Subject: ADOPTION OF 2015 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (ATP) METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO) COMPONENT FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS RESOLUTION G-16-06

## ISSUE:

Should the Commission adopt the 2015 Active Transportation Program (ATP) Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) component for Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) as recommended by staff?

## RECOMMENDATION:

Commission staff recommends that the Commission adopt the 2015 ATP MPO component for SCAG in accordance with the attached resolution and the staff recommendations, noting any specific changes, corrections, or exceptions to staff recommendations. SCAG requested adoption of their ATP MPO component as a separate item from the other eight agencies that participate in the MPO component of the ATP due to their board adoption schedule.

The staff recommendations are based on consistency with the ATP MPO competitive program project selection criteria set forth in the 2015 ATP Guidelines and the following:

- Funding levels identified in the 2015 ATP Fund Estimate;
- Eligibility for the program;
- MPO multidisciplinary advisory group scores; and
- Statutory requirements

In summary, staff recommends that ATP funds of $\$ 76,071,000$ be programmed to 49 projects valued at $\$ 125,316,000$. This recommendation includes ATP funds totaling $\$ 59,537,000$ ( $78 \%$ of $\$ 76,071,000$ ) for 41 projects benefiting disadvantaged communities. Additionally, 30 of the 49 projects are designated as state-only funded. One of SCAG's recommended projects, the City of Santa Ana, Pedestrian and Bicyclist Count Program was determined ineligible and is not recommended for inclusion in the program. SCAG is aware of this determination and will bring a substitute project forward for inclusion in the program at a subsequent Commission meeting.

These recommendations are not authorization to begin work on a project. Contracts may not be awarded and/or work camnot begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program.

## BACKGROUND:

Legislation creating and requiring the Commission to adopt the ATP was signed by the Governor on September 26, 2013. The Commission adopted the Fund Estimate and the program guidelines for the 2015 ATP in March 2015. The 2015 ATP includes three years of programming, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19, with $\$ 359.1$ million in funding capacity for the following program components:*

- Statewide ( $50 \%$ or $\$ 179.559$ million)
- Small Urban \& Rural ( $10 \%$ or $\$ 35.910$ million)
- Large MPO ( $40 \%$ or $\$ 143.640$ million)
* A minimum $25 \%$ (89.775) of all ATP funds must benefit disadvantaged communities.

The Commission adopted the Statewide (50\%) and Small Urban \& Rural (10\%) ATP components on October 20, 2015. Projects not programmed in the statewide competitive component were distributed to large MPOs based on location.

The nine large urban MPOs comprising the ATP MPO component were authorized to defer project selection to the Commission or administer a supplemental specific call for projects. MPOs electing to administer a supplemental call for projects were required to include projects not selected through the statewide ATP competitive component in their programming decisions. Five of the nine MPOs chose to conduct a supplemental call for projects.

MPOs were provided the option to apply the statewide competitive project selection criteria or propose different project selection criteria if approved by the Commission. The Commission approved amendments to the 2015 ATP guidelines allowing different project selection criteria for the following MPOs: Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG), and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG). The Kern Council of Governments (KernCOG), Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) and Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG) did not propose amendments to the 2015 ATP guidelines.

Commission staff reviewed MPO program submittals for consistency with the ATP guidelines and overall project eligibility. MPO program recommendations were supported by an explanation of the project selection methodology applied and a list of the multidisciplinary advisory group members that assisted in project evaluations. Most of the MPOs provided project contingency lists adopted in the event of project delivery failure. To be eligible for ATP funds, upon MPO notification of project delivery failure, the Commission must first approve an amendment to delete the programmed project
and add a project identified on the contingency list into the MPO program. Projects identified on an MPO adopted contingency list are valid for consideration by the Commission only until adoption of the subsequent cycle's Statewide and Small Urban \& Rural components.

The following tables summarize SCAG's proposed programming recommendations:

| Cyerall frotratming frecommandation (Amounts in Sta0es) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPO | Projects | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | Total | Fund Est Target | Under (Over) Target |
| SCAG | 49 | 17,657 | 42,229 | 16,185 | 76,071 | 76,296 | -- |
| Total | 49 | 17,657 | 42,229 | 16,185 | 76,071 | 76,296 | 225 |
| Cumulative Programmed |  | 17,657 | 59,886 | 76,071 |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Capacity |  | 25,432 | 50,864 | 76,296 |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Under (Over) Fund Estimate |  | 7,775 | $(9,022)$ | 225 |  |  |  |


| MPO | Projects | Total | Fund Est Target | Under (Over) Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCAG | 41 | 59,537 | 19,074 | $(40,463)$ |
| Total | 41 | 59,537 | 19,074 | $(40,463)$ |

## FUND ESTIMATE AND GUIDELINES FOR THE 2015 ATP

The development of the 2015 ATP began with the Commission's adoption of the 2015 ATP Fund Estimate and the ATP Guidelines on March 26, 2015.

## 2015 ATP Fund Estimate

The 2015 ATP Fund Estimate covered the four-year period of the 2015 ATP, 2015-16 to 2018-19, with an estimated total new programming capacity of $\$ 359.1$ million. This capacity includes three years (2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19) of estimated state and federal funds. Fifty percent of the total ( $\$ 179.55$ ) is set aside for the Statewide competitive component, ten percent ( $\$ 35.91$ ) is set aside for the Small Urban \& Rural competitive component, and forty percent (\$143.64) is set aside for the large MPO competitive component.

SUMMARY OF 2015 ATP CAPACITY
(\$ in millions)

|  | New <br> Capacity |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 102,600$ |
| State Highway Account | 190,950 |
| Federal Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) | 5,700 |
| Federal TAP Recreational Trails | 59,850 |
| Other Federal |  |
|  | $\$ \mathbf{3 5 9 , 1 0 0}$ |
| Total (may not match Fund Estimate due to rounding) |  |

## ATP Guidelines <br> Policies and Procedures Specific to the 2015 ATP

The following specific policies and procedures address the particular circumstances of the 2015 ATP:
Schedule. The following schedule lists the major milestones for the development and adoption of the 2015 ATP:

Commission adopts Fund Estimate March 26, 2015
Commission adopts ATP Guidelines March 26, 2015
Call for projects
Applications due to Caltrans
Commission Approves/Rejects MPO Optional Guidelines
CTC Staff recommendations for Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components
Commission adopts Statewide and Small Urban and Rural
Components
MPO programming recommendations to CTC
March 26, 2015

Commission adopts MPO selected projects --SCAG

June 1, 2015
June 25, 2015
September 15, 2015
October 21, 2015
November 16, 2015
January 20-21, 2015

## ATTACHMENTS TO 2015 ATP MPO STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- MPO Component Staff Recommendation (SCAG)
- MPO Submittal
- Southern California Association of Governments
2015 Active Transportation Program - MPO Component - Southern California Association of Governments

| MPO | co | Agency | Project Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Totai } \\ \text { Funde } \\ \text { Request } \end{gathered}$ | SOF | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | RW | CON | PaEd | PSE | DAC | Plan | SRTS | SRTS-Ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCAG | IMP | City of El Centro | Establishment of SR2S Program \& Bicycle Route Improvements | 524 | 524 | SOF | 277 | 247 |  |  | 462 | . | 62 | 524 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | MPP | imperial County | Pedestrian Master Plan | 100 | 100 | SOF | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | la | City of Downey | South Downey SRTS | 469 | 238 | SOF |  | 37 | 201 |  | 201 | 5 | 32 | 238 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Downey | Downey Bike Share and Safety Education | 294 | 180 | SOF | 180 |  |  |  | 180 | . | - | 180 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Cudahy | Wilcox Avenue Complete Street and SRTS Project | 1.371 | 1,344 |  | 143 | 1,201 |  |  | 1,201 | 11 | 132 | 1,344 |  | 1,344 |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of La Verne | La Verne Regional Commuter Bleycle Gap Closure Project | 18,712 | 1,552 |  | 1,552 |  |  |  | 1,152 |  | 400 | 310 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Huntington Park | Uncontrolled Crosswalk Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Project | 1,793 | 1,757 |  | 172 | 1,585 |  |  | 1,571 | 14 | 172 | 1,757 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | Port of Long Beach | South Water Front/Pier j Bike and Pedestrian Path | 3,563 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |  |  | 2,000 |  |  | 400 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | La | City of Tarrance | Downtown Torrance Active Transportation Improvement Project | 2,533 | 2,027 |  | 340 | 1,687 |  |  | 1,687 |  | 340 |  |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Lynwood | Community Linkages to Civic Center and Long Beach Blvd. Metro Station | 2,891 | 2.319 |  |  | 2,319 |  |  | 2,319 |  |  | 2,319 |  |  |  |
| Scag | la | Port of Long Beach | Coastal Bike Trail Connector-Ocean Blud, Long Beach | 6,660 | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 |  |  | 400 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Los Angeles | Broadway Historic Theater District Pedestrian Improvements 4-6th Streets | 7,690 | 6,862 |  | 1,200 | 5,662 |  |  | 5,662 | 120 | 1,080 | 6,862 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | LA County MTA | Metro Rail to Rail Active Transportation Corridor Segment A-1 | 20,278 | 8,326 |  |  | 8,326 |  |  | 8,326 |  |  | 8,326 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Los Angeles | Colorado 81 Pedestrian and Bicycie Active Transportation Improvements | 9,843 | 9,743 |  | 1,438 |  | 8,305 |  | 8,305 | 1,438 |  | 9,743 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of Bellflower | Beliflower and Paramount Soint Active Transportation Plan | 125 | 100 | SOF | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | LA | City of frwindale | Citywide Non Motorized Design Guidelines and Active Transportation Action Plan | 154 | 154 | SOF | 154 |  |  |  | 154 |  |  | 154 | 154 |  |  |
| Scag | LA | City of Santa Clarita | Junlar High and High School SRTS Plan | 200 | 160 | SOF | 160 |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 160 |  | 160 |  |
| SCAG | La | Clity of Downey | Pedestrian Plan | 300 | 300 | SOF |  | 150 | 150 |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  |
| 5cag | ora | Gityot Santa Ama | Pediestrian and-bicyclict 6 Count Program | 225 | 225 | Sbf | 225 |  |  |  | 225 |  |  | 225 |  | 225 |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of Santa Ana | SATS Enhancements for Sepulveda Elementary | 310 | 310 | SOF | 20 | 35 | 255 |  | 255 | 20 | 35 | 310 |  | 310 |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of La Habra | Guadalupe Park Reconstruction Project | 400 | 340 | SOF | 340 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 290 | 340 | 340 |  |  |
| scag | ORA | City of La Habra | Union Pacific Rail Reconstruction Project | 527 | 466 | SOF | 466 |  |  | 466 |  |  |  | 466 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of Brea | The Tracks at Brea Segment 6 | 1,603 | 652 |  | 652 |  |  |  | 652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCAG | ORA | OCParks Orange County | OCLaop Coyote Creek | 3,230 | 646. |  | - |  | 646 |  |  | 546 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of San Clemente | Shorecilifs Middle School SRTS Ped Improvements | 878 | 869 | SOF | 100 | 769 |  |  | 769 |  | 100 | 434 |  | 869 |  |
| Scag | ora | City of San Clemente | Concordia Elementary SRTS Pedestrian \& Bicy cle Lane Improvement | 987 | 986 | SOF | 986 |  |  |  | 986 |  |  |  |  | 986 |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Lincoln Pedestrian Pathway Connectivity | 1,230 | 1,230 | SOF | 80 | 120 | 1,030 |  | 1,030 | 80 | 120 | 1,230 |  |  |  |
| scag | ora | Cliy of Garden Grove | "FFrst Mle" Eicycio \& Ped Trall Expansion on PE ROW \& Educantion/Encouragement Activitics | 1,941 | 1,899 |  | 399 | 20 | 1,472 | 20 | 1,546 | 175 | 150 | 1,891 |  |  |  |
| scag | ORA | City of Anaheim | West Street and Citron Street Sidewalk Gap Closure | 2,056 | 2,056 |  | 419 | 1,637 |  | 56 | 1.652 | 35 | 313 | 2,056 |  | 2,056 |  |
| SCAG | ORA | City of Westminister | Garden Grove Boulevard Complete Street Project | 3,139 | 2,758 |  | 330 | 2,428 |  |  | 2,428 | 30 | 300 | 3,139 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | ORA | Orange Co Transp Authority | Active Transportation Plan | 350 | 280 | 50 F | 280 |  |  |  | 280 |  |  | 280 | 280 |  |  |
| 5 SAG | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Citywide SRTS Plan | 515 | 615 | SOF | 615 |  |  |  | 615 |  |  | 615 | 615 |  |  |
| SCAG | RIV | City of San facinto | San Jacinto Valley Connect | 656 | 646 | 50 F | 100 | 546 |  |  | 546 | 10 | 90 | 646 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | Riv | Riverside Co TO | Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1,085 | 775 | SOF | 148 | 627 |  |  | 627 | 40 | 108 | 775 |  | 868 |  |
| SCAG | PIV | Riverside COTD | Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements | 945 | 851 | SOF | 140 | 711 |  |  | 711 | 45 | 95 | 851 |  | 851 |  |
| SCAG | RIV | Riverside COTD | Camino Aventura Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1,002 | 902 | SOF | 156 | 746 |  |  | 746 | 46 | 110 | 902 |  | 902 |  |
| SCAG | RIV | City of Riverside | Citywide Bicycle and Pedestrian improvements | 1,249 | 1,042 | SOF | 100 | 942 |  |  | 942 | 100 |  | 1,042 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | RIV | City of Banning | Bicycle and SRTS improvements | 1.082 | 1,082 | 50F | 120 | 962 |  |  | 962 | 20 | 100 | 1,082 |  | 1,082 |  |
| Scag | RIV | City of Wildomar | Grand Avenue Multi-Use Trail Improvement Project | 1.542 | 1,223 | SOF | 1,223 |  |  |  | 1,223 |  |  | 1,223 |  | 1,223 |  |
| SCAG | Riv | City of Jurupa valley | Jurupa Valley High School SRTS | 1,467 | 1,252 | SOF | 177 | 230 | 845 | 230 | 845 | 4 | 273 |  |  | 1,252 |  |
| SCAG | RIV | City of Mareno Valley | Segment of the Juan Bautista De Anza Multi-Use Trail | 1,431 | 1,431 |  | 115 | 138 | 1,178 | 138 | 1,125 | 115 | 53 | 1,431 |  | 1,431 |  |
| SCAG | SBD | City of Needles | In-fill Sidewalks, Curbs \& Gutters improvement Project | 484 | 252 | SOF | 252 |  |  |  | 189 | 12 | 51 |  |  | 484 |  |
| SCAG | SBD | City of Hesperia | Bear Valley Road Blicycle Bypass Phase II | 376 | 302 | SOF | 301 |  |  |  | 301 |  |  | 302 |  |  |  |
| SCAG | 588 | City of Ontario | SRTS Infrastructure Improvemerit Project-E1 Camino Elementary | 400 | 368 | SOF | 46 | 322 |  | 13 | 322 | 5 | 28 | 368 |  | 368 |  |
| SCAG | SBD | City of Victorville | Mohave Riverwalk Shared-Use Bicycle Facility | 4,700 | 3,760 |  | 325 | 3,435 |  |  | 3,435 |  | 325 |  |  |  |  |
| SCAG | SBD | San Bernardino Co | Santa Ana River Trail PhasetV, Reaches B and C | 9,750 | 3,801 |  | 1,381 | 2,420 |  | 614 | 2,420 | 307 | 460 | 3,800 |  | 3,800 |  |
| SCAG | SBD | City of Grand Terrace | ATP Planning | 295 | 295 | SOf | 295 |  |  |  | 295 |  |  | 295 | 295 | 295 |  |
| SCAG | VEN | Ventura County | Rio Real Elementary School-Pedestrian and Street Improvements Project | 462 | 452 | S0F | 30 | 432 |  |  | 462 |  |  |  |  | 462. |  |
| SCAG | VEN | City of Oxnard | New Traffic Signal | 567 | 51.0 | S0F | 15 | 495 |  |  | 495 |  | 15 | 510 |  | 510 |  |
| SCAG | VEN | City of Ojal | Pedestrian and Bike Safety improvements: Ojal Avenue and Maricopa HWY | 2,833 | 2,333 |  | 230 |  | 2,103 |  | 2,103 |  | 230 | 2,333 |  | 2.333 |  |
|  |  |  | Totals* | 125,091 | 76,071 |  | 17,657 | 42,229 | 16,185 | 1,537 | 65,842 | 3,328 | 5,364 | 59,537 | 1.984 | 21,586 | - |

# CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION <br> Adoption of the 2015 Active Transportation Program <br> Metropolitan Planning Organization Component -- SCAG 

## Resolution No. G-16-06

2.1 WHEREAS Streets and Highways Code Section 2384 requires the California Transportation Commission to adopt a program of projects to receive allocations under the Active Transportation Program (ATP), and
2.2 WHEREAS pursuant to Section 2384, the 2015 ATP is a four-year program covering program years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19, and
2.3 WHEREAS pursuant to Section 2381, the program will be funded by state and federal funds from appropriations in the annual budget, as estimated in the ATP Fund Estimate adopted by the Commission on March 26, 2015, and
2.4 WHEREAS pursuant to Section 2382, the Commission adopted ATP guidelines, to be applicable to the 2015 ATP development process on March 26, 2015, and adopted Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) amended guidelines on May 28, 2015, and June 25, 2015, and
2.5 WHEREAS the 2015 ATP Fund Estimate provided $\$ 359.100$ million in ATP programming capacity to be apportioned to Statewide (50\%), Small Urban \& Rural (10\%) and MPO (40\%) components, and
2.6 WHEREAS pursuant to Section 2382(c), no less than $25 \%$ of overall program funds will benefit disadvantaged communities during each program cycle, and
2.7 WHEREAS the total amount programmed in each fiscal year may not exceed the amount specified in the adopted Fund Estimate, and
2.8 WHEREAS the Commission adopted the 2015 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban \& Rural components on October 21, 2015 consisting of 114 projects totaling $\$ 215,447,000$ of ATP funds, and
2.9 WHEREAS the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) requested their program recommendations be adopted as a separate item from the other MPOs due to their board adoption schedule;
2.10 WHEREAS the City of Santa Ana, Pedestrian and Bicyclist Count Program project has been determined to be ineligible for the ATP and will not be included in staff recommendations for SCAG's 2015 ATP MPO component, and
2.11 WHEREAS the staff recommendations conform to the Fund Estimate and other requirements of statute for the ATP.
2.12 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the California Transportation Commission hereby adopts the 2015 ATP MPO component - SCAG, to include the program described in the staff recommendations, including the attachments to this resolution, and
2.13 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having a project included in the adopted 2015 ATP MPO component is not authorization to begin work on that project. Contracts may not be awarded and/or work cannot begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program, and
2.14 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the MPO contingency lists are not recommended for programming and are included for information only, and
2.15 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if available funding is less than assumed in the Fund Estimate, the Commission may be forced to delay or restrict allocations using interim allocation plans, or, if available funding proves to be greater than assumed, it may be possible to allocate funding to some projects earlier than the year programmed, and
2.16 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Commission staff, in consultation with the Department and regional agencies, is authorized to make further technical changes in cost, schedules, and descriptions for projects in the 2015 ATP MPO component, consistent with the Fund Estimate, in order to reflect the most current information, or to clarify the Commission's programming commitments, with report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at the March 16-17, 2016 meeting.

January 7, 2016

SOUTHER CALTOWNA AsSOCMATOW Of GOVERNMENTS

## Main Office

818 West 7 th Street
12th Floor
Los Angeles, California
90017-3435
(213) 236-1800
$f(213) 236-1825$
www.scag.ca.gov
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Michele Martinez, Santa Ana
Second Vice President Margaret Finlay, Duarte

Immediate Past President Carl Morehouse, San Buenaventura
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Cheryl Viegas-Walker, El Centro

## Policy Committee Chairs

Community, Economic and Human Development Bill kahn, Big Bear Lake

Energy \& Environment Deborah Robertson, Rialto

## Transportation

Alan Wagner, San Bernardino
Associated Governments

Will Kempton
California Transportation Commission
1120 N Street, Room 2233 (MS-52)
Sacramento, CA 95814
January 7, 2016
Dear Mr. Kempton,


The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is pleased to submit to you the SCAG Active Transportation Program (ATP) Regional Project List for consideration and approval by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) during the January 20, 2016 meeting.

The recommended program of projects meets the requirements established by state law, and in the 2015 California Active Transportation Program Guidelines. The projects were selected in collaboration with the six Southern California County Transportation Commissions, Caltrans and the CTC using the process outlined in SCAG's 2015 Active Transportation Program Regional Guidelines, which were approved by the CTC on June 25,2015 . Key elements of the selection process included:

- Projects not selected from the statewide competition were considered for funding in the regional program. SCAG did not issue a separate Call for Projects or supplemental application.
- Initial project scoring was completed as part of the statewide competition managed by CTC staff.
- Each county had the ability to modify preliminary scores by adding up to 10 points to projects that were determined by the Boards of the respective County Transportation Commissions to be consistent with local and regional plans.
- The project list was approved by the Boards of each of the County Transportation Commissions and SCAG.

Attached is the SCAG Regional Council Report approving the 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List and Contingency List.

I want to thank you and your staff for your collaboration on the development of the 2015 ATP Regional Project List. The ATP is a critical resource for improving mobility in our region and meeting the goals of our Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities. We look forward to continuing to work with you to enhance the program over time to meet the tremendous need in our region.

If you have any questions pertaining to this letter, please contact Stephen Patchan of $m y$ staff at (213) 236-1923 or by email at Patchan@scag.ca.gov.
Sincerely,


Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director
Southern California Association of Governments
2015 SCAG ATP Regional Program


DATE: January 7, 2016
TO: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)
FROM: Huasha Liu, Director, Land Use and Environmental Planning; 213-236-1838, lu@scag.ca.gov

SUBJECT: 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVALfferm Henut

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Recommend that the EAC, acting on behalf of the Regional Council in accordance with Article VC.(3)(a) of SCAG's Bylaws, approve the 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program, including the recommended project list and contingency project list.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Per the California Transportation Commissions (CTC) adopted Active Transportation Program Guidelines and SCAG's adopted Southern California Active Transportation Program Project Selection Process, SCAG and the County Transportation Commissions have collaborated to develop a recommended list of projects to be funded under the Regional Program of the 2015 Active Transportation Program (ATP). The Regional Program includes 50 projects recommended for awards totaling $\$ 76.296$ million. Upon approval by SCAG, the Regional Program will be submitted to the CTC and programmed into the ATP during Jantary 20, 2016 CTC meeting. The staff recommendations for the Regional Program were submitted at the November 2015 Regional Council and policy committees for board member review, and subsequently were approved by all of the county transportation commissions.

## STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG Strategic Plan, Goal 2 Obtain Regional Transportation Infrastructure Funding and Promote Legislative Solutions for Regional Planning Priorities, Objective 1 Identify new infrastructure funding opportunities with State, Federal and private partners, of the Strategic Plan.

## BACKGROUND:

SCAG is required by federal and state law to recommend to the CTC a regional program of projects to be funded through the ATP. The Regional Program must meet the requirements of California Active Transportation Program Guidelines, which describe the policy standards, criteria, and procedures for implementing Senate Bill 99, Assembly Bill 101 and the federal MAP 21 Transportation Alternatives program. SCAG collaborated with the county transportation commissions to develop the recommended Regional Program.

The Southern Califomia Regional ATP is a component of a broader statewide active transportation funding program that includes three components: a Statewide competition, Small Urban and Rural competition, and MPO competitions (like SCAG's recommended Regional Program). A total of \$360 million will be awarded statewide in FY '16 through FY ' 19 as a result of all three components. $\$ 76.296$ million of the $\$ 360$ million program budget is set aside for the Southern California Regional Program. Selection of the Regional Program is preceded by the announcement of awards from the

## R EPORT

Statewide competition, on October 21, 2015. All project proposals within the SCAG region that were not selected in the Statewide competition were considered for funding in the Regional Program. SCAG did not host a separate Call for Projects.

## Project Selection Process \& Recommended Project List

Per the adopted 2015 Active Transportation Program: Regional Guidelines, the Regional Program includes two funding categories: Implementation Projects and Planning Projects. Ninety-seven percent ( $\$ 74$ million) of the total Regional Program budget is recommended to fund 42 Implementation Projects. Implementation Projects were selected to ensure each county had the opportunity to receive its population-based share of available funding in the Implementation category. The Implementation Projects were first ranked by county using the scores received as part of the Caltrans review process for the statewide competition, and then supplemented by the respective county transportation commission by up to 10 points (on a 110 point scale). The resulting ranked lists in each county provided the basis for the selection of Implementation Projects. Three percent ( $\$ 1.9$ million) of the total Regional Program budget is being recommended to fund eight planning projects. These projects were ranked and selected based on the scores received as part of the Caltrans review process for the statewide competition.

The recommended project list meets all requirements established by the state, including exceeding the $25 \%$ target for investment in disadvantaged communities (the SCAG region awarded approximately $83 \%$ of funding to disadvantaged communities), funding a broad spectrum of projects, and considering geographic equity. In addition to selecting projects for inclusion in the Regional Program, SCAG is also authorized to recommend a Contingency List (attached) of projects to be funded should an awarded project fail to move forward.

## Next Steps

Upon approval by SCAG, the Regional Program will be submitted to the CTC and programmed into the ATP during January 20, 2016 CTC meeting. SCAG, staff in collaboration with the county transportation commissions, will work with CTC staff to identify which projects should be funded with state-only funds. The remainder of the projects will be funded with federal dollars. Successful project sponsors will be notified by SCAG of their award and receive further direction on program requirements and funding allocation. SCAG will work in partnership with Caltrans, CTC and county transportation commissions to support project sponsors, track project delivery, and recommend modifications to the Regional Program, if needed. The County Transportation Commissions will continue to play a leading role in programming activities for the implementation projects within each county, while SCAG will provide support for all awarded planning projects region wide. A contact list including lead ATP staff at SCAG, Caltrans Districts, and the County Transportation Commissions is attached and will be posted on SCAG's website, in addition to other information for successful project sponsors.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding is included in SCAG's FY 2015-16 Overall Work Program (OWP) Budget. Staff's work budget for the current fiscal year is included in FY 2015-16 OWP 050-SCG00169.01.

## ATTACHMENTS:

1. 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List
2. 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Contingency List
3. Southern California ATP Staff Contact List

IMPLEMENTATION PROJECTS

|  | Co | Applicant | Project Title | Total <br> Project <br> Cost lin <br> 1,000s) | Total <br> Fund <br> Request (in $1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IMP | City of El Centro | Establishment of SR2S Program \& Bicycle Route improvements | 524 | 524 |
| *2 | LA | City of Downey | South Downey SRTS | 469 | 238 |
| 3 | LA | City of Downey | Downey Bike Share and Safety Education | 294 | 180 |
| 4 | LA | City of Cudahy | Wilcox Avenue Complete Streets and SRTS Project | 1371 | 1344 |
| 5 | LA | City of La Verne | La Verne Regional Commuter Bicycle Gap Closure Project | 18712 | 1552 |
| 6 | LA | City of Huntington Park | Uncontrolled Crosswalk Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Project | 1793 | 1757 |
| 7 | LA | Port of Long Beach | South Water Front/Pier j Bike and Pedestrian Path | 3563 | 2000 |
| 8 | LA | City of Torrance | Downtown Torrance Active Transportation Improvement Project | 2533 | 2027 |
| 9 | LA | City of Lynwood | Community Linkages to Civic Center and Long Beach blvd Metro Station | 2891 | 2319 |
| 10 | LA | Port of Long Beach | Coastal Bike Trail Connector-Ocean Blvd, Long Beach | 6660 | 4000 |
| 11 | LA | City of Los Angeles | Broadway Historic Theater District Pedestrian Improvements 4th-6th Streets | 7690 | 6862 |
| 12 | LA | Los Angeles County MTA | Metro Rail to Rail Active Transportation Corridor Segment A-1 | 20278 | 8326 |
| 13 | LA | City of Los Angeles | Colorado BI Pedestrian and Bicycle Active Transportation Improvements | 9843 | 9743 |
| 14 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Pedestrian and Bicyclist Count Program | 225 | 225 |
| 15 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | SRTS Enhancements for Sepulveda Elementary | 310 | 310 |
| 16 | ORA | City of La Habra | Guadalupe Park Reconstruction Project | 400 | 340 |
| 17 | ORA | City of La Habra | Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway Project | 527 | 466 |
| 18 | ORA | City of Brea | The Tracks at Brea Segment 6 | 1603 | 652 |
| 19 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loop Coyote Creek | 3230 | 646 |
| 20 | ORA | City of San Clemente | Shorecliffs Middle School SRTS Ped Improvements | 878 | 869 |
| 21 | ORA | City of San Clemente | Concordia Elementary SRTS Pedestrian \& Bicycle Lane Improvement | 987 | 986 |
| 22 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Lincoln Pedestrian Pathway Connectivity | 1230 | 1230 |
| 23 | ORA | City of Garden Grove | "First Mile" Bicycle and Ped Irail Expansion on the PE Row and Education/Entouragement Activities | 1941 | 1891 |
| 24 | ORA | City of Anaheim | West Street and Citron Street Sidewalk Gap Closure | 2056 | 2056 |
| 25 | ORA | City of Westminister | Garden Grove Boulevard Complete Street Project | 3139 | 2758 |
| 26 | RIV | City of San Jacinto | San Jacinto Valley Connect | 656 | 646 |
| 27 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1085 | 775 |
| 28 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements | 945 | 851 |
| 29 | RIV | Riverside County Transp. Department | Camino Aventura Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1002 | 902 |
| 30 | RIV | City of Riverside | Citywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements | 1249 | 1042 |
| 31 | RIV | City of Banning | Bicycle and SRTS Improvements | 1082 | 1082 |
| 32 | RIV | City of Wildomar | Grand Avenue Multi-Use Trail Improvement Project | 1541 | 1223 |
| 33 | RIV | City of Jurupa Valley | Jurupa Valley High School SRTS | 1467 | 1252 |
| 34 | RIV | City of Moreno Valley | Segment of the Juan Bautista De Anza Multi-use Trail | 1431 | 1431 |
| 35 | SBD | City of Needles | In-fill Sidewalks, Curbs \& Gutters Improvement Project | 484 | 252 |
| 36 | SBD | City of Hesperia | Bear Valley Road Bicycle Bypass Phase II | 376 | 301 |
| 37 | SBD | City of Ontario | SRTS Infrastructure Improvement Project-El Camino Elementary | 400 | 368 |
| 38 | SBD | City of Victorville | Mohave Riverwalk Shared-Use Bicycle Facility | 4700 | 3760 |
| 39 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Santa Ana River Trail Phase IV, Reaches B and C | 9750 | 3801 |
| 40 | VEN | Ventura County | Rio Real Elementary School-Pedestrian and Street improvements Project | 462 | 462 |
| 41 | VEN | City of Oxnard | New Traffic Signal | 567 | 510 |
| 42 | VEN | City of Ojai | Pedestrian and Bike Safety Improvements: Ojai Avenue and Maricopa Hwy | y 2833 | 2333 |
|  |  |  | Total** | 123177 | 74292 |


|  | Co | Applicant | Project Title | Total <br> Project <br> Cost lin <br> 1,000s) | Total <br> Fund <br> Request lin $(1,000 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ***1 | 1 MP | Imperial County | Pedestrian Master Plan | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | LA | City of Bellflower | Bellflower and Paramount Joint Active Transportation Plan | 125 | 100 |
| 3 | LA | City of Irwindale | Citywide Non Motorized Design Guidelines and Active Transportation Action Plan | 154 | 154 |
| 4 | LA | City of Santa Clarita | Junior High and High School SRTS Plan | 200 | 160 |
| 5 | ORA | Orange County Transportation Authority | Active Transportation Plan | 350 | 280 |
| 6 | SBD | City of Grand Terrace | ATP Planning | 295 | 295 |
| 7 | LA | City of Downey | Pedestrian Plan | 300 | 300 |
| 8 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Citywide SRTS Plan | 615 | 615 |
|  |  |  | Total**** | 2139 | 2004 |
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| 39 | 7 | LA | Bell Gardens | Southeast Cities Active Transportation Master Plan | 767 | 767 | 64.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 7 | LA | Long Beach | PE Right of Way Connections | 9,225 | 8,977 | 64.00 |
| 41 | 7 | LA | UCLA | Using CicLAvia to Engage Communities for Broader and more Inclusive Active Transportation in Los Angeles | 1,740 | 1,740 | 64.00 |
| 42 | 7 | LA | Santa Clarita | ATP Downtown Newhall Metrolink Station | 250 | 200 | 63.00 |
| 43 | 7 | LA | South El Monte | Monte Vista ES SRTS project | 1,577 | 1,577 | 63.00 |
| 44 | 7 | LA | Torrance | Del Amo Transportation Enhancements from Crenshaw Blvd to Western Ave | 4,113 | 3,064 | 63.00 |
| 45 | 7 | LA | Montebello | Montebello Boulevard ATP Improvement Project | 5,808 | 4,240 | 61.50 |
| 46 | 7 | LA | Compton | Central Avenue Active Transportation Safety Improvements | 2,963 | 2,483 | 61.00 |
| 47 | 7 | LA | Bell | Florence Avenue Pedestrian Improvements | 2,405 | 1,862 | 55.00 |
| 48 | 7 | LA | Irwindale | Ramona Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Gap Closure Safety Improvements | 600 | 600 | 53.00 |
| 49 | , | LA | Cerritos | Improvements to Various Arterial Ped Crossings near Cerritos Schools | 116 | 99 | 41.00 |
| 50 | 7 | LA | Duarte | Active and Accessible Duarte Multipurpose Trail Improvements | 1,297 | 1,297 | 39.50 |
| 51 | 7 | LA | Lynwood | Pedestrian Transit Corridor Project | 2,668 | 2,668 | 23.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | SRTS Enhancements for Muir Elementary | 571 | 571 | 73.00 |
| 2 | 12. | ORA | Costa Mesa | Adams Av at Pine Dr/S St Access Improvements | 921 | 921 | 70.00 |
| 3 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Pedestrian Countdown Signals | 385 | 340 | 68.00 |
| 4 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Ross Street Protected Bike Lanes | 3,204 | 3,204 | 68.00 |
| 5 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | First Street Pedestrian Improvements | 5,475 | 5,475 | 67.00 |
| 6 | 12 | ORA | Garden Grove | Chapman \& Lamplighter Traffic Signal | 207 | 180 | 66.00 |
| 7 | 12 | ORA | San Clemente | Southern Extension - San Clemente Beach Trall | 1,459 | 1,246 | 66.00 |
| 8 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Hills | La Paz Open Space Bikeway Project | 706 | 625 | 65.00 |
| 9 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Hills | Community Center to Indian Hill Lane Multi-Use Trail | 650 | 550 | 65.00 |
| 10 | 12 | ORA | Seal Beach | Lampson Avenue Bike Lane Gap Closure Project | 1,300 | 1,095 | 65.00 |
| 11 | 12 | ORA | Brea | Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections to "The Tracks at Brea Trail" | 395 | 395 | 64.00 |
| 12 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Pedestrian and Bicyclist Education Campaign | 474 | 474 | 63.00 |
| 13 | 12 | ORA | Mission Viejo | Arroyo Trabuco Regional Multi-Use Trail Access and Regional Connector | 1,241 | 1,241 | 62.00 |
| 14 | 12 | ORA | Anaheim | La Palma Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure at Whittier Street | 343 | 343 | 60.00 |
| 15 | 12 | ORA | Lake Forest | Foothill Ranch Elementary School Zone and Crosswalk | 112 | 112 | 59.00 |
| 16 | 12 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loops Segments F and H | 3,322 | 434 | 59.00 |
| 17 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Citywide Bike Parking | 1,400 | 1,400 | 56.00 |
| 18 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Merrimac Way Multipurpose Trail | 865 | 865 | 54.00 |
| 19 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Complete Streets SRTS Study Along Pacific Avenue | 110 | 110 | 53.00 |
| 20 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Walnut Trall Rehabilitation Project | 250 | 250 | 53.00 |
| 21 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Bicycle Friendly Intersection Project | 442 | 442 | 51.50 |
| 22 | 12 | ORA | Los Alamitos | Bike/Ped Bridge of Los Alamitos | 3,381 | 3,231 | 51.50 |
| 23 | 12 | ORA | Fountain Valley | Fountain Valley Pedestrian Pathway Improvement within School Zones | 261 | 235 | 51.00 |
| 24 | 12 | ORA | Huntington Beach | Multi-Use Blufftop Path | 1,861 | 1,761 | 51.00 |
| 25 | 12 | ORA | Newport Beach | Superior Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Overcrossing | 2,937 | 2,350 | 51.00 |
| 26 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | SRTS | 250 | 250 | 47.50 |
| 27 | 12 | ORA | Garden Grove | Valley View Alley Rehabilitation | 733 | 676 | 43.00 |
| 28 | 12 | ORA | Orange County | Southwest Anaheim Sidewalk Improvements | 4,276 | 4,276 | 43.00 |
| 29 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Almond Avenue Sidewalk Construction | 295 | 295 | 42.00 |
| 30 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | SRTS Flashing Crosswalks | 273 | 273 | 41.00 |
| 31 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Beach | Temple Hills Drive Pedestrian Improvements | 1,178 | 598 | 38.00 |
| 32 | 12 | ORA | Tustin | Tustin Legacy Pedestrian/Bike Trail and Bridges | 11,942 | 6,257 | 38.00 |
| 33 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Eastside SRTS Traffic Calming | 2,232 | 2,232 | 36.00 |
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| 34 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Ridge Valley Off-Street Bikeway Project | 499 | 499 | 34.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 12 | ORA | Anaheim | Orange Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure | 577 | 577 | 32.00 |
| 36 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Katella Avenue Sidewalk Improvements | 315 | 375 | 28.00 |
| 37 | 2 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Adams Avenue Multipurpose Trail | 2,861 | 2,861 | 24.00 |
| 38 | 12 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loop Segment D | 8,904 | 1,196 | 24.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | RIV | City of Coachella | ATP Cycle 2 | 2,755 | 2,220 | 80.00 |
| 2 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements | 945 | 851 | 80.00 |
| 3 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1,085 | 868 | 80.00 |
| 4 | 8 | RIV | Indio | SRTS Project | 1,897 | 1,897 | 79.00 |
| 5 | 8 | RIV | Eastvale | SRTS Citywide Safety Improvements, Education and Encouragement | 577 | 461 | 78.00 |
| 6 | 8 | RIV | Perris | Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Trail-Phase 2 | 1,743 | 1,572 | 75.00 |
| 7 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Clark Street Sidewalk and intersection Safety Improvements | 2,376 | 1,324 | 74.00 |
| 8 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Gage Canal Bike Path and Multi-use Trail | 2,213 | 2,213 | 72.00 |
| 9 | 8 | RIV | Perris | Perris Metrolink Station Bike Route and Pedestrian Connectivity | 1,311 | 950 | 69.00 |
| 10 | 8 | RIV | Temecula | Santa Gertrudis Creek Ped/Bicycle Trail Extension and Interconnect | 4,362 | 3,581 | 68.00 |
| 11 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County DPH | Active Transportation Program, North Shore | 628 | 500 | 62.00 |
| 12 | 8 | RIV | Wildomar | Union Street Multi-Use Path | 2,071 | 2,050 | 61.00 |
| 13 | 8 | RIV | Moreno Valley | Gap Closure Sidewalk on Alessando Boulevard at Old 215 Frontage Rd. | 934 | 934 | 60.00 |
| 14 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Dillon Road Bike Lane Improvements | 2,560 | 2,560 | 60.00 |
| 15 | 8 | RIV | Moreno Valley | Cactus Avenue/Philo Street School Crossing Traffic Signal | 646 | 646 | 59.00 |
| 16 | 8 | RIV | Coachella Valley Assoc. Gov, | Coachella Valley-CV Link Spurs | 4,836 | 4,652 | 53.00 |
| 17 | 8 | RIV | Hemet | Hemet Valley Bikeway Connect | 977 | 977 | 50.00 |
| 18 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Railroad Crossing Sidewalk improvements | 2,071 | 2,071 | 49.00 |
| 19 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Ramona Sidewalk Improvements | 5,521 | 5,521 | 48.50 |
| 20 | 8 | RIV | Beaumont | Bikeway and Pedestrian Master Plan | 23 | 23 | 31.00 |
| 21 | 8 | RIV | Cathedral City | Festival Park Plan and Corresponding Pedestrian Plan | 297 | 250 | 28.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | SBD | Rialto | SRTS Program for Colton Joint and Fontana Unified Districts Schools | 160 | 160 | 80.00 |
| 2 | 8 | SBD | Yucaipa | Safe Routes to Calimesa And Wildwood Elementary Schools and Green Valley High School | 1,950 | 1,493 | 79.00 |
| 3 | 8 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Sunburst Street Bike Path Extension | 1,118 | 1,118 | 77.00 |
| 4 | 8 | SBD | Ontario | G Street and San Antonio Bike Corridors | 792 | 633 | 73.00 |
| 5 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | 6th Street Cycle Track | 1,633 | 1,320 | 72.00 |
| 6 | 8 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Trona Road Class I Bikeway Rehabilitations | 927 | 758 | 72.00 |
| 7 | 8 | SBD | Fontana | SRTS Project | 1,967 | 1,967 | 70.00 |
| 8 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | SRTS Sidewalk and Pedestrian Safety Enhancements | 836 | 471 | 65.00 |
| 9 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | Yucca Valley High School Sidewalks Phase II | 787 | 787 | 65.00 |
| 10 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | Southwest Cucamonga Bicycle Network Gap Closure | 1,199 | 960 | 62.00 |
| 11 | 8 | SBD | Upland | Elementary School Safe Walking and Street Crossing Pathway Improvement Program | 992 | 793 | 57.00 |
| 12 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | Yucca Valley High School Sidewalks Phase I | 1,313 | 1,313 | 54.00 |
| 13 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | La Contenta Jr. High School Sidewalks345 | 2,266 | 2,266 | 53.00 |
| 14 | 8 | SBD | Barstow | Main Street-Route 66 Bicycle Route Project | 1,436 | 1,136 | 45.00 |
| 15 | 8 | SBD | Chino Hills | Los Serranos Infrastructure Improvements | 1,470 | 1,470 | 28.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 | VEN | Oxnard | Etting Road Sidewalks and Bike Lanes | 885 | 796 | 69.00 |
| 2 | 7 | VEN | Thousand Oaks | East Side Moorpark Sidewalk Improvements | 919 | 716 | 66.00 |
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## Tanspontation

 Alan Wapher, San Eemardino Associated GovernmentsWill Kempton
California Transportation Commission
1120 N Street, Room 2233 (MS-52)
Sacramento, CA 95814
January 7, 2016
Dear Mr. Kempton, $\sqrt{3} / 4$
The Southem Calfomia Association of Governments (SCAG) is pleased to submit to you the SCAG Active Transportation Program (ATP) Regional Project List for consideration and approval by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) during the lanuary 20, 2016 meeting.

The recommended program of projects meets the recuirements established by state law, and in the 2015 Califomia Active Transportation Program Guidelines. The projects were selected in collaboration with the six Southern California County Transportation Commissions, Caltrans and the CTC using the process outined in SCAG's 2015 Active Transportation Program Regional Guidelines, which were approved by the CTC on June 25, 2015. Key elements of the selection process included:

- Projects not selected from the statewide competition were considered for funding in the regional program. SCAG did not issue a separate Call for Projects or supplemental application.
- Initial project scoring was completed as part of the statewide competition managed by CTC staff.
- Each county had the ability to modify preliminary scores by adding up to 10 points to projects that were determined by the Boards of the respective County Transportation Commissions to be consistent with local and regional plans.
- The project list was approved by the Boards of each of the County Transportation Commissions and SCAG.

Attached is the SCAG Regional Council Report approving the 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List and Contingency List.

I want to thank you and your staff for your collaboration on the development of the 2015 ATP Regional Project List. The ATP is a critical resource for improving mobility in our region and meeting the goals of our Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities. We look forward to continuing to work with you to enhance the program over time to meet the tremendous need in our region.

If you have any questions pertaining to this letter, please contact Stephen Patchan of my staff at (213) 236-1923 or by email at Patchan@scag.ca.gov.
Sincerely,


Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director
Southern California Association of Governments
2015 SCAG ATP Regional Program


DATE: January 7, 2016
TO: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)
FRON: Huasha Liu, Director, Land Use and Environmental Planning; 213-236-1838, liu@scag.ca.gov

SUBJECT: 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List

## ExECutive director's approvaitfore Whuth

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Recommend that the EAC, acting on behalf of the Regional Council in accordance with Article VC.(3)(a) of SCAG's Bylaws, approve the 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program, including the recommended project list and contingency project list.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Per the California Transportation Commissions (CTC) adopted Active Transportation Program Guidelines and SCAG's adopted Southern California Active Transportation Program Project Selection Process, SCAG and the County Transportation Commissions have collaborated to develop a recommended list of projects to be funded under the Regional Program of the 2015 Active Transportation Program (ATP). The Regional Program includes 50 projects recommended for awards totaling $\$ 76.296$ million. Upon approval by SCAG, the Regional Program will be submitted to the CTC and programmed into the ATP during Jantuary 20, 2016 CTC meeting. The staff recommendations for the Regional Program were submitted at the November 2015 Regional Council and policy comnittees for board member review, and subsequently were approved by all of the county transportation commissions.

## STRATEGIC PLAN:

This item supports SCAG Strategic Plan, Goal 2 Obtain Regional Transportation Infrastructure Funding and Promote Legislative Solutions for Regional Planning Priorities, Objective 1 Identify new infrastructure funding opportunities with State, Federal and private partners, of the Strategic Plan.

## BACKGROUND:

SCAG is required by federal and state law to recommend to the CTC a regional program of projects to be funded through the ATP. The Regional Program must meet the requirements of Califomia Active Transportation Program Guidelines, which describe the policy standards, criteria, and procedures for implementing Senate Bill 99, Assembly Bill 101 and the federal MAP 21 Transportation Alternatives program. SCAG collaborated with the county transportation commissions to develop the recommended Regional Program.

The Southem California Regional ATP is a component of a broader statewide active transportation funding program that includes three components: a Statewide competition, Small Urban and Rural competition, and MPO competitions (like SCAG's recommended Regional Program). A total of \$360 million will be awarded statewide in FY ' 16 through $F Y$ ' 19 as a result of all three components. $\$ 76.296$ million of the $\$ 360$ million program budget is set aside for the Southern California Regional Program. Selection of the Regional Program is preceded by the announcement of awards from the

## REPORT

Statewide competition, on October 21, 2015. All project proposals within the SCAG region that were not selected in the Statewide competition were considered for funding in the Regional Program. SCAG did not host a separate Call for Projects.

## Proiect Selection Process \& Recommended Project List

Per the adopted 2015 Active Transportation Program: Regional Guidelines, the Regional Program includes two funding categories: Implementation Projects and Planning Projects. Ninety-seven percent ( $\$ 74$ million) of the total Regional Program budget is recommended to fund 42 Implementation Projects. Implementation Projects were selected to ensure each county had the opportunity to receive its population-based share of available funding in the Implementation category. The Implementation Projects were first ranked by county using the scores received as part of the Caltrans review process for the statewide competition, and then supplemented by the respective county transportation commission by up to 10 points (on a 110 point scale). The resulting ranked lists in each county provided the basis for the selection of Implementation Projects. Three percent ( $\$ 1.9$ million) of the total Regional Program budget is being recommended to fund eight planning projects. These projects were ranked and selected based on the scores received as part of the Caltrans review process for the statewide competition.

The recommended project list meets all requirements established by the state, including exceeding the $25 \%$ target for investment in disadvantaged communities (the SCAG region awarded approximately $83 \%$ of funding to disadvantaged communities), funding a broad spectrum of projects, and considering geographic equity. In addition to selecting projects for inclusion in the Regional Program, SCAG is also authorized to recommend a Contingency List (attached) of projects to be funded should an awarded project fail to move forward.

## Next Steps

Upon approval by SCAG, the Regional Program will be submitted to the CTC and programmed into the ATP during January 20,2016 CTC meeting. SCAG, staff in collaboration with the county transportation commissions, will work with CTC staff to identify which projects should be funded with state-only funds. The remainder of the projects will be funded with federal dollars. Successful project sponsors will be notified by SCAG of their award and receive further direction on program requirements and funding allocation. SCAG will work in partnership with Caltrans, CTC and county transportation commissions to support project sponsors, track project delivery, and recommend modifications to the Regional Program, if needed. The County Transportation Commissions will continue to play a leading role in programming activities for the implementation projects within each county, while SCAG will provide support for all awarded planning projects region wide. A contact list including lead ATP staff at SCAG, Caltrans Districts, and the County Transportation Commissions is attached and will be posted on SCAG's website, in addition to other information for successful project sponsors.

## FISCAL MPACT:

Funding is included in SCAG's FY 2015-16 Overall Work Program (OWP) Budget. Staff's work budget for the current fiscal year is included in FY 2015-16 OWP 050-SCG00169.01.

ATTACLMENTS:

1. 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Recommended Project List
2. 2015 Regional Active Transportation Program: Contingency List
3. Southern Califormia ATP Staff Contact List

IMPLEMENTATION PROIECTS

|  | co | Applicant | Project Title | Total <br> Project <br> Cost IIn <br> 1,000s) | Total <br> Fund $\begin{aligned} & \text { Request (in } \\ & 1 \text { i,000s) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IMP | City of El Centro | Establishment of SR2S Program \& Bicycle Route Improvements | 524 | 524 |
| *2 | LA | City of Downey | South Downey SRTS | 469 | 238 |
| 3 | LA | City of Downey | Downey Bike Share and Safety Education | 294 | 180 |
| 4 | LA | City of Cudahy | Wilcox Avenue Complete Streets and SRTS Project | 1371 | 1344 |
| 5 | LA | City of La Verne | La Verne Regional Commuter Bicycle Gap Closure Project | 18712 | 1552 |
| 6 | LA | City of Huntington Park | Uncontrolled Crosswalk Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Project | 1793 | 1757 |
| 7 | LA | Port of Long Beach | South Water Front/Pier j Bike and Pedestrian Path | 3563 | 2000 |
| 8 | LA | City of Torrance | Downtown Torrance Active Transportation Improvement Project | 2533 | 2027 |
| 9 | LA | City of Lynwood | Community Linkages to Civic Center and Long Beach blvd Metro Station | 2891 | 2319 |
| 10 | LA | Port of Long Beach | Coastal Bike Trail Connector-Ocean Blvd, Long Beach | 6660 | 4000 |
| 11 | LA | City of Los Angeles | Broadway Historic Theater District Pedestrian Improvements 4th-6th Streets | 7690 | 6862 |
| 12 | LA | Los Angeles County MTA | Metro Rail to Rail Active Transportation Corridor Segment A-1 | 20278 | 8326 |
| 13 | LA | City of Los Angeles | Colorado BI Pedestrian and Bicycle Active Transportation Improvements | 9843 | 9743 |
| 14 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Pedestrian and Bicyclist Count Program | 225 | 225 |
| 15 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | SRTS Enhancements for Sepulveda Elementary | 310 | 310 |
| 16 | ORA | City of La Habra | Guadalupe Park Reconstruction Project | 400 | 340 |
| 17 | ORA | City of La Habra | Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway Project | 527 | 466 |
| 18 | ORA | City of Brea | The Tracks at Brea Segment 6 | 1603 | 652 |
| 19 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loop Coyote Creek | 3230 | 646 |
| 20 | ORA | City of San Clemente | Shorediffs Middle School SRTS Ped Improvements | 878 | 869 |
| 21 | ORA | City of San Clemente | Concordia Elementary SRTS Pedestrian \& Bicycle Lane Improvement | 987 | 986 |
| 22 | ora | City of Santa Ana | Lincoln Pedestrian Pathway Connectivity | 1230 | 1230 |
| 23 | ORA | City of Garden Grove | "First Mie" Bicyele and Ped Trail Expansion on the Pe Row and Educationfencouragement Activites | 1941 | 1891 |
| 24 | ORA | City of Anaheim | West Street and Citron Street Sidewalk Gap Closure | 2056 | 2056 |
| 25 | ORA | City of Westminister | Garden Grove Boulevard Complete Street Project | 3139 | 2758 |
| 26 | RIV | City of San Jacinto | San Jacinto Valley Connect | 656 | 646 |
| 27 | BIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1085 | 775 |
| 28 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety improvements | 945 | 851 |
| 29 | RIV | Riverside County Transp. Department | Camino Aventura Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1002 | 902 |
| 30 | RIV | City of Riverside | Citywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements | 1249 | 1042 |
| 31 | RIV | City of Banning | Bicycle and SRTS Improvements | 1082 | 1082 |
| 32 | RIV | City of Widdomar | Grand Avenue Multi-Use Trail Improvement Project | 1541 | 1223 |
| 33 | RIV | City of Jurupa Valley | Jurupa Valley High School SPTS | 1467 | 1252 |
| 34 | RRV | City of Moreno Valley | Segment of the Juan Bautista De Anza Multi-use Trail | 1431 | 1431 |
| 35 | SBD | City of Needles | In-fill Sidewalks, Curbs \& Gutters Improvement Project | 484 | 252 |
| 36 | SBD | City of Hesperia | Bear Valley Road Bicycle Bypass Phase II | 376 | 301 |
| 37 | SBD | City of Ontario | SRTS infrastructure Improvement Project-El Camino Elementary | 400 | 368 |
| 38 | SBD | City of Victorville | Mohave Riverwalk Shared-Use Bicycle Facility | 4700 | - 3760 |
| 39 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Santa Ana River Trail Phase IV, Reaches B and C | 9750 | - 3801 |
| 40 | VEN | Ventura County | Rio Real Elementary School-Pedestrian and Streat improvements Project | 462 | 462 |
| 41 | VEEN | City of Oxnard | New Traffic Signal | 567 | 510 |
| 42 | 2 VEN | City of Ojai | Pedestrian and Bike Safety Improvements: Ojai Avenue and Maricopa Hwy | 2833 | 2333 |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {m** }}$ | 123177 | 7 74292 |

PLANNING PROIECTS

|  | Co | Applicant | Project Title | Total <br> Project <br> Cost in <br> 1,000s) | Total <br> Fund <br> Request (in $1,00051$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ***1 | IMP | Imperial County | Pedestrian Master Plan | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | LA | City of Belffower | Bellflower and Paramount Joint Active Transportation Plan | 125 | 100 |
| 3 | LA | City of Irwindale | Citywide Non Motorized Design Guidelines and Active Transportation Action Plan | 154 | 154 |
| 4 | LA | City of Santa Clarita | Junior High and High School SRTS Plan | 200 | 160 |
| 5 | ORA | Orange County Transportation Authority | Active Transportation Plan | 350 | 280 |
| 6 | SBD | City of Grand Terrace | ATP Planning | 295 | 295 |
| 7 | LA | City of Downey | Pedestrian Plan | 300 | 300 |
| 8 | ORA | City of Santa Ana | Citywide SRTS Plan | 615 | 615 |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{\text {***** }}$ | 2139 | 2004 |

[^1]** Total Fund Request was reduced from $\$ 74,304$ to $\$ 74,292$
*** Total Fund Request was increased from $\$ 88 \mathrm{k}$ to $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}$
****Total Fund Request was increased from $\$ 1992$ to $\$ 2004$

2015 ATP Regional Program:

| 39 | 7 | LA | Bell Gardens | Southeast Cities Active Transportation Master Plan | 767 | 767 | 64.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 7 | LA | Long Beach | PE Right of Way Connections | 9,225 | 8,977 | 64.00 |
| 41 | 7 | LLA | UCLA | Using CicLAvia to Engage Communities for Broader and more Inclusive Active Transportation in Los Angeles | 1,740 | 1,740 | 64.00 |
| 42 | 7 | LA | Santa Clarita | ATP Downtown Newhall Metrolink Station | 250 | 200 | 63.00 |
| 43 | 7 | LA | South EI Monte | Monte Vista ES SRTS project | 1,577 | 1,577 | 63.00 |
| 44 | 7 | LA | Torrance | Del Amo Transportation Enhancements from Crenshaw Blvd to Western Ave | 4,113 | 3,064 | 63.00 |
| 45 | 7 | LA | Montebello | Montebello Boulevard ATP Improvement Project | 5,808 | 4,240 | 61.50 |
| 46 | 7 | LA | Compton | Central Avenue Active Transportation Safety Improvements | 2,963 | 2,483 | 61.00 |
| 47 | 7 | LA | Bell | Florence Avenue Pedestrian Improvements | 2,405 | 1,862 | 55.00 |
| 48 | 7 | LA | Irwindale | Ramona Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Gap Closure Safety Improvements | 600 | 600 | 53.00 |
| 49 | 7 | LA | Cerritos | Improvements to Various Arterial Ped Crossings near Cerritos Schools | 116 | 99 | 41.00 |
| 50 | 7 | LA | Duarte | Active and Accessible Duarte Multipurpose Trail Improvements | 1,297 | 1,297 | 39.50 |
| 51 | 7 | LA | Lynwood | Pedestrian Transit Corridor Project | 2,668 | 2,668 | 23.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | SRTS Enhancements for Muir Elementary | 571 | 571 | 73.00 |
| 2 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Adams Av at Pine Dr/S St Access Improvements | 921 | 921 | 70.00 |
| 3 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Pedestrian Countdown Signals | 385 | 340 | 68.00 |
| 4 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Ross Street Protected Bike Lanes | 3,204 | 3,204 | 68.00 |
| 5 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | First Street Pedestrian Improvements | 5,475 | 5,475 | 67.00 |
| 6 | 12 | ORA | Garden Grove | Chapman \& Lamplighter Traffic Signal | 207 | 180 | 66.00 |
| 7 | 12 | ORA | San Clemente | Southern Extension - San Clemente Beach Trall | 1,459 | 1,246 | 66.00 |
| 8 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Hills | La Paz Open Space Bikeway Project | 706 | 625 | 65.00 |
| 9 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Hills | Community Center to Indian Hill Lane Multi-Use Trail | 650 | 550 | 65.00 |
| 10 | 12 | ORA | Seal Beach | Lampson Avenue Bike Lane Gap Closure Project | 1,300 | 1,095 | 65.00 |
| 11 | 12 | ORA | Brea | Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections to "The Tracks at Brea Trail" | 395 | 395 | 64.00 |
| 12 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Pedestrian and Bicyclist Education Campaign | 474 | 474 | 63.00 |
| 13 | 12 | ORA | Mission Viejo | Arroyo Trabuco Regional Multi-Use Trail Access and Regional Connector | 1,241 | 1,241 | 62.00 |
| 14 | 12 | ORA | Anaheim | La Palma Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure at Whittler Street | 343 | 343 | 60.00 |
| 15 | 12 | ORA | Lake Forest | Foothill Ranch Elementary School Zone and Crosswalk | 112 | 112 | 59.00 |
| 16 | 12 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loops Segments $F$ and $H$ | 3,322 | 434 | 59.00 |
| 17 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Citywide Bike Parking | 1,400 | 1,400 | 56.00 |
| 18 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Merrimac Way Multipurpose Trail | 865 | 865 | 54.00 |
| 19 | 12 | ORA | Santa Ana | Complete Streets SRTS Study Along Pacific Avenue | 110 | 110 | 53.00 |
| 20 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Walnut Trail Rehabilitation Project | 250 | 250 | 53.00 |
| 21 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Bicycle Friendly Intersection Project | 442 | 442 | 51.50 |
| 22 | 12 | ORA | Los Alamitos | Bike/Ped Bridge of Los Alamitos | 3,381 | 3,231 | 51.50 |
| 23 | 12 | ORA | Fountain Valley | Fountain Valley Pedestrian Pathway Improvement within School Zones | 261 | 235 | 51.00 |
| 24 | 12 | ORA | Huntington Beach | Multi-Use Blufftop Path | 1,861 | 1,761 | 51.00 |
| 25 | 12 | ORA | Newport Beach | Superior Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Overcrossing | 2,937 | 2,350 | 51.00 |
| 26 | 12 | ORA | Invine | SRTS | 250 | 250 | 47.50 |
| 27 | 12 | ORA | Garden Grove | Valley View Alley Rehabilitation | 733 | 676 | 43.00 |
| 28 | 12 | ORA | Orange County | Southwest Anaheim Sidewalk Improvements | 4,276 | 4,276 | 43.00 |
| 29 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Almond Avenue Sidewalk Construction | 295 | 295 | 42.00 |
| 30 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | SRTS Flashing Crosswalks | 273 | 273 | 41.00 |
| 31 | 12 | ORA | Laguna Beach | Temple Hills Drive Pedestrian Improvements | 1,178 | 598 | 38.00 |
| 32 | 12 | ORA | Tustin | Tustin Legacy Pedestrian/Bike Trail and Bridges | 11,942 | 6,257 | 38.00 |
| 33 | 12 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Eastside SRTS Traffic Calming | 2,232 | 2,232 | 36.00 |

2015 ATP Regional Program: Contigency List (By County)

| 34 | 12 | ORA | Irvine | Ridge Valley Off-Street Bikeway Project | 499 | 499 | 34.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 12 | ORA | Anaheim | Orange Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure | 577 | 577 | 32.00 |
| 36 | 12 | ORA | Orange | Katella Avenue Sidewalk Improvements | 325 | 375 | 28.00 |
| 37 | 2 | ORA | Costa Mesa | Adams Avenue Multipurpose Trail | 2,861 | 2,861 | 24.00 |
| 38 | 12 | ORA | OC Parks Orange County | OC Loop Segment D | 8,904 | 1,196 | 24.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | RIV | City of Coachella | ATP Cycle 2 | 2,755 | 2,220 | 80.00 |
| 2 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements | 945 | 851 | 80.00 |
| 3 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements | 1,085 | 868 | 80.00 |
| 4 | 8 | RIV | Indio | SRTS Project | 1,897 | 1,897 | 79.00 |
| 5 | 8 | RIV | Eastvale | SRTS Citywide Safety Improvements, Education and Encouragement | 577 | 461 | 78.00 |
| 6 | 8 | RIV | Perris | Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Trail-Phase 2 | 1,743 | 1,572 | 75.00 |
| 7 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Clark Street Sidewalk and intersection Safety Improvements | 2,376 | 1,324 | 74.00 |
| 8 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Gage Canal Bike Path and Multi-use Trail | 2,213 | 2,213 | 72.00 |
| 9 | 8 | RIV | Perris | Perris Metrolink Station Bike Route and Pedestrian Connectivity | 1,311 | 950 | 69.00 |
| 10 | 8 | RIV | Temecula | Santa Gertrudis Creek Ped/Bicycle Trail Extension and Interconnect | 4,362 | 3,581 | 68.00 |
| 11 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County DPH | Active Transportation Program, North Shore | 628 | 500 | 62.00 |
| 12 | 8 | RIV | Wildomar | Union Street Multi-Use Path | 2,071 | 2,050 | 61.00 |
| 13 | 8 | RIV | Moreno Valley | Gap Closure Sidewalk on Alessando Boulevard at Old 215 Frontage Rd. | 934 | 934 | 60.00 |
| 14 | 8 | RIV | Riverside County Transp Department | Dillon Road Bike Lane Improvements | 2,560 | 2,560 | 60.00 |
| 15 | 8 | RIV | Moreno Valley | Cactus Avenue/Philo Street School Crossing Traffic Signal | 646 | 646 | 59.00 |
| 16 | 8 | RIV | Coachella Valley Assoc. Gov. | Coachella Valley-CV Link Spurs | 4,836 | 4,652 | 53.00 |
| 17 | 8 | RIV | Hemet | Hemet Valley Bikeway Connect | 977 | 977 | 50.00 |
| 18 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Railroad Crossing Sidewalk improvements | 2,071 | 2,071 | 49.00 |
| 19 | 8 | RIV | Riverside | Ramona Sidewalk Improvements | 5,521 | 5,521 | 48.50 |
| 20 | 8 | RIV | Beaumont | Bikeway and Pedestrian Master Plan | 23 | 23 | 31.00 |
| 21 | 8 | RIV | Cathedral City | Festival Park Plan and Corresponding Pedestrian Plan | 297 | 250 | 28.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | SBD | Riaito | SRTS Program for Colton Joint and Fontana Unified Districts Schools | 160 | 160 | 80.00 |
| 2 | 8 | SBD | Yucaipa | Safe Routes to Calimesa And Wildwood Elementary Schools and Green Valley High School | 1,950 | 1,493 | 79.00 |
| 3 | 8 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Sunburst Street Bike Path Extension | 1,118 | 1,118 | 77.00 |
| 4 | 8 | SBD | Ontario | G Street and San Antonio Bike Corridors | 792 | 633 | 73.00 |
| 5 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | 6th Street Cycle Track | 1,633 | 1,320 | 72.00 |
| 6 | 8 | SBD | San Bernardino County | Trona Road Class I Bikeway Rehabilitations | 927 | 758 | 72.00 |
| 7 | 8 | SBD | Fontana | SRTS Project | 1,967 | 1,967 | 70.00 |
| 8 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | SRTS Sidewalk and Pedestrian Safety Enhancements | 836 | 471 | 65.00 |
| 9 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | Yucca Valley High School Sidewalks Phase II | 787 | 787 | 65.00 |
| 10 | 8 | SBD | Rancho Cucamonga | Southwest Cucamonga Bicycle Network Gap Closure | 1,199 | 960 | 62.00 |
| 11 | 8 | SBD | Upland | Elementary School Safe Walking and Street Crossing Pathway Improvement Program | 992 | 793 | 57.00 |
| 12 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | Yucca Valley High School Sidewalks Phase I | 1,313 | 1,313 | 54.00 |
| 13 | 8 | SBD | Town of Yucca Valley | La Contenta Jr. High School Sidewalks345 | 2,266 | 2,266 | 53.00 |
| 14 | 8 | SBD | Barstow | Main Street-Route 66 Bicycle Route Project | 1,436 | 1,136 | 45.00 |
| 15 | 8 | SBD | Chino Hills | Los Serranos Infrastructure Improvements | 1,470 | 1,470 | 28.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 | VEN | Oxnard | Etting Road Sidewalks and Bike Lanes | 885 | 796 | 69.00 |
| 2 |  | VEN | Thousand Oaks | East Side Moorpark Sidewalk Improvements | 919 | 716 | 66.00 |


| 3 | 7 | VEN | Thousand Oaks | Conejo School Sidewalk Improvements | 1,708 | 1,326 | 59.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 7 | VEN | Ventura County | Santa Ana Road Bike Lanes Project | 1,300 | 1,150 | 58.00 |
| 5 | 7 | VEN | Thousand Oaks | Pederson Road Sidewalk fimprovements | 525 | 356 | 57.50 |
| 6 | 7 | VEN | Santa Paula | Fagan Barranca Bike Trail Improvement Project | 875 | 782 | 55.00 |
| 7 | 7 | VEN | Ventura | Cypress Point and Harmon Barranca Path Crossing Safety Beacons | 623 | 573 | 44.00 |
| 8 | 7 | VEN | Oxnard | Vineyard Avenue Bike Lanes | 802 | 709 | 37.00 |
| 9 | 7 | VEN | Thousand Oaks | Reino and Old Conejo Roads Sidewalk Improvements | 682 | 555 | 35.75 |
| 10 | 7 | VEN | Ventura County | Las Posas Road Bike Lanes(South) Phase II Project | 690 | 610 | 28.00 |


[^0]:    *Total Fund Request was reduced from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 238$
    ** Total Fund Request was reduced from $\$ 74,304$ to $\$ 74,292$
    *** Total Fund Request was increased from $\$ 88 \mathrm{k}$ to $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}$
    ****Total Fund Request was increased from \$1992 to \$2004

[^1]:    *Total Fund Request was reduced from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 238$

