California State Transportation Agency

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION **TAB 102**

CTC Meeting: June 29-30, 2016

Reference No.: 2.8a. – *REPLACEMENT ITEM* Action Item

From: NORMA ORTEGA Chief Financial Officer Prepared by: Rihui Zhang, Chief Division of Local Assistance

Subject: REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT ALLOCATION FOR LOCALLY ADMINISTERED ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM PROJECT, PER ATP GUIDELINES WAIVER 16-23

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u>

The California Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) extend the period of project allocation as supported for the projects listed on the attached document for the time periods shown.

ISSUE:

The attached document identifies 63 allocations totaling \$103,259,000 that are programmed in the Active Transportation Program (ATP) for Fiscal Year 2015-16. The agencies will not be able to request allocation of funds by the June 30, 2016 deadline. The attachment shows the details of the projects and the explanations for the delays. The project sponsors are requesting extensions, and the regional planning agencies concur.

BACKGROUND:

Current ATP Guidelines adopted by the Commission stipulate that funds that are programmed for all components of local grant projects or for Department construction costs are available for allocation only until the end of the fiscal year identified in the ATP. The Commission may approve a waiver to the timely use of funds deadline one-time only for up to 20 months.

Attachment

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 1 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Deviced Decementary	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) DOW (Bicks of War)	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation	
	Project Description Reason for Project Delay	ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	MPO/State/SU&R	
1	County of Humboldt Humboldt County PPNO: 01-2402 Lafayette Elementary School Safe Routes Improvements Project	\$0 \$0 \$655 \$655	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State	
		s a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the cons ents Project. The County experienced unexpected delays du		
	began, the County was able to better define property line. This work revealed numerou were planned. These encroachments includ would need for the project to 50. To finish project design, the County will need more ti project will need. These have delayed the p		and survey staking of the ROW ncluding where project improvements reased the number of TCEs the County ers and incorporate the changes into the	
		time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.		
2	Mendocino Council of Governments Mendocino County	\$0 \$184	20 Months 02/28/2018	
	PPNO: 01-4610B	\$0	Support, 20 Months	
	Covelo State Route 162 Corridor Multi- Purpose Trail Phase 1	\$0 \$184	State	
	The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) requests a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase of the Covelo State Route 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail Phase 1 project. MCOG experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. Soon after PA&ED allocation, MCOG found its employees, all Dow and Associates consultants, did not meet the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA's) requirements to administer the project. Specifically, the FHWA rule states that MCOG must have one of its own staff person in "Responsible Charge" (oversight and funding responsibilities). This unique problem required a lengthy process, involving many meetings with the Department and FHWA, which delayed the project 12 months. The issue was resolved when the Department and FHWA granted one-time authority to Dow and Associates to act on behalf of MCOG as the implementing agency.			
	Due to the 12-month delay regarding the res Biological Assessment and Natural Environ Spring when vegetation is in bloom and war result, these studies have been pushed back	sponsible charge issue, the consultant contract was not awar iment Study have been delayed. Certain components of eac terways have not dried up. That window in 2016 was close to the Spring of 2017. This has caused additional delays ir ting NEPA in November 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation	rded until May 2016. Completion of the th study must be completed during the d by the time the project began. As a n the completion of the environmental	
	Therefore, the MCOG requests a 20-month	time extension to the PS&E phase of this project to Februar	ry 28, 2018.	

TAB 102Reference No.: 2.8a.June 29-30, 2016Attachment, Page 2 of 30REPLACEMENT ITEM

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
3	City of Elk Grove	\$0 \$2	20 Months
	Sacramento County	\$0 \$92	06/30/2017
	PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space	\$83 \$0	Support, 12 Months State
	Preserve Trail project	\$83	State
	12-month time extension to allocate fur project. The County experienced unexp requesting a time extension for constru- In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA.	requested a 20-month time extension. After working with the ading for the Right of Way (ROW) phase of the Lower Laguna pected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental E ction allocation. this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Sp The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed	Creek Open Space Preserve Trail Document phase. This project is also ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly
	cascade effect on the subsequent project		i me project 12 months, which has had a
	, , <u>,</u> 1	y	
	C' CEIL C	¢0.	20.14
4	City of Elk Grove	\$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018
4	Sacramento County	\$0	02/28/2018
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677		
4	Sacramento County	\$0 \$0	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Prese	\$0 \$0 \$1,224	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Prese Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA.	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 y requested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RO this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Sp. The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Press Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA. caused the need to add an additional sec cascade effect on the subsequent project Once ROW is allocated, the City antici required for CON, including the State V	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 y requested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RG this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Spe The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed at phases including ROW and CON. pates it will take six months to complete and certify ROW. This Water Resources Control Board, the Regional Water Quality Co oon as the ROW is certified, the City will submit the CON alloc	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly d the project 12 months, which has had a s includes receiving all the permits ontrol Board, and the California
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Press Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA. caused the need to add an additional sec cascade effect on the subsequent project Once ROW is allocated, the City antici required for CON, including the State W Department of Fish and Wildlife. As se inclusion into the next available Comm	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 y requested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RG this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Spe The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed at phases including ROW and CON. pates it will take six months to complete and certify ROW. This Water Resources Control Board, the Regional Water Quality Co oon as the ROW is certified, the City will submit the CON alloc	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly d the project 12 months, which has had a s includes receiving all the permits ontrol Board, and the California
4	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Press Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA. caused the need to add an additional sec cascade effect on the subsequent project Once ROW is allocated, the City antici required for CON, including the State W Department of Fish and Wildlife. As se inclusion into the next available Comm	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 Y requested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RO this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Sp. The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed the phases including ROW and CON. pates it will take six months to complete and certify ROW. This Water Resources Control Board, the Regional Water Quality Co oon as the ROW is certified, the City will submit the CON allocation ision meeting, a two month process. In time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly d the project 12 months, which has had a as includes receiving all the permits entrol Board, and the California ecition request to the Department for 12 Months
	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Prese Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA. caused the need to add an additional sec cascade effect on the subsequent project Once ROW is allocated, the City antici required for CON, including the State V Department of Fish and Wildlife. As se inclusion into the next available Comm Therefore, the City requests a 20-month City of Rancho Cordova Sacramento County	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 Trequested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RO this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Sp. The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed et phases including ROW and CON. pates it will take six months to complete and certify ROW. This Water Resources Control Board, the Regional Water Quality Co oon as the ROW is certified, the City will submit the CON allocations ision meeting, a two month process. In time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly I the project 12 months, which has had a as includes receiving all the permits entrol Board, and the California ecition request to the Department for 12 Months 06/30/2017
	Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1677 Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail project The City of Elk Grove (City) originally Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Prese Environmental Document phase. This In June 2015, the Commission granted based on a delay in completing NEPA. caused the need to add an additional sec cascade effect on the subsequent project Once ROW is allocated, the City antici required for CON, including the State V Department of Fish and Wildlife. As sec inclusion into the next available Comm Therefore, the City requests a 20-month City of Rancho Cordova	\$0 \$0 \$1,224 \$1,224 \$1,224 Y requested a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the erve Trail project. The County experienced unexpected delays of project is also requesting a time extension for Right of Way (RO this project a 12-month time extension to allocate the Plans, Sp. The environmental process took longer as a result of the statew ason to study the project's potential wetland areas. This delayed the phases including ROW and CON. pates it will take six months to complete and certify ROW. This Water Resources Control Board, the Regional Water Quality Co oon as the ROW is certified, the City will submit the CON allocations is in meeting, a two month process. the time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0	02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months State e construction (CON) phase of the during the Project Approval and OW) allocation. ecifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase, vide drought, which unexpectedly the project 12 months, which has had a as includes receiving all the permits entrol Board, and the California ecition request to the Department for 12 Months

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 3 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
	Rails to Trails Project. The City experience The project has been unexpectedly delayed Although the City hopes to complete ROW	sts a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the con ced unexpected delays during the project's Right of Way (RC d in obtaining Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) approval to us V by June 2016, the City understands the process to obtain U es ROW approval in April 2017. Once ROW is approved, th	DW) phase. se railroad property for this project. IPRR approval for use of their property
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time	me extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.	
6	City of Folsom Sacramento County PPNO: 03-1683 Oak Parkway Trail Under Crossing and Johnny Cash Trail Connection	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$882 \$882	12 Months 02/28/2017 Support, 8 Months MPO
	eight-month time extension to allocate fun Trail Connection project. The City experi- phase. In July 2015, as the City was midway thro One of the provisions of AB 52 gave Triba month review period was unforeseen by th preliminary design, that the project will rec	ested a 12-month time extension. After working with the Dep ding for the construction (CON) phase of the Oak Parkway a enced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Er ugh PA&ED, Assembly Bill (AB) 52 was enacted, which re al governments a minimum 90-day response period for the The City, and not accounted for in the original project timeline quire relocation of two Pacific Gas and Electric gas lines. R	Trail Under Crossing and Johnny Cash avironmental Document (PA&ED) equired Tribal consultation under CEQA. ribal Consultation process. This three- b. The City also observed during
	eight-month time extension to allocate fun Trail Connection project. The City experi- phase. In July 2015, as the City was midway thro One of the provisions of AB 52 gave Triba month review period was unforeseen by th preliminary design, that the project will re- take an additional five months.	ding for the construction (CON) phase of the Oak Parkway enced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Er ugh PA&ED, Assembly Bill (AB) 52 was enacted, which re al governments a minimum 90-day response period for the The City, and not accounted for in the original project timeline	Trail Under Crossing and Johnny Cash avironmental Document (PA&ED) equired Tribal consultation under CEQA. ribal Consultation process. This three- be the City also observed during elocation of these lines is anticipated to
7	eight-month time extension to allocate fun Trail Connection project. The City experi- phase. In July 2015, as the City was midway thro One of the provisions of AB 52 gave Triba month review period was unforeseen by th preliminary design, that the project will re- take an additional five months.	ding for the construction (CON) phase of the Oak Parkway f enced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Er ugh PA&ED, Assembly Bill (AB) 52 was enacted, which re al governments a minimum 90-day response period for the T he City, and not accounted for in the original project timeline quire relocation of two Pacific Gas and Electric gas lines. Re	Trail Under Crossing and Johnny Cash avironmental Document (PA&ED) equired Tribal consultation under CEQA. ribal Consultation process. This three- be the City also observed during elocation of these lines is anticipated to
7	eight-month time extension to allocate fun Trail Connection project. The City experi- phase. In July 2015, as the City was midway thro One of the provisions of AB 52 gave Triba month review period was unforeseen by th preliminary design, that the project will re- take an additional five months. Therefore, the City is willing to reduce the City of West Sacramento Yolo County PPNO: 03-1921 Citywide Bike Lane Gap Closures project The City of West Sacramento (City) reque Bike Lane Gap Closures project. The City Although the design and Right of Way (Re noted the ROW certification process was b	ding for the construction (CON) phase of the Oak Parkway enced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Er ugh PA&ED, Assembly Bill (AB) 52 was enacted, which re al governments a minimum 90-day response period for the Tr the City, and not accounted for in the original project timeline quire relocation of two Pacific Gas and Electric gas lines. Re there request to an eight-month time extension to allocate CON \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$401	Trail Under Crossing and Johnny Cash avironmental Document (PA&ED) equired Tribal consultation under CEQA. ribal Consultation process. This three- The City also observed during elocation of these lines is anticipated to by February 28, 2017. 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO nstruction (CON) phase of the Citywide Specifications and Estimate phase. eted by West Sacramento staff, the City eted to hire a ROW consultant. The

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 4 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
8	San Mateo County Office of Education San Mateo County PPNO: 04-1040B San Mateo County Safe Routes to School for Health and Wellness program	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$900 \$900	10 Months 04/30/2017 Support, 10 Months State		
	phase of the San Mateo County Safe Rout	on (SMCOE) requests a 10-month time extension to allocate es to School for Health and Wellness program. The local ag). This is a non-infrastructure project with ATP funding only pres.	ency experienced unexpected delays		
	transportation funding. SMCOE did not a	San Mateo County Board of Supervisors, SMCOE is new to t nticipate the complexity and additional requirements to be el e-Award Audit (Audit), and required procurement policies.			
	Prior to approval of the MA, the County was required to pass a Department administered Audit, assuring their accounting procedures and safeguards met federal and state requirements. As soon as the project funding was approved in December 2014, SMCOE submitted their request for the Audit. By July 2015, the Audit was completed. The Division of Audits and Investigations issued a list of deficiencies SMCOE would need to correct before the Department could execute the MA. SMCOE submitted their Corrective Action Plan in January 2016, complying with the Audit requirements.				
	In March 2016, the Department reviewed the SMCOE, noting SMCOEs procurement policies needed further development to comply with the requirements of the MA. SMCOE is currently modifying their procurement policy requirements. Based on these events, SMCOE is requesting an additional 10 months to allocate CON.				
		th time extension to allocate CON by April 30, 2017.			
9	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency San Francisco County PPNO: 04-2023E Vision Zero Safety Investment project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$4,058 \$4,058	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO		
	The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of their Vision Zero Safety Investment project. SFMTA experienced unexpected delays during the Right of Way (ROW) phase.				
		rogram (ATP) funds for this project must align with the alloc To align these two sources of funding, the SFMTA needs an vill occur.			

Therefore, the SFMTA requests a 12-month time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.

TAB 102Reference No.: 2.8a.June 29-30, 2016Attachment, Page 5 of 30REPLACEMENT ITEM

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
10	City of Oakland Alameda County PPNO: 04-2190C International Boulevard Pedestrian Lightin and Sidewalk Repair Project	\$2,481	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State		
	Boulevard Pedestrian Lighting and Sidewa Specifications and Estimate phase. This project proposes to identify deficienci Costa (AC) Transit corridor segments. This relocate the bus stops along the same transis strategically along the bus corridor, this pro- milestones for this project, AC Transit exp delays, AC Transit did not complete their of project.	nonth time extension to allocate funding for the construction ilk Repair Project. The City experienced unexpected delays ies in the pedestrian lighting level and improve those locatio is project compliments the AC Transit's East Bay Bus Rapic it corridor. Because the pedestrian light fixtures proposed b oject requires the BRT bus stop design information. At the ected to have their project design completed by January 201 design until January 2016. Hence, the BRT project resulted me extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.	during the project's Plans, ons along one of the Alameda and Contra d Transit (BRT) Project, which will y this project are to be placed time the City developed the original 5. However, because of BRT project		
11	City of Oakland Alameda County PPNO: 04-2190D LAMMPS/Laurel, Mills, Maxwell Park and Seminary Active Transportation Connection Project	\$0 \$0 \$3,598 \$3,598	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 9 Months State		
	The City of Oakland (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the LAMMPS/Laurel, Mills, Maxwell Park and Seminary Active Transportation Connection Project. The Department believes the City's justification only substantiates a 9-month time extension, based on the anticipated milestone date for CON allocation provided by the City in their Request for Time Extension application. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase.				
		the proposed traffic models showed more design and modeling th pedestrian and vehicle flow. Minimizing conflict betwee yond what the City anticipated.			
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month tir	ne extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.			

TAB 102Reference No.: 2.8a.June 29-30, 2016Attachment, Page 6 of 30REPLACEMENT ITEM

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
12	City of Santa Barbara Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-2603 Montecito – Yanonali Street Bridge Replacement and Pedestrian Improvement project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,845 \$2,845	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months SU&R		
	Yanonali Street Bridge Replacement and P	12-month time extension to allocate funding for the constr edestrian Improvement project. The City experienced unex A&ED) phase. The City is also requesting an allocation for	pected delays during the Project		
	December 2014, causing a four-month dela the greater than expected number of site co way lines. The project was further delayed City Structure of Merit. This required the C proposed bridge is consistent with the City	cation of PA&ED would occur in August 2014. However, t y. Once PA&ED was allocated, the survey of the project ar nstraints, which include creek channel profiles, large specir when the City discovered the Montecito-Yanonali Street B City to develop special provisions for removal of the existin 's Master Environmental Agreement. Completion of PS&E ON allocation at the June 2017 CTC meeting.	ea took longer than anticipated due to nen trees, utilities, walls, and right of ridge held the designation of a potential g bridge and assure the plans of the		
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month tin	ne extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.			
13	City of Goleta Santa Barbara County PPNO: 05-4611 Fowler Road and Ekwill Street Extension project	\$0 \$0 \$2,010 \$2,010	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months SU&R		
	The City of Goleta (City) requests a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Fowler Road and Ekwill Street Extension project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estimate phase.				
	This project proposes to build a portion of new roadway located within the boundaries of the Santa Barbara Airport (Airport), and is intended to provide new access between the Airport and Goleta Old Town.				
Although the City worked closely with the Airport in designing this project, late in the PS&E phase, Administration caused the Airport to unexpectedly raise concerns over the perceived risk of the proje Airport's Runway Protection Zone (RPZ). To help alleviate the Airport's concern, the City agreed to perform an RPZ Impact Analysis. The City and Airport have been working closely together in the co consultant procurement and RPZ Impact Analysis will take an additional 10 months to complete.		t's proposed roadway being located within the have a consultant, independent of City influence,			
	transportation fund deficit. As part of the c Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in the	e City notice to reduce the cost of this project by reducing the ost savings, and to minimize disruptions to the public, the C 2017-18 state fiscal year. The City was able to reduce the sing. However, to obtain the savings an additional 10 monthermore savings and the savings are savings and the savings and the savings are saving	City is combining this project with the Hollister scope, for a savings of \$2.3 million in State		
	Therefore, the City requests a 20-month tin	ne extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018.			

TAB 102Reference No.: 2.8a.June 29-30, 2016Attachment, Page 7 of 30REPLACEMENT ITEM

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
4	City of Fresno	\$0	12 Months
	Fresno County	\$0	06/30/2017
	PPNO: 06-6759	\$0	Support, 12 Months
	Sidewalks on Hughes Avenue from Hedges to Floradora project	\$127 \$127	МРО
	Hughes Avenue from Hedges to Florado	nonth time extension to allocate funding for the construction (ra project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the	e project's Right of Way (ROW) phase.
	1 5 1 5 5	hen the City discovered one of the parcels it needed to acquire osure, acquire the property, and complete the ROW process.	e was currently in foreclosure. The City
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month	time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.	
5	County of Fresno	\$0	6 Months
	Fresno County	\$0	12/31/2016
	PPNO: 06-6763	\$0	Support, 6 Months
	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project	\$130	MPO
	Dunlap - Lighted Crosswalk project. Th	\$130 a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's aread by local funds. The DS &E and COU phage area ATB fun	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The
	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. Th PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. n delayed in obtaining the needed
	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. Th PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. n delayed in obtaining the needed
16	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. Th PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six mo	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. n delayed in obtaining the needed
16	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. The PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes Therefore, the County requests a six-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six month the time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016. \$0 \$0	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. In delayed in obtaining the needed onths to complete ROW.
16	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. The PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes Therefore, the County requests a six-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4309	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six mo- nth time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. n delayed in obtaining the needed onths to complete ROW. 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months
16	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. The PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes Therefore, the County requests a six-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six month the time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016. \$0 \$0	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. In delayed in obtaining the needed onths to complete ROW.
.6	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. The PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes Therefore, the County requests a six-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4309 Beverly Boulevard Active Transportation Improvements project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six mo- nth time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$992	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. a delayed in obtaining the needed onths to complete ROW. 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State ction (CON) phase of the Beverly
6	Dunlap – Lighted Crosswalk project. The PA&ED and ROW phases are being cov The project is located on multiple Kings Temporary Construction Permits on thes Therefore, the County requests a six-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4309 Beverly Boulevard Active Transportation Improvements project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests Boulevard Active Transportation Improv determination process. This project was delayed when the City f awarded were not eligible for ATP fundi location after it was programmed. In an and Department had many lengthy discu	a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- te County experienced unexpected delays during the project's ered by local funds. The PS&E and CON phases are ATP fun Canyon Unified School District parcels. The County has been e parcels. The County now anticipates needing another six mo- nth time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$992 \$992 \$992 a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct	Right of Way (ROW) phase. The ded. a delayed in obtaining the needed onths to complete ROW. 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State etion (CON) phase of the Beverly ing the project's eligibility <i>e ATP application and subsequently</i> icted a field review of the project Commission for ATP funding, the City anticipates completing NEPA by

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 8 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

'roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
17	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4537 Vermont Avenue Bike Lane, Manchester – El Segundo project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$676 \$676	8 Months 02/28/2017 Support, 8 Months State		
		ests an eight-month time extension to allocate funding for th - El Segundo project. The County experienced unexpected o			
	The County originally expected to install the bikeways by restriping travel lanes within the existing ROW. After initial studies, the County noted it needed a long, one-foot wide strip of property owned by the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) to meet the minimum width required for bike lanes. Permit approval and ROW issues with the UPRR is a very cumbersome and time consuming process, which almost always results in significant project delays. The County anticipates an eight-month delay to the project with CON allocation by February 2017.				
	Therefore, the County requests an eight-m	onth time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2017.			
18	Therefore, the County requests an eight-m County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4538 Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements project	onth time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,188 \$1,188	9 Months 03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State		
18	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4538 Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements project The County of Los Angeles (County) requ	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,188	03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State construction (CON) phase of the		
18	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4538 Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements project The County of Los Angeles (County) requ Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Right of Way (ROW) phase. The project was delayed during the ROW Hooper Avenue Bike Route segment, built	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,188 \$1,188 s1,188 rests a nine-month time extension to allocate funding for the y Access Improvements project. The County experienced ur phase when the County took advantage of an opportunity to t as a part of an earlier project. Although this was done to ac d the remaining work on the project as the County had to tak	03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State construction (CON) phase of the nexpected delays during the project's have a portion of this project, the reclerate the implementation of some of		
18	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4538 Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements project The County of Los Angeles (County) requ Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Right of Way (ROW) phase. The project was delayed during the ROW Hooper Avenue Bike Route segment, built the proposed improvements, it also delaye reflect the only the remaining work. This After researching and surveying the ROW	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,188 \$1,188 wests a nine-month time extension to allocate funding for the y Access Improvements project. The County experienced ur phase when the County took advantage of an opportunity to t as a part of an earlier project. Although this was done to ac d the remaining work on the project as the County had to tak delayed the project three months. the County discovered a number of the project's existing A County was required to fix the problem, which will take app	03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State construction (CON) phase of the nexpected delays during the project's have a portion of this project, the scelerate the implementation of some of the time to update the final PS&E to DA curb ramps were constructed		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 9 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

·oject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
19	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4864 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Sheridan Street Elementary School and Breed Street Elementary School project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$4,074 \$4,074	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State
	School Infrastructure Improvements for S unexpected delays during the Project App This project received an eight-month tim meeting. The time extension was a resul for NEPA. When the City learned this w	a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct Sheridan Street Elementary School and Breed Street Elementa proval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. e extension to the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) t of the City expecting a relatively straightforward Categorica ouldn't be the case, the City requested a portion of the PS&E A documents. This earlier eight-month delay has had a cascad	ry School project. The City experienced phase at the June 2015 Commission l Exclusion (CE) environmental document funding to be programmed under PA&ED
	The studies include the Air Quality Repo Consultation, and Section 4(f) Historic P of Way by April 2017. This will allow th	as learned additional environmental studies will need to be per rt for a Conformity Finding (23 USC 326 CEs), Air Quality R roperties and Cultural Studies. The City now anticipates com ne City to request CON allocation at the June 2017 Commission th time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.	eport for PM1-/PM2.5 Interagency pleting PS&E by February 2017 and Right
20	The studies include the Air Quality Repo Consultation, and Section 4(f) Historic P of Way by April 2017. This will allow th	rt for a Conformity Finding (23 USC 326 CEs), Air Quality R roperties and Cultural Studies. The City now anticipates com ne City to request CON allocation at the June 2017 Commission	eport for PM1-/PM2.5 Interagency pleting PS&E by February 2017 and Right
20	The studies include the Air Quality Repo Consultation, and Section 4(f) Historic P of Way by April 2017. This will allow th Therefore, the County requests a 12-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4866 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Hollywood and Selma Avenue Elementary School project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests	rt for a Conformity Finding (23 USC 326 CEs), Air Quality R roperties and Cultural Studies. The City now anticipates com ne City to request CON allocation at the June 2017 Commission th time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,751 \$2,751 a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- Hollywood and Selma Avenue Elementary School project. Th	teport for PM1-/PM2.5 Interagency pleting PS&E by February 2017 and Right on meeting. 6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State ction (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to
20	The studies include the Air Quality Repo Consultation, and Section 4(f) Historic P of Way by April 2017. This will allow th Therefore, the County requests a 12-mon City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4866 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Hollywood and Selma Avenue Elementary School project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests School Infrastructure Improvements for I during the project's Right of Way (ROW During ROW, the City determined the pr proposed improvements. The City secur confident it can secure the final two Righ	rt for a Conformity Finding (23 USC 326 CEs), Air Quality R roperties and Cultural Studies. The City now anticipates com ne City to request CON allocation at the June 2017 Commission th time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,751 \$2,751 a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- Hollywood and Selma Avenue Elementary School project. Th	eport for PM1-/PM2.5 Interagency pleting PS&E by February 2017 and Right on meeting. 6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State ction (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to he City experienced unexpected delays erty owners in order to implement the hin the remaining two. The City feels have been executed, the City anticipates

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 10 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
21	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4867 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Menlo Avenue and West Vernon Elementary School project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,794 \$3,794	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State		
		six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- enlo Avenue and West Vernon Elementary School project. ROW) phase.			
	During ROW, the City determined the project needed to obtain a Right of Entry permit from five property owners in order to implement the proposed improvements. The City has not yet secured any of the Right of Entry permits but is making progress towards acquisition. The City feels confident it can secure all Right of Entry permits within three months. Once all the permits have been executed, the City anticipates receiving Department approval of the ROW certification within a month. Two months are then needed to receive CON allocation at the December 2016 Commission meeting.				
	December 2016 Commission meeting.				
	C C	me extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016.			
22	Therefore, the City requests a six-month ti City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4871 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Delores Huerta, 28th Street, and Quincy Jones Elementary Scho project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests a Western Pedestrian Improvements project. During ROW, the City determined the pro- proposed improvements. The City subseq needed Right of Entries to two. The City I permit remaining. The City feels confiden	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,966 \$1,966 \$1,966 ol six-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- The City experienced unexpected delays during the project ject needed to obtain a Right of Entry permit from three prop- uently was able to redesign the project improvements at one has secured one Right of Entry permit and is making progress t it can secure the final Right of Entry permit within three methods partment approval of the ROW certification within a month-	's Right of Way (ROW) phase. berty owners in order to implement the of the locations, reducing the number of s to obtain the one Right of Entry onths. Once all the permits have been		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 11 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

oject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
23	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4872 Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvements for Delores Huerta, 28 th Street, and Quincy Jones Elementary School project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,434 \$3,434	12 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State	
	six-month time extension to allocate fundi	quested a 12-month time extension. After working with the ng for the construction (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to Sones Elementary School project. The City experienced unexp	chool Infrastructure Improvements for	
	proposed improvements. The City has see feels confident it can secure the final four	ject needed to obtain a Right of Entry permit from eight prop rured four Right of Entry permits and is making progress to o Right of Entry permits within three months. Once all the per of the ROW certification within a month. Two months are g.	obtain the remaining four. The City rmits have been executed, the City	
	Therefore, the City is willing to reduce the	ir request to a six-month time extension to allocate CON by	December 31, 2016.	
24	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4873 Little Tokyo Pedestrian Safety Project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,653 \$2,653	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State	
	The City of Los Angeles (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Little Tokyo Pedestrian Safety Project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase.			
	The City expected a Categorical Exclusion determination for NEPA without technical studies, but this turned out to not be the case. Thus, this project received an eight-month time extension to the Plans, Specifications, and Estimate (PS&E) phase at the June 2015 Commission meeting. Subsequently, the required Section 4(f) Historic Properties Report and Cultural Resources Studies delayed the project long enough to miss the new February 2016 PS&E deadline, and the funds lapsed. Since then, the City has continued working on the PS&E phase using their local funds. The City anticipates completing PS&E with their local funds in February 2017 and Right of Way certification by April 2017. This will allow for submittal of the CON allocation request in May 2017 for inclusion in the June 2017 Commission meeting.			
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month tin	me extension to the CON phase of this project to June 30, 20	117.	
25	City of Lancaster Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4881	\$0 \$0 \$0	7 Months 01/31/2017 Support, 7 Months	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 12 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
		even-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- y experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, S	
	the City decided instead to hire a consult anticipated hiring a consultant to cause an response from a high-cost consultant. The negotiate a reasonable price for the consultant.	nds in January 2015. The City intended to perform design in- ant to perform the work. Since the project was still in the pree ny project delays. However, after widely advertising for a con is required additional time during the bid analysis to assure the iltant work. This resulted in a seven-month delay to the project	design stage, the City had not nsultant, the City only received one nere were no bidding irregularities and to
	Therefore, the City requests a seven-mon	th time extension to allocate CON by January 31, 2017.	
26	City of Glendale Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4907 Safe Routes to School Improvements project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,516 \$1,516	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State
		2-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Spectral delays during the project	
	The project was unexpectedly delayed when the Public Works Department (PWD) found the time and location planned for construction of this project, directly conflicted with a Water Department (WD) project also being developed. There is not enough room available to build both projects concurrently and not enough time within the allowable CON window for both projects to be constructed in the same year. Thus, the projects had to be sequenced. Because the WD project consists primarily of underground improvements, and this project consists mainly of above ground improvements, the City will build the WD project in the Summer of 2017. This project will then be constructed in 2018. Sequencing the projects will allow the City to construct the underground improvements without having to remove and replace any of the above ground improvements, resulting in a cost savings and minimizing the impacts to school operations. Sequencing the projects will result in a 12-month delay.		
	Therefore, the City requests a 12-month	time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 13 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

Project # Applicant	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands)	Initial Request
County	PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document)	Extended Deadline
PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate)	Department Recommendation
Project Description	ROW (Right of Way)	MPO/State/SU&R
Reason for Project Delay	CON (Construction)	
	TOTAL	

The County of Los Angeles (County) requested a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the non-infrastructure construction phase of the East Los Angeles Community Safe Routes to School Program.

This project is split into two components, an infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4914A) and a non-infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4914B). The timing of the two components is critical to the success of the overall project. The non-infrastructure component of this project must be timed with the infrastructure component. The non-infrastructure work will include assessing and analyzing school site activity prior to and following the infrastructure improvements, along with education and encouragement programs during the school year. Data collection must be carried out prior to and following the project when school is at full activity levels. The education program must take place in reasonable proximity to the completion of the project to ensure synergy with the new infrastructure improvements. Project construction is 3 months and the overall window for data collection and construction is 12-14 months depending on how it can be fitted into one or overlapping school years.

Procurement for education and encouragement programs is new to the agency, the process for the non-infrastructure portion of this project was more complicated than anticipated at the time the project schedule was originally developed and delayed the project to the following school year. This first-time delay should not affect future ATP SRTS projects. The Request For Statement of Qualifications was released in April 2016 and the selection of vendors will be completed in August 2016. We are advised to allow up to 6 months resolving any challenges to the solicitation. All challenges must be resolved before we may proceed to our governing body, for which acceptance will be no later than January 2017. The County is currently in the vendor selection process and has submitted the allocation request.

The County requests a two-month extension to August 31, 2016.

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 14 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
28	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4914A East Los Angeles Community Safe Routes to School Program <i>(1)</i>	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$550 \$550	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State	
	This project is split into two components, an infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4914A) and a non-infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4914B). The timing of the two components is critical to the success of the overall project. The non-infrastructure component of the project must begin prior to the infrastructure component. Construction must be scheduled to provide for the data collection windows, and education and encouragement programs to be carried out during the school year. The education program must take place in reasonable proximity to construction completion to avoid the education becoming stale. Project construction is 3 months and the overall window for data collection and is 12-14 months depending on how it can be fitted into one or overlapping school years. Allocation of the PSE was in August 2015 and PSE was completed April 2016. The delay in allocating is due to timing the construction with the solicitation and award of the non-infrastructure portion. The non-infrastructure contract will to be awarded by the governing body no later than January 2017. Our standard construction advertising and award process is 5-6 months. The County would like to allocate construction in October 2016, but the extension to December 31, 2016 will guarantee all challenges to the non-infrastructure solicitation are resolved and			
	January 2017. Our standard constructio. October 2016, but the extension to Decem provide for a normal advertising and awa	n advertising and award process is 5-6 months. The Count ber 31, 2016 will guarantee all challenges to the non-infrast rd process for the infrastructure component.	y would like to allocate construction in ructure solicitation are resolved and	
29	January 2017. Our standard constructio. October 2016, but the extension to Decem provide for a normal advertising and awa	n advertising and award process is 5-6 months. The Count ber 31, 2016 will guarantee all challenges to the non-infrast	y would like to allocate construction in ructure solicitation are resolved and	

Therefore, the City is willing to reduce their request to a six-month time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2016.

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 15 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
30	City of Norwalk Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4935 Foster Road Side Panel Safe Routes to School Improvement project The City of Norwalk (City) originally requ	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,108 \$2,108 uested a 12-month time extension. After working with the D	12 Months 02/28/2017 Support, 8 Months MPO enartment, the City reduced their	
	request to an eight-month time extension t	to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the F sperienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval an	oster Road Side Panel Safe Routes to	
	The project was delayed due to a change in the preliminary design to accommodate an on-street bike lane (Class II). This required an analysis of the existing street to determine the impact to traffic. As a result, a traffic signal warrant was conducted at the intersection of Foster Road and Jersey Avenue. Through the warrant analysis, it was determined a traffic signal was required, which caused a reconfiguration of the design. As a result of the change, the City had to withdraw the original environmental document from Caltrans review, revise the document to include the traffic signal and ther resubmit it for Caltrans review. The Environmental Document, submitted March 2016, is currently under Caltrans review. Since the City does not yet have the environmental approval, the City's Consultant cannot move into final design and the completion of the Right of Way (ROW) certification. The City anticipates both completion of Plans, Specifications, and Estimate and ROW certification by January 2017. CON allocation is then anticipated to occur February 2017.			
	Therefore, the City is willing to reduce the	eir request to an eight-month time extension to the CON phase	se of this project to February 28, 2017.	
31	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-4960 Florence – Firestone Community Safe Routes to School Program <i>(NI)</i>	\$0 \$0 \$105 \$105	6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months State	
	The County of Los Angeles (County) requested a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the non-infrastructure construction phase of the Florence-Firestone Community Safe Routes to School Program.			
	This project is split into two components, an infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4959) and a non-infrastructure component (PPNO 07- 4960). The timing of the two components is critical to the success of the overall project. The non-infrastructure component of this project must be timed with the infrastructure component. The non-infrastructure work will include assessing and analyzing school site activity prior to and following the infrastructure improvements, along with education and encouragement programs during the school year. Data collection must be carried out prior to and following the project when school is at full activity levels. The education program must take place in reasonable proximity to the completion of the project to ensure synergy with the new infrastructure improvements. Project construction is 4 months and the overall window for data collection and construction is 12-14 months depending on how it can be fitted into one or overlapping school years.			
	must be carried out prior to and following reasonable proximity to the completion of months and the overall window for data c	the project to ensure synergy with the new infrastructure im	provements. Project construction is 4	
	must be carried out prior to and following reasonable proximity to the completion of months and the overall window for data con- school years. Procurement for education and encourage was more complicated than anticipated at school year. This first-time delay should to April 2016 and the selection of vendors we the solicitation. All challenges must be re	the project to ensure synergy with the new infrastructure im	provements. Project construction is 4 w it can be fitted into one or overlapping n-infrastructure portion of this project delayed the project to the following ment of Qualifications was released in to 6 months resolving any challenges to nich acceptance will be no later than	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 16 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

oject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
32	County of Los Angeles	\$0	6 Months
	Los Angeles County	\$0	12/31/2016
	PPNO: 07-4959	\$0 9715	Support, 6 Months
	Florence – Firestone Community Safe	\$745	State
	Routes to School Program (CON)	\$745	
	The County of Los Angeles (County) req Florence-Firestone Community Safe Rou	uests a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the inj ttes to School Program.	frastructure construction phase of the
	4960). The timing of the two componen must begin prior to the infrastructure co and encouragement programs to be carr construction completion to avoid the edu and is 12-14 months depending on how i	, an infrastructure component (PPNO 07-4959) and a non-infits is critical to the success of the overall project. The non-infit mponent. Construction must be scheduled to provide for the a ied out during the school year. The education program must excation becoming stale. Project construction is 4 months and a t can be fitted into one or overlapping school years.	astructure component of the project lata collection windows, and education take place in reasonable proximity to the overall window for data collection
	PSE was completed May 2016. The dela infrastructure portion. The non-infrastru construction advertising and award proc	15, because the Notice of Exemption was delayed until beyond by in allocating is due to timing the construction with the solic acture contract will to be awarded by the governing body no la by the governing body no la cess is 5-6 months. The County would like to allocate construct challenges to the non-infrastructure solicitation are resolved component.	itation and award of the non- ater than January 2017. Our standard ction in October 2016, but the extension
	The County will do its best to allocate earlier but requests a six-month time extension to allocate by December 31, 2016.		
	The County will do its best to dilocate ed	The but requests a six month time extension to anotate by D	ecember 51, 2010.
33	City of Los Angeles	\$0	20 Months
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County	\$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County	\$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO ction (CON) phase of the Los Angeles
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 \$5,432 \$5,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,432 \$6,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$7,442 \$,452 \$,45	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO etion (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when bo design and issue the project a permit woo review, while the USACE claimed they pro-	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected do carned the permits needed from the Los Angeles County (Cou complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO etion (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when bo design and issue the project a permit wor review, while the USACE claimed they the were inadequate staffing levels and an al CON allocation by February 2018.	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected de earned the permits needed from the Los Angeles County (Cou complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by quiring the same types of permits from these two agencies. The th the County and the USACE informed the City the amount of uld collectively require 18 months. Individually, the County of required 12 to 18 months for their review. The reason both ag	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO etion (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times
33	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when bo design and issue the project a permit wor review, while the USACE claimed they the were inadequate staffing levels and an al CON allocation by February 2018.	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected de earned the permits needed from the Los Angeles County (Cou complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by quiring the same types of permits from these two agencies. The th the County and the USACE informed the City the amount of all collectively require 18 months. Individually, the County of required 12 to 18 months for their review. The reason both agencies R ready large backlog of submittals. The City now anticipates R	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO ction (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times OW certification by January 2018 and 12 Months
	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when bo design and issue the project a permit wor review, while the USACE claimed they the were inadequate staffing levels and an al CON allocation by February 2018. Therefore, the City requests a 20-month	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected de earned the permits needed from the Los Angeles County (Cou complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by quiring the same types of permits from these two agencies. The th the County and the USACE informed the City the amount of all collectively require 18 months. Individually, the County of required 12 to 18 months for their review. The reason both agencies ready large backlog of submittals. The City now anticipates R time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018.	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO etion (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times OW certification by January 2018 and
	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City II Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when be design and issue the project a permit wor review, while the USACE claimed they is were inadequate staffing levels and an al CON allocation by February 2018. Therefore, the City requests a 20-month City of Baldwin Park Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5186	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected defined complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by quiring the same types of permits from these two agencies. The th the County and the USACE informed the City the amount of all collectively require 18 months. Individually, the County crequired 12 to 18 months for their review. The reason both agencies ready large backlog of submittals. The City now anticipates R time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO etion (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times OW certification by January 2018 and 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 7 Months
	City of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5042 Los Angeles River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owensmouth – Mason project The City of Los Angeles (City) requests River Bike Path, Headwaters, and Owen Environmental Document phase. The project was delayed when the City In Engineers (USACE) required the City to been required on similar City projects re six months to construct and analyze. The project was further delayed when bo design and issue the project a permit wor review, while the USACE claimed they y were inadequate staffing levels and an al CON allocation by February 2018. Therefore, the City requests a 20-month City of Baldwin Park Los Angeles County	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,432 \$5,432 \$5,432 a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct smouth – Mason project. The City experienced unexpected de earned the permits needed from the Los Angeles County (Cou complete a 2-D Hydraulic Analysis. This was unexpected by quiring the same types of permits from these two agencies. The th the County and the USACE informed the City the amount of ald collectively require 18 months. Individually, the County crequired 12 to 18 months for their review. The reason both agen ready large backlog of submittals. The City now anticipates R time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO ction (CON) phase of the Los Angeles elays during the Project Approval and nty) and the U.S. Army Corps of the City because this analysis had not ne 2-D model took the City an extra of time required to review the project laimed to require nine months for their encies gave for their long review times OW certification by January 2018 and 12 Months 06/30/2017

FHWA-Federal Highway Administration Department-California Department of Transportation Commission-California Transportation Commission

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 17 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Time Extension/Waiver – Project Allocation Deadline Active Transportation Program

roject # Applicant	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands)	Initial Request
County	PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document)	Extended Deadline
PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate)	Department Recommendation
Project Description	ROW (Right of Way)	MPO/State/SU&R
Reason for Project Delay	CON (Construction)	
	TOTAL	
	riginally requested a 12-month time extension. After working with to beate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Maine Avenu	1 , 2 1

Therefore, the City is willing to reduce their request to a seven-month time extension to allocate CON by January 31, 2017.

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 18 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
35		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$21,195 \$21,195 \$21,195 s a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the const y experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans	
	Road Bike Path - Phase 3 project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase. The project encountered an unexpected delay when the Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA)/Metrolink informed the City, at 90 percent design completion, design modifications and additional unscoped work would be required before SCRRA/Metrolink would issue the City a permit for the project. The project requires the City to construct improvements within the SCRRA/Metrolink Right of Way (ROW). The additional work SCRRA/Metrolink is requesting consists of realignment of the Arvilla Avenue and San Fernando Road Intersection to meet SCRRA/Metrolink's current truck turn movement standards, which is greater than the City's current truck turn movement standards. This also includes changes to all the associated signal modifications. As a result, the City now expects ROW certification by December 2017 and CON allocation by February 2018.		
	Therefore, the County requests a 20-month	time extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018.	
36	Therefore, the County requests a 20-month County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5195 Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,305 \$1,305	9 Months 03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State
36	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5195 Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project The County of Los Angeles (County) requi	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,305	03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State construction (CON) phase of the Eastside
36	County of Los Angeles Los Angeles County PPNO: 07-5195 Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project The County of Los Angeles (County) requ Light Rail Bike Interface Project. The Cou (PA&ED) phase. During PA&ED, the County conducted the would have on the Level of Service (LOS) between Civic Center Way and Telegraph and the transportation facility operating at an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), de decided to reevaluate the proposed geomet Arizona-Mednik corridor, the LOS would portion of the road diet, the County added	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,305 \$1,305 \$1,305 ests a nine-month time extension to allocate funding for the	03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State construction (CON) phase of the Eastside oval and Environmental Document bosed road diet elements this project ted along the Arizona-Mednik corridor, nich is defined as unstable traffic flow requirement for the County to complete To avoid this scenario, the County on of the proposed road diet along the lete an EIR. In addition to eliminating a

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 19 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
37	City of Los Angeles	\$0	12 Months		
	Los Angeles County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 07-5197	\$0	Support, 12 Months		
	Caesar E. Chavez Connections project	\$1,565 \$1,565	State		
		a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and local sources.			
	The City had experience working within Historic Districts, they thought the project could be designed to avoid significant impacts requiring additional environmental studies. This was not the case, and the project was required to complete both a Section 106 study and a 4(f) study for the NEPA document. This will delay the completion of NEPA, in turn delaying the Right of Way certification. The City now expects to receive the Right of Way certification in March 2017. The request for CON allocation will then be submitted in April 2017 for allocation in the May 2017 Commission meeting.				
		ime extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.			
38	County of Los Angeles	\$0 \$0	12 Months		
	Los Angeles County	\$0 \$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 07-5200 Quarray Clear Back Back to Back Back	\$0 \$1.546	Support, 12 Months		
	Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bikeway project	\$1,546 \$1,546	State		
	The County of Los Angeles (County) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bikeway project. The County experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase.				
	This required a Natural Environment Stu NEPA lead agency, has not yet made a N	y learned the project location was inhabited by Least Bell's V dy, Biological Assessment and consultation with the U.S. Fish EPA determination, as the investigation continues. The proje s). The County anticipates NEPA clearance by March 2017, I	and Wildlife Service. Caltrans, the ct also likely impacts oak trees,		
	Therefore, the County requests a 12-month time extension to allocate CON by June 30, 2017.				
39	City of Ontario	\$0	9 Months		
39	San Bernardino County	\$0	03/31/2017		
	PPNO: 08-1156	\$0 \$1.014	Support, 9 Months		
		\$1,014	State		
	Safe Routes to School Active	¢1.014			
	Safe Routes to School Active Transportation at Four Elementary Schools project	\$1,014			
	Transportation at Four Elementary Schools project The City of Ontario (City) requests a nin	\$1,014 e-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction y Schools project. The City experienced unexpected delays du	n (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to School		
	Transportation at Four Elementary Schools project The City of Ontario (City) requests a nin Active Transportation at Four Elementar In the June 2015 Commission meeting, the allocate the ROW phase alongside the PS the end of October 2015. This short dela City's ability to meet the CON allocation	e-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction y Schools project. The City experienced unexpected delays du his project received a two-month time extension because the C & E allocation. The ROW funds allocation request was subm y and the City's relative inexperience with transportation fund deadline. Currently, the PS&E and ROW phases are now sel Il be submitted in December 2016 for allocation in the March	n (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to School rring the projects Right of Way (ROW) phas ity did not understand they could itted in August 2015 and allocated at ling has had a cascade effect on the neduled to be completed in November		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 20 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	⁴ Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
40	City of Victorville San Bernardino County PPNO: 08-1158 Safe Routes to School - Live Oak City of Victorville - Interagency Safe Routes to School Projects	\$0 \$0 \$3,592 \$3,592	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO	
	 Safe Routes to School Projects The City of Victorville (City) requests a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Safe Routes to School - Live Oak City of Victorville - Interagency Safe Routes to School Projects. The time extension is needed because the City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. This project was delayed in the environmental process, taking more time than originally anticipated. The PA&ED phase was originally scheduled to start in December 2014, which was not realistic for ATP Cycle 1. The notice of award from SCAG for the ATP funds occurred in November 2014. The City then waited over three months to submit the PA&ED allocation request, waiting until the Federal Project Number (FPN) was issued in February 2015. The submittal deadline for the March 2015 Commission meeting. In November 2015, five months after the Commission allocation, the City submitted their draft Preliminary Environmental Study (PES) to the Department to initiate the environmental work. The Department reviewed and approved the PES in March 2016. Since then the City has been working on the environmental technical studies, which are the Draft Hazmat Phase I Initial Site Assessment and the Natural Environmental Study-Minimal Impacts study. The City anticipates NEPA approval in October 2016, Right of Way certification by June 2017, and Plans, Specifications, and Estimate completion by July 2017. Construction allocation is expected December 2017. 			
	Therefore, the City requests a 20-month t			
41	City of Riverside Riverside County PPNO: 08-1185 Iowa Avenue and Martin Luther King Boulevard Bicycle Improvements The City of Riverside (City) originally re- 11-month time extension to allocate const project. The City experienced unexpected In proposing a project alignment that wou City found the impacts would require add performed additional survey and design w with this information, the City expects co 60 percent plans completed and ready for and will initiate preparation of easement of	\$0 \$0 \$268 \$268 \$268 \$268 \$268 \$268 Intersect a number of the Iowa Avenue and Martin Luther d delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estima Id intersect a number of the University of California Riversic itional mitigation. Thus, to minimize these impacts and keep york. The City has since completed the survey and modified mments back from UCR by July 2016. Then by October 201 UCR review and comment. By January 2017, the City expect description & ROW certification. The ROW Certificate is ex CON allocation request in April 2017 for the May 2017 Com	King Boulevard Bicycle Improvements the and Right of Way (ROW) phases. He's (UCR's) Citrus Testing sites, the the project within budget, the City the trail alignment. Approaching UCR 6, the City expects to have the cits to have 95 percent plans prepared pected to be completed by March 2017.	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 21 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
42	City of Riverside Riverside County PPNO: 08-1186 Downtown and Adjoining Areas Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$877 \$877 requested a 12-month time extension. After working with the I	12 Months 03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State		
	nine-month time extension to allocate f	unding for the construction (CON) phase of the Downtown and City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, s	Adjoining Areas Bicycle and		
	As a result, the City planned and condu for the proposed Redwood Traffic Circ Commission (RCTC) to discuss any pr- will meet and review final comments fi expected to be completed in November for CON allocation in February 2017 for	s raised concerns about the project's potential impacts on existi- icted additional outreach to gain the support of the community. les. The City also held additional field and office meetings with oject impacts to the Metrolink system. The project is at 60 perc rom the RCTC. These comments will be incorporated into the p 2016 and Right of Way is expected to be completed by January or action in the March 2017 Commission meeting. their request to a nine-month time extension to allocate CON b	This included community engagement h Riverside County Transportation tent design. In October 2016, the City plans by October 2016. PS&E is y 2017. The City will submit its request		
43	City of Yucaipa San Bernardino County PPNO: 08-1206 Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools Project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$872 \$872	12 Months 03/31/2017 Support, 9 Months State		
	The City of Yucaipa (City) originally requested a 12-month time extension. After working with the Department, the City now requests a nine-month time extension to allocate construction (CON) funds for the Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools Project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Specifications, and Estimate (PS&E) phase.				
	awarded in 2014. In 2015, the City init classifications of some of the streets, w April 2016. The change in street classif PS&E package will be completed by O	, are being funded by local sources. The CON phase is being fu tiated an update of its General Plan (GP) as required by State la hich was not expected. The GP update and associated environm fication triggered different design standards causing the delay of ctober 2016. The Right-of-Way certification will be completed nuary 2017, for allocation in the February 2017 Commission m	w. The update triggered a change in the nental document was completed in f the PS&E phase of the project. The by December 2016. The request for		
	Therefore, the City is willing to reduce	their request to a nine-month time extension to allocate CON b	y March 31, 2017.		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 22 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
44	City of Merced Merced County PPNO: 10-3126 State Highway 59 Multi – Use Pathway BNSF Crossing project	\$0 \$106 \$0 \$106 \$106	7 Months 01/31/2017 Support, 7 Months SU&R		
	of the State Highway 59 Multi - Use Path	n-month time extension to allocate funding for the Plans, Spe way BNSF Crossing project. The City experienced unexpect phase. This project is also requesting a time extension for the	ted delays during the Project Approval		
	consuming than originally expected. Spec	en the City determined the environmental document would be cifically, the Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took le ted in October 2016. This equates to a seven-month delay.			
	Therefore, the City requests a seven-mont	h time extension to allocate PS&E by January 31, 2017.			
45	City of Merced Merced County PPNO: 10-3126 State Highway 59 Multi – Use Pathway BNSF Crossing project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$834 \$834	18 Months 12/31/2017 Support, 18 Months SU&R		
	The City of Merced (City) requests an 18-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the State Highway 59 Multi – Use Pathway BNSF Crossing project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate phase.				
	Document (PA&ED) phase. This project The project was unexpectedly delayed wh than it originally expected. Specifically, t NEPA is anticipated to be completed in O seeks a permit from the Burlington Northe	is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specificatio en the City determined the environmental document to be mo he Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took longer than ctober 2016. This equates to a four-month delay. The project ern and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) to allow the City to encroa onse and processing permitting of City projects such as this c	ns and Estimate phase. ore cumbersome and time consuming anticipated to complete. As a result, et will be further delayed as the City uch on the BNSF Right of Way. BNSF		
	Document (PA&ED) phase. This project The project was unexpectedly delayed wh than it originally expected. Specifically, t NEPA is anticipated to be completed in O seeks a permit from the Burlington Northe has been extraordinarily slow in their resp the BNSF permitting process, the City ant	is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specificatio en the City determined the environmental document to be mo he Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took longer than ctober 2016. This equates to a four-month delay. The project ern and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) to allow the City to encroa onse and processing permitting of City projects such as this c	ns and Estimate phase. ore cumbersome and time consuming anticipated to complete. As a result, et will be further delayed as the City uch on the BNSF Right of Way. BNSF		
46	Document (PA&ED) phase. This project The project was unexpectedly delayed wh than it originally expected. Specifically, t NEPA is anticipated to be completed in O seeks a permit from the Burlington Northe has been extraordinarily slow in their resp the BNSF permitting process, the City ant	is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specification en the City determined the environmental document to be more he Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took longer than ctober 2016. This equates to a four-month delay. The project ern and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) to allow the City to encroa onse and processing permitting of City projects such as this c icipates an 18-month delay.	ns and Estimate phase. ore cumbersome and time consuming anticipated to complete. As a result, et will be further delayed as the City uch on the BNSF Right of Way. BNSF		
46	Document (PA&ED) phase. This project The project was unexpectedly delayed wh than it originally expected. Specifically, t NEPA is anticipated to be completed in O seeks a permit from the Burlington Northe has been extraordinarily slow in their resp the BNSF permitting process, the City ant Therefore, the City requests an 18-month City of Ripon San Joaquin County PPNO: 10-3186 River Road Sidewalk and Intersection project The City of Ripon (City) requests a six-me	is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specification en the City determined the environmental document to be more he Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took longer than ctober 2016. This equates to a four-month delay. The project rn and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) to allow the City to encroa onse and processing permitting of City projects such as this c icipates an 18-month delay. time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$475	ns and Estimate phase. ore cumbersome and time consuming a anticipated to complete. As a result, et will be further delayed as the City uch on the BNSF Right of Way. BNSF one. Based on past City experience with 6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months MPO CON) phase of the River Road		
46	Document (PA&ED) phase. This project The project was unexpectedly delayed wh than it originally expected. Specifically, t NEPA is anticipated to be completed in O seeks a permit from the Burlington Northe has been extraordinarily slow in their resp the BNSF permitting process, the City ant Therefore, the City requests an 18-month City of Ripon San Joaquin County PPNO: 10-3186 River Road Sidewalk and Intersection project The City of Ripon (City) requests a six-me Sidewalk and Intersection project. The Ci To minimize project costs, the project will an unexpected delay when the City discov corridor project was not properly describe Transportation Program (RSTP) compone	is also requesting a time extension for the Plans, Specificatio en the City determined the environmental document to be mo- he Air Quality, Biology and Cultural studies took longer than ctober 2016. This equates to a four-month delay. The project ern and Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) to allow the City to encroa onse and processing permitting of City projects such as this c icipates an 18-month delay. time extension to allocate CON by December 31, 2017.	ns and Estimate phase. ore cumbersome and time consuming a anticipated to complete. As a result, t will be further delayed as the City ich on the BNSF Right of Way. BNSF one. Based on past City experience with 6 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months MPO CON) phase of the River Road Specifications and Estimate phase. midor project. This project encountered isportation Plan (RTP) for the larger amend the larger Regional Surface vement Program. Working within the		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 23 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

oject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R
47	City of El Centro	\$0	4 Months
	Imperial County	\$0	10/31/2016
	PPNO: 11-0599	\$0	Support, 4 Months
	Pedestrian and Bicyclist Improvements project	\$738 \$738	MPO
		ur-month time extension to allocate funding for the construct experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, S	
		s was more complex than originally anticipated. The City en hedule. To address these issues and allow City staff adequat re an additional four months.	
	Therefore, the City requests a four-month	time extension to allocate CON by October 31, 2016.	
48	National City	\$0	12 Months
	San Diego County	\$250	06/30/2017
	PPNO: 11-1140	\$0	Support, 12 Months
	El Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor	\$0	State
	project	\$250	
	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor projec Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is	ime extension to allocate funding for the Plans, Specification ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro	et Approval and Environmental e.
	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor projec Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District I Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA
	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project Document (PA&ED) phase. This project in The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six n that same month.	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of Go Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project Document (PA&ED) phase. This project in The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six n that same month.	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger months to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor projec Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six n that same month. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month time	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger months to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J me extension to allocate PS&E by June 30, 2017.	eet Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA June 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor projec Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six n that same month. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month the National City San Diego County PPNO: 11-1140	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fre coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger nonths to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J me extension to allocate PS&E by June 30, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike a and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA June 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor projec Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six n that same month. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month the National City San Diego County	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger months to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J me extension to allocate PS&E by June 30, 2017.	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA June 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project Document (PA&ED) phase. This project in The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District H Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordinatio directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six for that same month. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month the National City San Diego County PPNO: 11-1140 El Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project National City (City) requests a 12-month the Corridor project. The City experienced un	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative alig in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger months to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J me extension to allocate PS&E by June 30, 2017.	eet Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA fune 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State e of the El Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle
49	Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project Document (PA&ED) phase. This project is The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This dependence of the san Diego Unified Port District I Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordination directly impacts an existing bike walkway document, which will require another six in that same month. Therefore, the City requests a 12-month the National City San Diego County PPNO: 11-1140 El Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor project National City (City) requests a 12-month the Corridor project. The City experienced ur also requesting a time extension for the Pla The City encountered an unexpected delay final bike route to design and build. This of Plan, the San Diego Unified Port District I Palmas Bicycle Corridor. The coordination directly impacts an existing bike walkway	ct. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Proje s also requesting a time extension for the Right of Way phase when they had to reconcile multiple transportation plans fro coordination effort included the San Diego Association of G- Bay-Shore Bikeway, the Caltrans I-805 Corridor Master Plan on effort delayed the project six months. The alternative align in a parkway green site. The City is anticipating this trigger months to complete. NEPA is expected to be completed by J me extension to allocate PS&E by June 30, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$75 \$0 \$75 ime extension to allocate funding for the Right of Way phase expected delays during the Project Approval and Environmed	ect Approval and Environmental e. om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA June 2017, allowing for PS&E allocation 12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months State e of the El Toyon Las Palmas Bicycle ental Document phase. This project is om several agencies to determine the overnments (SANDAG) Master Bike n and the City's proposed El Toyon-Las nment developed from this effort ring additional studies for the NEPA

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 24 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
50	National City San Diego County	\$0 \$0	6 Months 10/31/2016	
	PPNO: 11-1156	\$0	Support, 4 Months	
	National City 18 th Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancements project	\$975 \$975	State	
	time extension to allocate funding for the	a six-month time extension. After working with the Departm construction (CON) phase of the National City 18 th Street Bi d delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estima	cycle and Pedestrian Enhancements	
	different design configurations had to be a the City had anticipated. The City complete	sign team to avoid complex Right of Way (ROW) acquisition considered, which took more time to evaluate and narrow dow eted PS&E in February 2016, and received allocation for RO ed by August 2016. CON allocation is then expected to take	wn to a final design configuration than W at the May 2016 Commission	
	Therefore, the City is willing to reduce the	eir request to a four-month time extension to allocate CON b	y October 31, 2016.	
51	City of San Diego	\$0	3 Months	
	San Diego County	\$0	09/30/2016	
	PPNO: 11-1178	\$20	Support, 3 Months	
	Chollas Creek – Bayshore Bikeway	\$0	State	
	Final Design project	\$20		
	The City of San Diego (City) requests a three-month time extension to allocate funding for the Right of Way (ROW) phase of the Chollas Creek – Bayshore Bikeway Final Design project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Assessment and Environmental Document phase.			
	The City experienced an unanticipated delay when they discovered the project is located near an old Native American village site, which is adjacent to the waterway. This triggered the need for additional cultural, archaeological and biological studies. These additional studies delayed the environmental document and subsequent phases of work by three months. As a result of receiving CEQA at the end of March 2016, the City may now request ROW allocation at the August Commission meeting.			
	Therefore, the City requests a three-month	h time extension to allocate ROW by September 30, 2016.		
52	City of San Diego	\$0	3 Months	
	San Diego County	\$0	06/30/2017	
	PPNO: 11-1178	\$0	Support, 12 Months	
	Chollas Creek – Bayshore Bikeway	\$20	State	
	Final Design project	\$20		
	The City of San Diego (City) originally requested a three-month time extension. After working with the Department, the City now requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Chollas Creek – Bayshore Bikeway Final Design project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Project Assessment and Environmental Document phase. The City is also requesting a concurrent time extension for the Right of Way (ROW) phase.			
	need for additional cultural, archaeologica three-month delay to the allocation reques of the environmental delay and the type o frame to complete the extra amount of wo	n they discovered an old Native American village site located al and biological studies for the environmental document (NE st and ROW. The Department recommended a longer time ex f studies needed. The Department believes a three-month tin ork required and recommends an additional nine months be gr The City will need a total of 12-months before they are able to	EPA). These additional studies caused a extension for the City based on the nature the extension is not a reasonable time ranted for the project to finish the Plans,	
	Therefore, the City is willing to increase t	heir request to a 12-month time extension to allocate CON b	y June 30, 2017.	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 25 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
53	San Diego Association of Governments San Diego County PPNO: 11-7421Y SANDAG Coastal Rail Trail – Chesterfield Drive to G. Street project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,025 \$1,025	20 Months 02/29/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO		
		NDAG) is requesting a 20-month time extension to allocat Chesterfield Drive to G. Street project. SANDAG experier sument (PA&ED) phase.			
	This project was delayed when the City of Encinitas (City) first approved then later rejected the project alignment. SANDAG has been working with the City of Encinitas (City) to solidify the project alignment since October 2014. In January 2015, SANDAG received two alternatives to present to the City in April 2015. In May 2015, the City directed SANDAG to proceed with the alignment in the North County Transit District right of way. This alignment selection caused community concern when the property owners adjacent to the alignment complained, causing the City Council to withdraw their support for the proposed alignment. As a result, SANDAG is now revising the plans, environmental documents and Right of Way certification to correspond to the new alignment. This sudden change has delayed the project and SANDAG requires an additional 20 months to allocate CON.				
	Therefore, SANDAG is requesting a 20-mo	nth time extension to the CON phase of this project to June	30, 2017.		
54		nth time extension to the CON phase of this project to June	·		
54	City of Brea	nth time extension to the CON phase of this project to June	2 30, 2017. 12 Months 12/31/2016		
54		\$0	12 Months		
54	City of Brea Orange County	\$0 \$0	12 Months 12/31/2016		
54	City of Brea Orange County PPNO: 12-2170B Tracks at Brea Segment 4 project The City of Brea (City) originally requested six-month time extension to allocate funding	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,484	12 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months MPO tment, the City now requests a		
54	City of Brea Orange County PPNO: 12-2170B Tracks at Brea Segment 4 project The City of Brea (City) originally requested six-month time extension to allocate funding unexpected delays during the Project Assess The project was delayed when the City learn from the Department than the City originally	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,484 \$2,484 \$2,484 1 a 12-month time extension. After working with the Depar g for the construction (CON) phase of the Tracks at Brea So	12 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months MPO tment, the City now requests a egment 4 project. The City experienced es more coordination and oversight the City found the environmental		
54	City of Brea Orange County PPNO: 12-2170B Tracks at Brea Segment 4 project The City of Brea (City) originally requested six-month time extension to allocate funding unexpected delays during the Project Assess The project was delayed when the City learn from the Department than the City originally process more cumbersome than they had pro Due to unexpected staffing changes by their As the new project consultants began their v completed the corrections and updates, the I	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,484 \$2,484 1 a 12-month time extension. After working with the Depar g for the construction (CON) phase of the Tracks at Brea Se sment and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase. ned the proposed path, designed to run under SR-57, require y expected. Additional delay to the project occurred when eviously experienced on other federally-funded projects alo c consultant, the City's Initial Site Assessment (ISA) has tal- work to update the ISA, they found errors in the ISA that re ISA was submitted to the Department in April 2016 and the hort Form Right of Way certification by October 2016 and s	12 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months MPO tment, the City now requests a egment 4 project. The City experienced es more coordination and oversight the City found the environmental ong the other trail segments. the other trail segments. the noriginally anticipated. quired additional time to fix. Having city anticipates NEPA approval by		

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 26 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project # Applicant	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands)	Initial Request
County	PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document)	Extended Deadline
PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate)	Department Recommendation
Project Description	ROW (Right of Way)	MPO/State/SU&R
Reason for Project Delay	CON (Construction)	
	TOTAL	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 27 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
55	City of Costa Mesa Orange County PPNO: 12-2170D West 19 th Street Bicycle Trail project	\$0 \$0 \$1,319 \$1,319	20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 20 Months MPO	
	The City of Costa Mesa (City) requests a 20-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the West 19 th Street Bicycle Trail (Trail) project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase.			
	Only the CON phase of this project is funded with Active Transportation Program dollars. The City is using local sources for the project's other phases. During PS&E, the City had preliminary discussions with Orange County Flood Control District (County) regarding an adjacent pipeline project, which received environmental clearance in 2014. The City's understanding was the pipeline project would be implemented in the 2016-17 timeframe and the bicycle trail project would be implemented in conjunction over the underground pipeline. This greatly reduces environmental impacts that would be associated with the bicycle trail project. The City issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for design in March 2015 and awarded the project in July 2015.			
	During the initial stages of design, the City determined that the County project was delayed by at least three years. If the City proceeded with implementation of the bicycle project ahead of the pipeline project, it will result in the removal of all improvements for the construction of the pipeline. Therefore, the City initiated coordination meetings with Southern California Edison (SCE) and County staff, and an alternative alignment was developed for the bicycle trail within the SCE easement located adjacent to the future pipeline project. This ensures the bicycle trail project, when implemented, will not conflict with the future pipeline project.			
		5 1	ipeline project. This ensures the bicycle	
	trail project, when implemented, will not co While this new alignment will continue to r specific to this new alignment by the Depar	5 1	ne project, new studies may be required addition, a substantial portion of the	
	trail project, when implemented, will not co While this new alignment will continue to r specific to this new alignment by the Depar bicycle project is within the Coastal Zone, r 20 months.	onflict with the future pipeline project. rely on the approved environmental document for the pipelin rtment and the United States Fish and Wildlife Services. In	ne project, new studies may be required addition, a substantial portion of the	
56	trail project, when implemented, will not co While this new alignment will continue to r specific to this new alignment by the Depar bicycle project is within the Coastal Zone, r 20 months.	onflict with the future pipeline project. rely on the approved environmental document for the pipelin truent and the United States Fish and Wildlife Services. In requiring approval from the Coastal Commission. The antic me extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0 \$708	ne project, new studies may be required addition, a substantial portion of the	
56	trail project, when implemented, will not co While this new alignment will continue to r specific to this new alignment by the Depar bicycle project is within the Coastal Zone, r 20 months. Therefore, the City requests a 20-month tim City of La Habra Orange County PPNO: 12-2170H La Habra Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway project The City of La Habra (City) originally requ Habra Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway pro	onflict with the future pipeline project. rely on the approved environmental document for the pipelin truent and the United States Fish and Wildlife Services. In requiring approval from the Coastal Commission. The antion the extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$0 \$708 \$708 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ne project, new studies may be required addition, a substantial portion of the cipated delay to CON allocation is 20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 14 Months MPO Right of Way (ROW) phase of the La substantiates a 14-month time	
56	trail project, when implemented, will not or While this new alignment will continue to r specific to this new alignment by the Depar bicycle project is within the Coastal Zone, r 20 months. Therefore, the City requests a 20-month tin City of La Habra Orange County PPNO: 12-2170H La Habra Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway project The City of La Habra (City) originally requ Habra Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway pre extension, based on the anticipated date for unexpected delays during the project's Plan The project was unexpectedly delayed whe (UPRR) encroachment permit for the projec design and ROW phases. As of March 201 encroachment permit, needed to complete t be completed by June 2017, and a ROW all	onflict with the future pipeline project. rely on the approved environmental document for the pipelin truent and the United States Fish and Wildlife Services. In requiring approval from the Coastal Commission. The antion the extension to allocate CON by February 28, 2018. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$708 \$0 \$708 \$708 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ne project, new studies may be required addition, a substantial portion of the cipated delay to CON allocation is 20 Months 02/28/2018 Support, 14 Months MPO Right of Way (ROW) phase of the La substantiates a 14-month time is needed because the City experienced g the needed Union Pacific Railroad nt permit, which delayed the project's prior to issuing the needed City estimates receiving the UPRR 6. Environmental clearance should then of the UPRR, the ROW certification is	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 28 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

roject #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
57	City of Laguna Hills Orange County PPNO: 12-2170I La Paz Sidewalk Widening project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$345 \$345	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO	
	The City of Laguna Hills (City) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the La Paz Sidewalk Widening project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Right of Way (ROW) phase. The City was unexpectedly delayed in acquiring the five partial properties for this project. ROW Engineering and property appraisals have been completed. The City has also sent the offer letters to the property owners. The City still needs to meet and confer with the property owners, negotiate the acquisitions, and complete the purchase of the partial properties. If any of the property owners contest the partial acquisition of their property, the City will have to perform eminent domain. This will require an additional nine months. The City anticipates ROW certification by April 2017 and will request CON allocation in June 2017.			
58	County of Orange Orange County PPNO: 12-2170L Lambert Road Bikeway Project	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$394 \$394	12 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO	
	Road Bikeway Project. The County exp The project was delayed when the City of roadway to the ultimate cross-section. T The project had another unexpected dela acquisition of their property if certain co into their property. This condition requi proceed, which delayed the project an ac The project was further delayed due to a (SCE) utility poles was expected, relocat poles were being relocated from around	a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the constru- erienced unexpected delays during the project's Right of Way of Brea notified the County of its plans to annex Lambert Road his delayed design three months while the ultimate cross-section y when one of the property owners, Aera Energy, indicated the nditions were met. One condition Aera Energy requested was red additional time to analyze and design before negotiation for ditional two months. change in the relocation of the utility poles. Though relocation ing the poles out to the ultimate width of the roadway was une 5 feet to an estimated 40 feet. The greater distance triggered to ners impacted by the move of the poles. This delayed the project of the poles.	(ROW) phase. I, and asked the County to widen the on configuration was being developed. ey would only grant approval of to install a dedicated right turn lane or Aera Energy's property could on of the Southern California Edison expected. This changed the distance the he need for a formal agreement between	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 29 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R	
59		\$0 \$0 \$0 re \$384 \$384 squests a nine-month time extension to allocate funding for th oject. The City experienced an unexpected delay during the		
	The project was delayed in September 2015 when Blenheim Equisports requested the City to reevaluate the alignment of the East Open Space Trail. Blenheim Equisports asked the City to consider having the bikeway go through the center of their East Open Riding Park, rather than along San Juan Creek as originally planned. Because the suggestion provided a better connection to downtown San Juan Capistrano, the beach and future trails planned for The Ranch, the City moved to adjust the alignment. Before the proposed alignment change could be made, the proposal has to be reviewed and approved by the City's Trails and Equestrian Commission and the City Council. The City must wait for its Commission and Council to grant approval before a design consultant could be hired because it significantly affected the scope of work. The change of alignment resulted in a nine-month delay to the project. According to the revised project schedule, PS&E completion is expected in December 2016. The City's Trails and Equestrian Commission is expected to give final approval of the project plan in January 2017, with the City Council's approval expected in February 2017. This will allow for CON allocation in March 2017.			
	expected to give final approval of the pro allow for CON allocation in March 2017.	ect plan in January 2017, with the City Council's approval e		
60	expected to give final approval of the pro allow for CON allocation in March 2017.	ject plan in January 2017, with the City Council's approval ex		
60	expected to give final approval of the pro allow for CON allocation in March 2017. Therefore, the City requests a nine-month City of Anaheim Orange County PPNO: 12-2170R South Street Sidewalk Gap Closure project The City of Anaheim (City) originally rea 12-month time extension to allocate fund	<pre>iect plan in January 2017, with the City Council's approval e time extension to allocate CON by March 31, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$429</pre>	20 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO Department, the City now requests a ewalk Gap Closure project. The City	
60	expected to give final approval of the pro allow for CON allocation in March 2017. Therefore, the City requests a nine-month City of Anaheim Orange County PPNO: 12-2170R South Street Sidewalk Gap Closure project The City of Anaheim (City) originally ret 12-month time extension to allocate fund experienced unexpected delays during the phases. Once the project was approved, the City de more recent response times. The City the	 it ime extension to allocate CON by March 31, 2017. \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$429 \$429 \$429 \$129 \$129 \$129 \$129 \$129 \$120 \$120	20 Months 06/30/2017 Support, 12 Months MPO Department, the City now requests a swalk Gap Closure project. The City A&ED) and Right of Way (ROW) scope based on the utility companies on will require a minimum of 12 more	

TAB 102 Reference No.: 2.8a. June 29-30, 2016 Attachment, Page 30 of 30 *REPLACEMENT ITEM*

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description Reason for Project Delay	Extension Amount (\$ in thousands) PA&ED (Project Approval & Environmental Document) PS&E (Plans, Specifications & Estimate) ROW (Right of Way) CON (Construction) TOTAL	Initial Request Extended Deadline Department Recommendation MPO/State/SU&R		
61	City of Anaheim Orange County PPNO: 12-2170S	\$0 \$0 \$0	20 Months 12/31/2016 Support, 6 Months		
	Western Avenue Pedestrian Signal project	\$319 \$319	State		
	The City of Anaheim (City) originally requested a 20-month time extension. After working with the Department, the City now requests a six-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Western Avenue Pedestrian Signal project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estimate phase.				
	The project was delayed in the design process when repeated notices to the utility companies have been either unresponsive or taken a longer time period to respond. With a more recent review of the project schedule, the City's refined estimate for Right of Way (ROW) completion is six months. This assumes there are no future, unanticipated delays with ROW or the utility relocations. The City expects to have the ROW certification by December 2016.				
	Therefore, the City is willing to reduce the	ir request to a six-month time extension to allocate CON by	December 31, 2016.		
62	City of Anaheim	\$0	20 Months		
	Orange County	\$0	07/31/2017		
	PPNO: 12-2170T	\$0 * caa	Support, 13 Months		
	Cerritos Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure project	\$622 \$622	State		
	The City of Anaheim (City) originally requested a 20-month time extension. After working with the Department, the City now requests a 13-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Cerritos Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure project. The City experienced unexpected delays during the project's Plans, Specifications and Estimate phase.				
	This project is sequenced to begin after the City's sewer project is completed in April 2017. The sewer project will upsize existing 18-inch lateral residential sewer connections to 24-inch pipes in the project location. By constructing the sewer line before this project, the City will minimize impacts to the community, as well as the need to remove and replace sidewalk. This CON coordination delay has resulted in a 13-month delay.				
	Therefore, the City agrees to accept a 13-month time extension to allocate CON by July 31, 2017.				
63	County of Alameda	\$0	12 Months		
	Alameda County	\$0	06/30/2017		
	PPNO: 04-2190N	\$0 \$7200	Support, 12 Months		
	Ashland Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Project	\$708 \$708	МРО		
	The County of Alameda (County) requests a 12-month time extension to allocate funding for the construction (CON) phase of the Ashland Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Project. The County experienced unexpected delays during the project's Right of Way (ROW) phase. The County is funding the Preliminary Engineering and Right of Way Phases of this project with local sources.				
	Part of this project proposes to widen sidewalks under the bridge and construct Class II Bike Lanes on Ashland Avenue between Ano Avenue and East 14th Street. The County was delayed when the ROW certification process took longer than expected. The County found working with the Union Pacific Railroad and Bay Area Rapid Transit to Finalize their permits requirements and ROW certification is taking much longer than expected. The County is requesting an additional 12 months to allocate CON.				
	and East 14th Street. The County was dela with the Union Pacific Railroad and Bay A	rea Rapid Transit to Finalize their permits requirements and			