Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL Page 1 of 29 INFRASTRUCTURE APPLIACTION FORM Total Project cost less than \$1.5M

Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for Question #1

| QU | JES | TIC | DΝ | #1 | |
|----|-----|-----|----|----|--|
| | | | | | |

| UESTION | <u>#1</u> | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | TAGED COMMUNITIES (0-10 POINTS) oject does not qualify as a Disadvantag | ed Community. | | | | | |
| If this | s project does not qualify as a Disa | dvantaged Community, applicant may | skip and move onto question 2. | | | | |
| Map of I | Project Boundaries, Access and Destin | ation (0 points): Required | | | | | |
| | | the proposed project/program/plan, the geogram point(s) and destinations that the project/pro | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Identific | cation of Disadvantaged Community: (0 |) points) | | | | | |
| • M • Ca • Fr st | ledian Household Income alEnviroScreen | e information for all Census Tract/Block Group | , , | | | | |
| Select (| Option: | | | | | | |
| 140) lev use data | The Median Household Income (Table ID B19013) is less than 80% of the statewide median based on the most current Census Tract (ID 140) level data from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey (ACS) (<\$51,026). Communities with a population less than 15,000 may use data at the Census Block Group (ID 150) level. Unincorporated communities may use data at the Census Place (ID 160) level. Data is available at: http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Census | s Tract/Block Group/Place # | Population | MHI | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | |
| Lowest i Median (to be us | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) | tofill): \$ (to be use community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) | | | | |
| Lowest i Median (to be us | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) | tofill): \$ (to be use | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) | | | | |
| Lowest r Median (to be us Must att An area Environ the follo | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) tach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each aidentified as among the most disadvantage. | tofill): \$ (to be use community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ ach census tract listed above. Attach all page ged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA Screen) scores (score must be greater than community). | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) s as one pdf. and based on the California Communities | | | | |
| Lowest r Median (to be us Must att An area Environ the follo | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) tach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each aidentified as among the most disadvantagemental Health Screening Tool (CalEnvirosowing link under SB 535 List of Disadvanta) | tofill): \$ (to be use community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ ach census tract listed above. Attach all page ged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA Screen) scores (score must be greater than community). | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) s as one pdf. and based on the California Communities | | | | |
| Lowest r Median (to be us Must att An area Environ the follo | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) tach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each a identified as among the most disadvantagemental Health Screening Tool (CalEnvirosowing link under SB 535 List of Disadvantagewcalepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/GHGInvest/ | tofill): \$ (to be use community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ ach census tract listed above. Attach all page ged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA Screen) scores (score must be greater than a ged Communities: | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) s as one pdf. and based on the California Communities or equal to 36.62). This list can be found at | | | | |
| Lowest I Median (to be us Must att An area Environ the follo http://ww | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) tach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each a identified as among the most disadvantagemental Health Screening Tool (CalEnvirobying link under SB 535 List of Disadvantagew.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/GHGInvest/ s Tract/Block Group/Place # | tofill): \$ (to be use community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ ach census tract listed above. Attach all page ged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA Screen) scores (score must be greater than a ged Communities: | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) s as one pdf. and based on the California Communities or equal to 36.62). This list can be found at CalEnviroScreen Score | | | | |
| Lowest r Median (to be us Must att An area Environ the follo http://ww Census | median household income from above (au household income by census tract for the sed for severity calculation only) tach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each according to the most disadvantage mental Health Screening Tool (CalEnvironowing link under SB 535 List of Disadvantage www.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/GHGInvest/ Tract/Block Group/Place # California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnvironowing link under SB 535 List of Disadvantage www.calepa.ca.gov/EnvJustice/GHGInvest/ Tract/Block Group/Place # | tofill): \$ (to be used community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ ach census tract listed above. Attach all page ged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA Screen) scores (score must be greater than or ged Communities: Population Pop | ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) s as one pdf. and based on the California Communities or equal to 36.62). This list can be found at CalEnviroScreen Score | | | | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION FORM DLA-001 (NEW 12/2017)



Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL Page 2 of 29 **INFRASTRUCTURE APPLIACTION FORM** Total Project cost less than \$1.5M

At least 75% of public school students in the project area are eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch Program. Data is available at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/filessp.asp (auto filled from Part A). Applicants using this measure must demonstrate how the project benefits the school students in the project area. Project must be located within two miles of the school(s) represented by this criteria.

| School Name | School Enrollment | % of Students Eligible for FRPM | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | | |
| Highest percentage of students eligible from above | e (autofill): (to be | used for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only) | |
| Percentage of students eligible for the Free or Rec | duced Price Meals Programs: | | |
| (to be used for severity calculation only) | | | |
| | | | |
| Other Projects located within Federally Recognized T | ribal Lands (typically within th | e boundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria? | |
| Yes No | (), | | |
| If a project applicant believes a project benefits due to a lack of accurate Census data or CalEn applicant must submit for consideration a quant below 80% of that state median household inco | viroScreen data that represen itative assessment to demons | ts a small neighborhood or unincorporated are | ea, the |
| | ine. (iviax or 200 vvorus) | Words Rem | aining: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Regional Definition For the statewide and sm | nall urban 9 rural aannatitiva n | ortions of the Active Transportation Draggers | rogional definitie |
| of disadvantaged communities must be adopted Communities Strategy (SCS) by an MPO or RT such as "environmental justice communities" or input of community stakeholders, and be stratified public outreach documentation, and severity strater than the adoption date of the statewood determination of the eligibility of regional Words) It is the intent of the Commission that if a this definition will be used for the region's grant funding. | PA per obligations with Title National Part of the Par | If of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964. Any just document a robust public outreach procession for a regional definition, including RTP/SCS and to the California Transportation Commer to be considered for use. CTC staff will booth prior to the statewide application due to be considered for use of the statewide application due to be considered for use of the statewide application due to the | regional definitions that includes the adopting actions mission (CTC) named the final defendance of the control |
| | | | |
| Direct Benefit: (0 - 4 points) | | | |
| Explain how the project closes a gap, provides and how the improvements meet an important | | | network |
| | | Words Rem | aining: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Project Location: (0 - 2 points) | | | |
| Is your project located within a disadvantaged c | ommunity? | | |

E. Severity: (0 - 4 points)

C.

D.

a. Auto calculated

QUESTION #2

Part B: Narrative Questions

Question #2

POTENTIAL FOR INCREASED WALKING AND BICYCLING, ESPECIALLY AMONG STUDENTS, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF WALKING AND BICYCLING ROUTES TO AND FROM SCHOOLS, TRANSIT FACILITIES, COMMUNITY CENTERS, EMPLOYMENT CENTERS, AND OTHER DESTINATIONS; AND INCLUDING INCREASING AND IMPROVING CONNECTIVITY AND MOBILITY OF NON-**MOTORIZED USERS. (0- 40 POINTS)**

Please provide the following information: (This must be completed to be considered for funding)

| # of Users | Pedes | trian | Bic | ycle | Date of C | ounts | Mark her | e if N/A to project | |
|--|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|---------------------|--|
| Current | - | | | - | | | | | |
| Safe Routes to School projects: The following information related to the Safe Routes to School Projects data was already entered in part 3 of the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| School | ident ient | Living Ale | of Students ong School Proposed | | | | | | |
| Total 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Document the methodologies used to establish the <u>current</u> count data. (Max of 00 Words) Words Remaining: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

A. Statement of project need: (0-25 POINTS)

Describe the issue(s) that this project will address. How will the proposed project benefit the non-motorized users. What is the project's desired outcome and how will the project best deliver that outcome?

Discuss:

- Destinations and key connectivity the project will achieve
- How the project will increase walking and or biking
- The lack of mobility if applicable- Does the population have limited access to cars? bikes? And transit?
- Does the project have an unserved or underserved demand?
- The Local health concerns (responses should focus on a specific concern affecting the project community, not a general ATP benefit)
 - Healthy Places Index (HPI) http://phasocal.org/ (optional): Look at the indicators in the HPI and/or local Health Department data regarding the project population, and describe how the project will reduce the impact of those factors.
- For combined I/NI projects: discuss need for an encouragement, education, and/or enforcement program

| (Max of <mark>00</mark> Words) | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Words Remaining: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION FORM DLA-001 (NEW 12/2017)



Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL Page 4 of 29 INFRASTRUCTURE APPLIACTION FORM Total Project cost less than \$1.5M

What type of active transportation need will the proposed project directly address (select one or more) of the following elements, and discuss how the project will be meeting the identified need.

- 1. proposed project address:
 - Closure of a gap?

Gap closure = Construction of a missing segment of an existing facility in order to make that facility continuous.

Creation of new routes?

New route = Construction of a new facility that did not previously exist that provides a transportation route.

Removal of barrier to mobility?

Describe the type of barrier

| | • | Other improvements to existing routes? |
|----|-------------------|--|
| (N | lax o | of <mark>00</mark> Words) |
| | | |
| 2. | Mu | st provide a map identifying the location of each: gap closures and connections; the new routes; and the barriers and improvements. |
| 3. | | ferencing this map, describe the existing route(s) that currently connect the affected transportation related and community identified |
| | des | stinations and why the route(s) are not adequate. (Max of 00 Words) |
| | | Words Remaining: |
| 4. | con sch reg | ferencing this map, describe how the project links or connects, or encourages use of existing routes to transportation-related and mmunity identified destinations where an increase in active transportation modes can be realized, including but not limited to: schools, nool facilities, transit facilities, community, social service or medical centers, employment centers, high density or affordable housing, ional, State or national trail system, recreational and visitor destinations or other community identified destinations. Specific destination at be identified. |
| | • | For combined I/NI projects: discuss how the encouragement, education, and/or enforcement program will help address the needs. |
| | | (Max of <mark>00</mark> Words) |
| | | Words Remaining: |
| | | |
| | | |



Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for Question #3

QUESTION #3

POTENTIAL FOR REDUCING THE NUMBER AND/OR RATE OF PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST FATALITIES AND INJURIES, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY HAZARDS FOR PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS. (0-25 POINTS)

A. Describe the project location's history of pedestrian and bicycle collisions resulting in fatalities and injuries to non-motorized users, which this project will mitigate. (15 points max)

Applicants are encouraged to use the new UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS tool which was specifically designed for the ATP to produce these documents in an efficient manner. Applicants with access to alternative collision data tools and training can utilize their choice of methods/tools. Applicants must respond to question 1 or 2, and have the option to respond to both.

- 1. For applications using the TIMS ATP tool, attach the following:
 - a. Collision Heat-map of the area surrounding the project limits- demonstrating the relative collision history of the project limits in relation to the overall jurisdiction/community's collision history
 - b. Project Area Collision Map- identifying the past crash locations within the project limits
 - C. Collision Summaries and collision lists/reports demonstrating collision trends, collision types, and collision details
 - d. For a Combined INI project- If the NI project area is different than the Infrastructure portion, the applicant may attach NI related heat-maps, etc in Attachment J

| | Combine the various maps/summaries into one PDF file and attach it in the field belo | ••• |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Applications that do not have collision data OR that prefer to provide additional collision can provide this data below. (Examples include: Collision Rates, Community observed) | |
| | The Data and corresponding methodologies can be included in written/text form and/or (Max of 00 Words)(Optional) | r via a separate attachment in the field below. |
| | | Words Remaining: |
| | | |
| | Data and methodologies attachment (optional) | |

From the program area collision summaries/data provided in questions 1 and/or 2, enter the total reported pedestrian and bicycle collisions within the last 5 years.

| # of Collisions | Pedestrian | Bicycle | Total |
|-----------------|------------|---------|-------|
| Fatalities | | | 0 |
| Injuries | | | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION FORM DLA-001 (NEW 12/2017)



4. Referencing project's heat-maps, collision map and collision summaries provided in above, discuss the extent to which the proposed project limits represents one of the agency's top priorities for addressing ongoing safety and discuss how the proposed safety improvements correspond to the types and locations of the past collisions. (e.g. sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, bulb-outs, signals/beacons, etc.)

| For projects with | Non-Infrastructure | elements (Corr | bined I/NI projects): |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|

As appropriate, describe how the NI program elements:

- educates bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or drivers about safety hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists; and
- encourages safer behavior, including through enforcement.

(Max of 00 Words)

| _ | |
|---------------------|--|
| Words Remaining: | |
| Remaining: | |
| | |
| | |

B. Safety Countermeasures: (10 points max)

Referencing the information provided in Part A, demonstrate how the proposed countermeasures directly address (one or more) of the following underlying factors that may be contributing to the occurrence (or potential occurrence) of pedestrian and/or bicyclist collisions:

- a. Reduces speed and/or volume of motor vehicles in the proximity of non-motorized users
- b. Improves sight distance and/or visibility between motorized and non-motorized users
- c. Eliminates potential conflict points between motorized and non-motorized users, including creating physical separation between motorized and non-motorized users
- d. Improves compliance with local traffic laws for both motorized and non-motorized users
- e. Addresses inadequate vehicular traffic control devices
- f. Addresses inadequate or unsafe bicycle facilities, trails, crosswalks and/or sidewalks
- g. Eliminates or reduces behaviors that lead to collisions involving non-motorized users (Max of 00 words)

| | Words Remaining: | |
|--|------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |



QUESTION #4

Part B: Narrative Questions Detailed Instructions for Question #4

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION and PLANNING (0-10 POINTS)

| Α. | Describe the community | based pub | lic partic | ination | process | that has | and will | continue to | o define the | nro | posed | proi | ect: |
|------|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------------|---------------|------|---------|--------|------|
| / \. | Booding the community | , buoca pur | no partio | pauvi | P. 00000 | tilut iluo | alla mil | oonitiiiao t | o acinic tile | P: 0 | POSCA I | P: 0] | OU. |

• Include discussions of: What was the process to prepare for existing and future needs of users of this project? Who was engaged in the public participation and planning process? How will stakeholders continue to be engaged in the implementation of the project?

| (Max of <mark>00</mark> words) | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Words Remaining: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

APPLICATION FORM DLA-001 (NEW 12/2017)



QUESTION #5

Part B: Narrative Questions Detailed Instructions for Question #5

PROJECT SCOPE AND IMPLEMENTATION (0-15 POINTS)

(To be scored by CTC evaluators)

A. Development and documentation of the Project Scope: (15 points)

ATP applications must develop and document the proposed project's Scope, Cost and Schedule to a level that meets a PSR-Equivalent document (per CTC's ATP Guidelines and CTC's Adoption of PSR Guidelines - Resolution G-99-33).

The documents and application sections considered key to defining the project's Scope, Cost and Schedule are:

- Project layout-plans and cross-sections
- Detailed Engineer's Estimate
- Project Schedule and Requested programming years for the ATP funding
- Supporting photos, maps, etc.

These documents, along with the other elements of the ATP Engineer's Checklist (Attachment B), will be evaluated for:

- How well they match the applicant's responses throughout this application
- How well they meet the PSR-Equivalent expectations defined in the Engineer's Checklist
- How well the overall project scope meets the Purpose and Goals for the ATP, as defined CTC Guidelines.

For projects with Non-Infrastructure elements (Combined I/NI projects), applicants must complete the 22-R:

The 22-R will be evaluated for:

- How well it matches the applicant's responses throughout this application
- How well the overall scope meets the Purpose and Goals for the ATP, as defined CTC Guidelines
- Compliance with the ATP Non-Infrastructure Program Guidance

Draft ATP CYCLE 4 SMALL INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATION FORM DLA-001 (NEW 12/2017)



Part B: Narrative Questions

Detailed Instructions for Question #6

| | - For project "Plan" types, this section is not required |
|---------|---|
| | Applicant has not coordinated with both corps, or Tribal Corps (if applicable) (-5 points) |
| | Applicant contacted the corps; but does not intend to partner with any corps (-5 points) |
| Step 1: | The applicant must submit the following information via email concurrently to both the CCC AND certified community conservation corps at least 5 days prior to application submittal to Caltrans. The CCC and certified community conservation corps will respond within five (5) business days from receipt of the information. |
| | Project Title Project Description Detailed Estimate Project Schedule Project Map Preliminary Plan |
| | Click on the following links for the California Conservation Corps and community conservation corps Representative ATP contact information: http://calocalcorps.org/active-transportation-program/ |

At the time that the application was submitted, the applicant had not received a response from the following corps: (0 points)

the Tribal corps (if applicable)

☐ the CCC ☐ the community conservation corps



QUESTION #7

Part B: Narrative Questions Detailed Instructions for Question #7

APPLICANT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST ATP FUNDED PROJECTS (0 to -10 points)

(To be scored by CTC or evaluators)

Unable to deliver a past ATP project.

Did not construct the complete project described in the ATP application.

Other?