$0 <b>\$166</b>	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support		
	The County of San Diego (County) requests a six-month time extension to allocate Right of Way (ROW) funds for the Safe Routes to School – Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High project. The County experienced unexpected delays during the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase. For this project, the County is also requesting concurrent a time extension for the construction (CON) phase. The project borders an environmentally sensitive area and the original scope, which included motorized components, was revised to include only the motorized components required for pedestrian improvements. The County plans on requesting an allocation of funds for PS&E by June 2016. In addition, new California Water Board regulations require the County to purchase large detention areas to mitigate the project's environmental impacts on adjacent properties. In an effort to reduce the number of these impacts, the County is revising their design to avoid the environmental areas as much as possible. This redesign will result in a six-month delay to the project. Therefore, the County requests a six-month time extension for allocation of the ROW phase to December 31, 2016.				
33	County of San Diego San Diego County PPNO: 11-1158 Safe Routes to School – Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,900 <b>\$1,900</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support		
	The County of San Diego (County) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate construction (CON) funds for the Safe Routes to School – Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High project. The County experienced unexpected delays during the Project Assessment and Environmental Document (PA&ED) and the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phases of work. For this project, the County is also requesting a concurrent time extension for the Right of Way (ROW) phase.				
	The project borders an environmentally sensitive area and the original scope, which included motorized components, was revised to include only the motorized components required for pedestrian improvements, resulting in a six-month delay. In addition, new California Water Board regulations require the County to purchase large detention areas to mitigate the project's environmental impacts on adjacent properties. In an effort to reduce the number of these impacts, the County is revising their design to avoid the environmental areas as much as possible. This redesign will result in an additional six-month delay to the project.				
	With the six-month delay in PA&ED and the six-month delay in PS&E, the project was delayed a total of 12 months. Therefore, the County requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.				

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environment Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Number of Months Requested Extended Deadline CT Recommendation	
34	City of Anaheim Orange County PPNO: 12-2170A Anaheim Coves Northern Extension project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,025 <b>\$1,025</b>	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support	

The City of Anaheim (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Anaheim Coves Northern Extension project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document phase.

The City did not anticipate California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) having oversight of the properties as existing mitigation areas. Properly addressing CDFW needs has required additional time and coordination, resulting in a six-month delay to the project. The required mitigation for the bird nesting season prohibits construction activities, which creates an additional six-month delay.

With these delays, the City now anticipates receiving approval of the environmental document in September 2016. The City also expects to complete the Right of Way and the Plans, Specifications and Estimate phases of work in September 2016. The new anticipated allocation date for CON is June 2017. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time extension for allocation of the CON phase to June 30, 2017.