

Fourth Quarter Fiscal Year 2018-19 Active Transportation Program Quarterly Status Report

Report to the California Transportation Commission October 2019

INTRODUCTION

California cities and counties sponsor and build local transportation infrastructure projects to benefit their communities. Although these projects are developed and managed by local agencies, and are separate from the California highway system, Caltrans' Division of Local Assistance promotes local agency projects by administering allocated state and federal funding for their projects and helping them maintain compliance with the California Transportation Commission (CTC) guidelines.

On September 26, 2013, Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. signed Senate Bill 99 (SB 99) that created the Active Transportation Program (ATP). The ATP consolidated existing federal and State transportation programs, including the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP), Bicycle Transportation Account and State Safe Routes to School (SR2S), into a single program with the focus on making California a national leader in active transportation.

The ATP, as created by SB 99, provided approximately \$120M annually to projects that encourage increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. In September 2016, Assembly Bill 1613 appropriated a one-time investment of \$10 million from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for the ATP. In April of 2017, Senate Bill 1 (SB1), the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, significantly increased the State's investment in active transportation by adding another \$100M annually to the ATP bringing the total annual funding to approximately \$220M.

The successful establishment and continued growth of the ATP has been a coordinated effort of the CTC, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO)/Regional Transportation Planning Agencies, local agencies, advocacy groups, and other transportation partners. The CTC creates and updates the ATP guidelines and selects and programs the projects to be funded. Caltrans Local Assistance assists the local agency project sponsors with meeting the ATP goals, federal and State requirements, monitors and reports program and project status to the CTC and other transportation partners. To maintain regular and consistent participation by stakeholders, the ATP Technical Advisory Committee (ATP-TAC) was created to advise Caltrans and CTC staff on ATP and project delivery matters to continually improve the ATP through partnership and consensus building. The ATP-TAC membership includes Caltrans and CTC staff, representatives nominated by the County Engineers Association of California, California League of Cities, bicycle and pedestrian advocacy groups, public health and a variety of other transportation partners.

Through the past four cycles of the ATP, the CTC has programmed \$1.7 billion to 840 ATP projects.

This report provides updates based on project delivery information on ATP projects collected through June 30, 2019.

This report has five sections and three attachments:

- SECTION 1–SUCCESS STORY
 This section highlights two completed ATP projects; a non-infrastructure project and an infrastructure project, that both represent the types of benefits ATP provides for communities in California.
- SECTION 2–PROJECT PROGRESS REPORTS
 This section summarizes and analyzes ATP project progress reports submitted
 by local agency project sponsors and presents a Baseline Agreement status
 update. The ATP Project Watch List is shown in Attachment A.
- SECTION 3–COMPLETED PROJECTS
 This section summarizes and analyzes ATP project completion reports submitted
 by local agency project sponsors. Details of the completion reports are provided
 in Attachment B.
- SECTION 4–PROGRAM DELIVERY This section summarizes and analyzes ATP allocation, time extension, funding distribution and scope change requests, and provides a California Conservation Corp. status update.
- SECTION 5–ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE CENTER This section summarizes the activities of the Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC).
- Attachment A–ATP Project Watch List
- Attachment B–ATP Completion Report Data
- Attachment C–ATP Total Project List (access via link): <u>https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/active-transportation-program/report</u>

SECTION 1 - SUCCESS STORY

Butte County Public Works & Public Health Departments - \$250,000 ATP funds *Non-Infrastructure*

Butte County is located in northern California and boasts a population of over 220,000 residents. The county features five incorporated towns including Biggs, Chico, Gridley, Oroville and Paradise.

In an effort to maximize resources and provide a sustainable active transportation safety program to all of its elementary school aged children, Butte County's Public Works Department contracted with the county's Public Health Department to sponsor and administer the Safe Routes to School Workshop for Palermo School District.

The cornerstone to the County's effort to provide sustainable active transportation education and safety awareness throughout its region was the purchase of a customized trailer to store and transport the bikes, helmets and equipment from school to school.



The trailer holds 15 bikes and all the gear to conduct a fun and meaningful bike rodeo

On May 24, 2019, Butte County Public Health Department organized and sponsored a bike rodeo that included in-class bike safety instruction followed by out-door practice and application at the Oakdale Heights Elementary School in Oroville, CA.

There were six 30-minute bike rodeo sessions: two 3rd grade, two 4th grade, and two 5th grade sessions. Students first stopped at the helmet station to get fitted for a helmet if they had not brought their own helmet. Children then went to a bike station where the appropriate size bike was checked-out to them.

Active Transportation Program Fourth Quarterly Status Report Fiscal Year 2018-19 October 2019



Students navigating through the bike rodeo route to practice their bike handling, safe riding and signaling skills.



Volunteers staffed each station along the route to give instruction and assistance if needed.

In addition to County Public Health staff, volunteers included the Chico Velo Cycling Club, the California Highway Patrol, and Oakdale Heights Elementary School parents.

City of Elk Grove's Lower Laguna Creek Trail - \$1,573,000 ATP funds *Infrastructure*

The City of Elk Grove is located 15 miles south of Sacramento in Sacramento County. The City encountered enormous growth over the past 20 years and sprung from an unincorporated town of 11,000 residents in the 1980s to an incorporated city of 175,000 residents today.

Elk Grove is known for its master-plan design and numerous parks throughout its neighborhoods. The City has been purposefully developing a cohesive and functional active transportation network to connect the parks and provide its residents with safe and healthy transportation alternatives. Although the network has been developing quite well with east/west corridors, north/south corridors are not as common.

The Lower Laguna Creek Trail is a Class 1 Bikeway/multi-use facility that winds its way through neighborhoods and open spaces and features a true north/south corridor option. The 10-foot-wide paved trail extends for approximately 1.2 miles and closes a gap between two existing and active trail systems.



Pre-construction



Active Transportation Program Fourth Quarterly Status Report Fiscal Year 2018-19 October 2019

Under construction



Signalized street crossing at Big Horn Blvd.



Active Transportation Program Fourth Quarterly Status Report Fiscal Year 2018-19 October 2019



Residents enjoying an early evening walk.



SECTION 2 - PROJECT PROGRESS REPORTS

All programmed ATP projects require a quarterly progress report from the implementing local agency. Local agencies provide their project status updates through the CaISMART online reporting tool which was rolled out during the 2019 third quarter reporting cycle. The CaISMART tool introduces a consistent and streamline platform from which to report.

Table 1, below, demonstrates that reporting compliance by the local agencies remains strong. Caltrans continues to analyze progress reports to identify ways to gain even greater compliance.

Progress Report Summary:

Table 1 – Project Progress Report Summary (Fiscal Year 2018/19) displays the compliance rate of ATP progress report submittals for the last four reporting periods, based on number of reports expected for each cycle versus the number of reports that were submitted on-time.

Cycle 1 (#)	Cycle 2 (#)	Cycle 3 (#)	Cycle 4 (#)	Total (#)	Compliance Rate
171	199	231	N/A	601	-
168	195	226		589	98%
150	194	231	N/A	575	_
147	188	229		564	98%
142	188	231	59	620	-
134	185	227	59	605	97%
111	173	228	58*	570	-
110	171	227	58	566	99%
	(#) 171 168 150 147 142 134 111	(#) (#) 171 199 168 195 150 194 147 188 142 188 134 185 111 173	(#) (#) (#) 171 199 231 168 195 226 150 194 231 147 188 229 142 188 231 134 185 227 111 173 228	(#) (#) (#) (#) 171 199 231 N/A 168 195 226 150 194 231 N/A 147 188 229 142 188 231 59 134 185 227 59 111 173 228 58*	(#) (#) (#) (#) (#) 171 199 231 N/A 601 168 195 226 589 150 194 231 N/A 575 147 188 229 564 142 188 231 59 620 134 185 227 59 605 111 173 228 58* 570

Table 1 – Project Progress Report Summary (Fiscal Year 2018/19)

*This number was adjusted (from 59 to 58) to reflect that the City of Paradise has been deferred and was removed from quarterly reporting requirements. It is also noted that the MPO component that was adopted by the CTC in May 2019 were not required to report this quarter.

Project Watch List:

The ATP Project Watch List is established to daylight projects that are exposed to high levels of risk related to scope, schedule, funding, benefits or reporting requirements. Currently there is one project on the list, and it is subject to a potential \$1,075,000 lapse of construction phase funding. The proposed Delta Avenue Bicycle Blvd project limits reside on a street that is in poor condition. The local agency is not willing to construct the active transportation features until the roadway is improved. The local agency was granted a 16-month construction allocation time extension at the March 22, 2018, CTC meeting.

The local agency will not have the opportunity to pave the roadway this year. The approved time extension will expire on October 31, 2019 and the funds will potentially lapse at the December 2019 CTC meeting.

The detailed Project Watch List is captured in Attachment A – ATP Project Watch List.

Baseline Agreement Status:

Baseline Agreements formally document commitments related to a specific ATP project and are signed by the implementing local agency, Caltrans and CTC. For the ATP program, Baseline Agreements are required when more than \$10 million of ATP funding is programmed for a single project, or the total project cost is greater than \$25 million. Baseline Agreements are due six months after the date CEQA is completed.

Table 2 – Baseline Agreement Status displays the proposed CEQA completion date, actual CEQA completion date, proposed Baseline Agreement completion date and actual Baseline Agreement completion date for the entire program as of June 30, 2019.

District	Cycle	Project Title	Proposed CEQA	Completed CEQA	Proposed Baseline	Completed Baseline
5	3	Las Positas & Modoc Roads Class I	-	3/6/2017	-	10/17/2018
8	3	CV Link Multi-Modal Trans. Corridor	-	5/15/2017	-	10/17/2018
1	4	Humboldt Bay Trail South	-	8/29/2018	-	6/26/2019
4	3	14 th Street – Safe Routes in City	-	3/8/2019	10/9/2019	
7	4	Doran Street Grade Separation	-	3/14/2019	10/9/2019	
4	3	I-80 Gilman Interchange	-	6/28/2019	1/26/2020	
7	4	LA River Greenway Gap Closure	8/1/2019		3/25/2020	
3	4	SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure	8/11/2019		3/25/2020	
3	4	20 th St Overcrossing SR99 – Phase 5	11/14/2019		6/24/2020	
5	4	Fort Ord Regional Trail & Greenway	2/19/2020		10/14/2020	
5	4	San Jose Multipurpose Path	4/23/2020		10/14/2020	
4	4	Windsor to Petaluma Gap Closure	9/25/2020		TBD	
7	4	Orange Ave Backbone Complete Streets	11/24/2020		TBD	
7	4	Liechty School Safety Improvement	5/23/2021		TBD	
4	4	Willow-Keys Complete Streets	8/19/2021		TBD	
7	4	Broadway-Manchester AT Equity	6/18/2022		TBD	

Table 2 – Baseline Agreement Status

As of June 30, 2019, three projects have executed Baseline Agreements and 100% were delivered within the prescribed six months from the date of CEQA completion.

SECTION 3 - COMPLETED PROJECTS

Within six months of construction contract acceptance or the project becoming operable (open to the public), whichever comes sooner, or within six months of completion of activities for non-infrastructure (NI) projects, the implementing agency is required to provide a project completion report to Caltrans. The report compares the final scope of the completed project, its final cost, schedule, and benefits against the proposed scope, cost, schedule and benefits cited in the application.

This quarter Caltrans received 43 project completion reports. The detailed information and project outcomes are captured in *Attachment B* – *Project Completion Report Data*.

Table 3 – 4th Quarter Project Completion Report Delivery displays the total number of project completion reports received between April 1, 2019, and June 30, 2019, within each program cycle, and totaled for the 4th Quarter.

Table 3 – 4th Quarter Project Completion Report Delivery

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Total
Submitted Completion Reports Received	35	7	1	0	43

Table 4 – Program Project Completion Report Delivery Results documents the total number of completion reports received for each cycle as of June 30, 2019, and the total number of completion reports expected for each cycle.

Table 4 – Program Project Completion Report Delivery Results

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
Submitted Completion Reports Received	126*	14	1	0
Total number of Completion Reports expected per	276**	207**	236**	119
Cycle				

* In the 3rd Quarter ATP Report it was reported that 94 completion reports were received for Cycle 1 projects, as of March 31, 2019. It has since been discovered that three completion reports were duplicates and the final tally has been reduced by three.

** During the 4th quarter, thirteen (13) expected completion reports were removed from the list. Caltrans and CTC staff agreed that the ATRC would be exempt from future reporting (reducing the count by three), seven projects were deferred, two projects were cancelled, and one project lapsed.

Table 5 - Project Completion Report Summary of Deliverables categorizes the outputs (through June 30, 2019) of the completion reports and displays them according to program cycles (Cycle 1 – Cycle 4 columns); and the total cumulative outputs for the entire program (Total Program column). The last column (This Quarter) shows all the outputs delivered between April 1, 2019, and June 30, 2019.

Capital Improvement (categories)	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	Total Program	This Quarter
Class I Bikeway (miles)	11.6	0.26	0	0	11.86	1.3
Class II Bikeway (miles)	29	11.3	0	0	40.3	11.2
Class III Bikeway (miles)	33.4	0	0	0	33.4	5.7
Class IV Bikeway (miles)	1.5	0	0	0	1.5	0.6
New Sidewalks (miles)	38.4	21	1	0	60.4	31.2
Enhanced Sidewalks (miles)	11.4	2.8	0	0	14.2	8.85
Multi-Use Trails (miles)	8.5	0.4	1.6	0	10.5	6.1
Road Diet (miles)	4.6	0.7	0	0	5.3	2.4
Intersection Improvements/ADA (each)	511*	72	0	0	583*	1
Crosswalks/Crosswalk Improvements (each)	865	32	0	0	897	202
Bike/Ped Bridge (each)	14	2	0	0	16	3
Active Transportation Plans (each)	14	4	0	0	18	4
Active Transportation Program Projects (each)	24	2	0	0	26	3

Table 5 – Project Completion Report Summary of Deliverables

*These figures were misreported in the 3rd Quarter ATP Report and have been updated in this report.

The specific programmatic outcome for the ATP is pre-construction and postconstruction user counts. User counts are not presented in this report. In previous ATP Quarterly Program Reports to the CTC, Caltrans reported that the lack of standard count methodologies had resulted in highly inconsistent and unreliable results.

To address this issue, the ATP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), which is comprised of a wide variety of local and regional agency representatives, key stakeholders and advocates, along with Caltrans and CTC staff, created interim guidance and methodologies for conducting user counts. This guidance will be available to all ATP awardees statewide, on August 19, 2019, and will be mandated for use with all ATP projects seeking Construction Allocation at the October 2019 CTC meeting and thereafter.

Pre-construction user counts are to occur within six months of construction contract award. Therefore, certain ATP projects will begin reporting pre-construction user counts

in early 2020. Once the projects are completed and placed in use, post-construction user counts will occur, ideally and according to the interim guidance, exactly one year later from the date the pre-construction counts were taken. Therefore, it is expected that ATP projects will begin reporting post-construction user counts in early 2021.

In the long term, Caltrans and key local agencies and consultants are initiating an effort to improve and standardize user counts through the ATP funded Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC). This effort aims to develop a statewide standard count methodology and database from which to analyze trends, forecast usership and inform project and program decisions.

In addition, Caltrans is working through the ATRC to purchase counters for use by local agencies on a loan basis. Once ATP project sponsors begin utilizing the counters in accordance with developed guidance, and inputting information into the statewide database, ATP project counts will be more reliable and meaningful.

SECTION 4 - PROGRAM DELIVERY

Allocations:

Successful and timely allocation of ATP funds is a key indicator that projects are moving forward toward completion and is an important measure of the success of the Program overall.

Table 6 – Allocation Delivery Summary documents the percentage of funds allocated through June 30, 2019, per cycle.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
Allocation Status	Closed	In Progress	In Progress	In Progress
Program Years	2014/15 – 15/16	2016/17 – 18/19	2017/18 – 20/21	2019/20 – 22/23
Percent Allocated	97%	60%	27%	1%

Table 6 – Allocation Delivery Summary

All Cycle 1 projects are accounted for and 97 percent of Cycle 1 funds were successfully allocated. Allocations are in progress for projects in Cycles 2, 3 and 4.

Time Extensions:

CTC guidelines allow time extensions for ATP projects when local agencies encounter unforeseen or extraordinary circumstances. Although it is difficult to compare one cycle to the other, as they are all at different stages of development, important trends nevertheless can emerge to help forecast potential programmatic challenges so that proactive measures and solutions can be provided.

Table 7 – Time Extension Trends offers a comparison of the number of programmed phases against the number of extended phases in each program cycle, and as a percentage, through June 30, 2019.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
Phases Programmed	505	525	506	274
Phases Extended (#)	164	141	74	0
Extensions (%)	32%	29%	15%	0%

Table 7 – Time Extension Trends

The 3rd Quarter ATP Report analyzed allocated phases against extended phases. Caltrans has found that a more appropriate measure is to analyze programmed phases against extended phases. This provides a true programmatic view and an opportunity to compare each cycle on an "apples to apples" basis. Caltrans has been finding that a significant number of time extension requests are triggered during the Environmental and Right of Way phases.

Caltrans will work with CTC staff to develop guidance to assist local agencies with schedule development for the Environmental and Right of Way phases during the Cycle 5 solicitation and application process.

It should also be noted that time extensions during the Environmental phase often trigger a cascading effect that results in time extension requests as the project approaches allocation for future phases.

Scope Changes:

CTC guidelines allow scope changes for ATP projects. Scope changes can pose a risk to the delivery of anticipated project benefits and must be carefully analyzed. Therefore, scope change requests are carefully reviewed by Caltrans before they are brought to the Commission.

Proposed scope changes that impose little or no impact to project benefits or which increase the benefits of the project are deemed to be minor scope changes. Major scope changes are defined as any significant change to a project, which (a) has the potential to negatively impact the project's benefits; and/or (b) alters the nature of the project such that it no longer represents the commitments described in the original application.

Table 8 – 4th Quarter Scope Change Requests documents the number of scope change requests received between April 1, 2019, and June 30, 2019, as designated by the categories of minor scope change, major scope change, "to be determined" (TBD), or withdrawn. A total of seven scope change requests were received in the fourth quarter and two previously issued scope change requests were withdrawn.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
Minor Scope	0	2	2	0
Change				
Major Scope	0	1	1	0
Change				
Category TBD	0	0	1	0
Withdrawn	0	1	1	0

Table 8 – 4th Quarter Scope Change Requests

Table 9 – Program Scope Change Requests documents the number and category of all scope change requests received, and withdrawn, up through June 30, 2019; a total of 84.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
Minor Scope	25	29	12	0
Change				
Major Scope	2	4	1	0
Change				
Category TBD	0	2	2	0
Withdrawn	1	3	3	0

Table 9 – Program Scope Change Requests

As of June 30, 2019, Caltrans has received a total of 84 scope change requests from local agencies. Of the 84 requests, 67 have been approved (64 Minor, 3 Major), three have been denied (1 Minor, 2 Major), seven are still working through the process, and seven have been withdrawn by the local agency.

Funding Distribution Requests:

Funding distributions provide local agencies a level of flexibility to manage the fiscal aspects of the project. Local agencies may request to move funding amounts between programmed phases once over the life of the project. For example, a reason for a local agency to request a funding distribution could be that the project recognized a savings during the PA&ED phase but may have an emerging need in the R/W phase.

Table 10 – Funding Distribution Change Requests documents the number of funding distribution change requests received between April 1, 2019, and June 30, 2019 (4th Quarter Requests), and total number of requests to date (as of June 30, 2019).

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4
4 th Quarter	0	0	4	0
Requests				
Total Requests to Date	0	0	4	0

From a programmatic perspective, funding distribution requests have been rare, but as the ATP matures and adopts larger and more complex projects, it is anticipated that funding distribution requests will increase. To date, eighty-seventy percent (87%) of the funding distribution changes were made to augment the Construction phase of the project.

California Conservation Corps:

Assembly Bill 97 (Ting, Chapter 14, Statutes of 2017) directs \$4 million of the \$100 million in SB1 funds that are annually disbursed to the ATP, to the California Conservation Corps (CCC) for active transportation projects to be developed and implemented by the CCC and certified Local Community Conservation Corps (CalCC). To date a total of 36 projects totaling \$8 million have been submitted to, and approved by, the CTC. Furthermore, the ATP encourages the local agency project sponsors to identify opportunities within their projects that can be completed by the CCC.

One primary function of the CCC in ATP is that of workforce development. CCC members who carry out the labor on ATP projects are young men and women pursuing careers in various sectors such as transit, trades, forestry or firefighting. The SB1-funded ATP projects that the CCC develop with local sponsors, creates an opportunity for CCC members to gain technical training and hands-on experience with transit-related infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects.

Per the CCC and CalCC guidelines, projects were selected competitively based on the projects ability to further the goals of the ATP including design and timeline, benefit to disadvantaged communities, and ability to leverage other funds. Project elements that were considered included:

- Repair, remove and replace sidewalks
- Sign installation
- Irrigation installation and repair
- Landscaping
- Demolition and deconstruction
- Tree planting
- Trail construction
- Bike locker and bike rack installation
- Fencing

 \circ

• Outreach and education

As of June 30, 2019, five sub-projects have been completed and are listed below:

- 1. <u>El Dorado Trail Extension</u>- Delta Center Corps, \$136,720
 - o 3 sites totaling 9 miles of trail segments prepped for multi-use trail paving
 - i. Tree removal
 - ii. Clearing & grubbing
 - iii. Drainage improvements
- 2. <u>Heritage Park Improvements Trail Rehab</u>- Pomona Center Corps, \$81,480
 - 0.39 miles of trail rehab and new construction
 - i. Soil stabilization
 - ii. Installation of fiberboard edging
 - iii. Erosion control measures

- 3. <u>Trinity Bike Park & Trails</u>- Redding Center Corps, \$102,900
 - Approximately 1000 feet of gravel pathways with 850 feet of pole fencing
 - 2 informational kiosks
 - o Trail repair
 - i. Tread repair
 - ii. Water diverters
 - iii. Culvert cleaning
 - iv. Brush & log removal
- 4. Emerald Necklace Greenway- Los Angeles Center Corps, \$204,540
 - o 1 mile of new water-permeable pedestrian trail
 - 17 miles of trail rehab an improvement
 - i. Invasive plant and debris removal
 - ii. Drainage improvements and bio-swale installation
 - iii. Wayfinding sign installation
- 5. <u>Bumpy Meadows Trail Realignment</u>- Tahoe Center Corps, \$120,200
 - o 200 ft of eroded / damaged trail deconstructed
 - o 600 ft of new trail constructed in 2 locations

SECTION 5 - ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE CENTER

Caltrans, Division of Local Assistance, manages the ATP-funded Active Transportation Resource Center (ATRC). The ARTC's mission is to provide resources, technical assistance, and training to local agencies across California to increase opportunity for the success of active transportation projects.

Table 11 – ATRC Funding and Status shows the programmed funds, allocated funds, and status by cycle.

		Arrive Funding un	
Cycle	Programmed Funds (million)	Allocated Funds (million)	Status
1	\$1.875	\$1.875	Under contract
2	\$3.57	\$3.57	\$1.27 under contract; \$2.30 in contract development
3	\$5.058	\$2.529	\$2.529 in contract development; \$2.525 to be allocated in 20/21
4	\$4.630	0	Programmed; To be allocated in 21/22 & 22/23

Table 11 – ATRC Funding and Status

Through funding for the ATRC, Caltrans has partnered with State agencies, academic establishments, and qualified consultants to provide active transportation technical assistance, training, tools and resources for local agencies with an emphasis on providing assistance to Disadvantaged Communities (DACs).

The ATRC partners with the following entities:

- California Department of Public Health (CDPH)
- Sacramento State University, College of Continuing Education (CCE)
- UC Berkeley Safe Transportation Research and Education Center (SafeTREC)
- Local Government Commission (LGC)
- University of California, Davis (UCD)
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)

ATRC Performance Measures:

The 3rd Quarter ATP Report to the CTC showcased the various resources, programs and training that the ATRC has available from which to assist local agencies. This report shall feature the performance and benefits the ATRC provided to the local agencies between July 1, 2016 and June 30, 2019.

Technical Assistance

- 12 Full-day ATP project/application workshops (cycle 2).
 - Workshop participants had <u>over three times</u> the success rate of those who did not attend.
- Five (5) project/application technical assistant focus sessions for DACs (cycle 4).
 - 80% of applicants' projects were selected for programming. Through a follow up survey, the recipients unanimously offered a positive assessment of the consultant providers and unanimously believed that the technical assistance resulted in higher quality, more competitive applications.
- Five (5) Non-Infrastructure (NI) presentations and five (5) workshops.
 - Strengthening collaborative partnerships and increasing awareness and knowledge of NI.
- 24 Non-Infrastructure (NI) presentations (conferences/meetings, etc.).
 - Expanding knowledge, awareness and benefits of ATP and NI projects across multiple sectors.
- 894 NI-Technical assistance questions and challenges addressed; spanning a wide array of complexities.
 - Opportunity for direct subject matter experts' intervention with advice and innovative troubleshooting.

<u>Training</u>

- 25 Webinars/Teleconferences.
 - Viewer attendance ranges from 25-75, plus 20-40 website hits for various recorded topics.
- Five (5) ATP flash training
 - \circ 50-200 views per video.
- 12 Bicycle Transportation: An Introduction to Planning and Design Courses
 - Approximately 30 participants per course, with the majority of 4/5 and 5/5 positive reviews of course curriculum and instructor.
- Six (6) FHWA Designing for Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety
 - Approximately 30 participants per course. Each course tailored to the needs of the local agency host.
- Two (2) National Highway Institute Courses
 - Approximately 30 participants per course.

<u>Resources</u>

- Over 50 resources linked on website.
 - Over 100,000 visitors and over 500,000-page hits.
- 550 ATP-TIMs tool prints successfully used in ATP cycle 4 applications resulting in an easy to view heat map of crashes that have occurred within a project limit.

Visit the ATRC website for more information on all these services: <u>http://caatpresources.org/</u>

					ATTACHMEN	ΤΑ-Λ	ATP P	ROJI		WAT	CH LI	ST		
PROJECT PROGRAMMING NUMBER	CYCLE	DISTRICT	COUNTY	AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE	TOTAL PROJECT COST (1000s)	PROGRAMMED ATP FUND (1000s)	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE	FUNDING LAPSE	RISK DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED DURING REPORTING CYCLE	CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN REQUIRED
5112	2	7	LOS	City of Long Beach	Delta Avenue Bicycle Blvd	1,335	1,075	m	m	m	m	The roadway pavement within the project limits is in poor condition. The Cityis not willing to construct the AT features unless the roadway repaving can occur simultaneously or prior to the AT project. It is still unclear if the City will be prepared to repave the roadway in time.	YES	NO

·							PROJ	ECTCO	MPLETION RE	PORTL	DATA											
			GENERAL PROJECT			SCHEDULE COMPLETION DATE			COST	BI	CYCLE FACILITIES (fe	et)		PEDESTRIAN FAC	ILITIES		JTPUTS			OTHER		
CYCLE	DIST	AGENCY	PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure (I) Non-Infrastructure (NI)	PROJECT TITLE	PPNO	ORIGINAL ACTUAL Before/Atter/ On Schedule	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL	DELTA	CLASS 1	CLASS II CLASS III	CLASS IV	NEW SIDEWALKS (feet)	ENHANCED SIDEWALKS (feet)	CROSSWALKS/ CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS (#)	MULTI-USE TRAILS (feet)	E ROAD DIET (feet)	INTERSECTION/ ADA IMPROVEMENTS (#)	BIKE/PED BRIDGE (#)	PLANS (#) NI PROGRAM PROJECTS (#)	AGENCY WAS EXPECTED TO USE CORPS PER APP Y/N (at the time of application)	
1	1	City of Arcata	I/Bike & Ped	Humboldt Bay Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail	2404	Dec-18 Nov-17 Before	\$3,100	\$3,100	\$0				15840						5		Y	Y
1	1	City of Clearlake	l/Bike	Phillips and 18th Ave. Class II Bike Lanes and Roadway Reconstruction	3105	Aug-17 Aug-17 On Schedule	\$564	\$564	\$0		19400										N	N
1	1	City of Eureka	I/Bike & Ped	Eureka Waterfront Trail	2406	Oct-16 Apr-18 After	\$2,448	\$2,448	\$0							13464			2		N	N
1	1	City of Fort Bragg	I/Bike & Ped	Chestnut Street Corridor Project	4612	Sep-17 Apr-17 Before	\$259	\$259	\$0	917					24						Y	N
1	1	City of Fortuna	I/Bike & Ped	Fortuna SRTS Project 2014	2405	Dec-16 Jan-18 After	\$842	\$838	\$4		6600		1075	930	14	608	6300				N	N
1	1	Del Norte Local Transportation Commission	NI	Del Norte Walk and Roll Education and Encouragement Program	1106	Jun-18 Jun-17 Before	\$60	\$41	\$19											1	N	N
1	1	Humboldt County Department of Public Works	NI	Redwood Mobility Education Program	2401	May-18 Apr-18 On Schedule	\$600	\$600	\$0											1	N	N
1	2	City of Redding	I/Bike & Ped	Placer Street Improvement Project	2572	Nov 17 Dec 17 On	\$2,296	\$1,749	\$547		19160		64635		3						N	N
1	3			City of Biggs-Safe Routes to School Project, Sidewalk		Schedule								400								
	-	City of Biggs	I/Ped & NI	Improvements	1016	Sep-16 Aug-17 After	\$860	\$857	\$3				2625	430				14			Y	Y
1	3	City of West Sacramento County of Sacramento, Department of	I/Bike	West Capitol Ave Cycle Track	1922	May-19 Feb-19 Before	\$87	\$87	\$0			2800									N	N
1	3	Transportation	I/Ped	El Camino Ave Phase 2 Street and Sidewalk Improvements	1682	Sep-18 May-17 Before	\$1,692	\$1,692	\$0		6267		3033					26			Y	N
1	3	County of Yolo Public Works	NI	City of Woodland -2014 Safe Routes to School	1920	Jan-19 Aug-18 Before	\$539	\$446	\$93											1	Y	N
1	3	El Dorado County	l/Bike	Sawmill Bike Trail Safe Access Project	1218	Jun-15 Jan-16 After	\$750	\$750	\$0	842						842					Y	Y
1	3	El Dorado County Transportation Commission	NI	Western El Dorado County Bicycle Travel Opportunities Map	1219	Apr-16 Dec-16 After	\$50	\$50	\$0											1	N	N
1	3	Sacramento County	I/Bike & Ped	Howe Ave Sidewalk Infill and Bike Lane Improvements	1678	Aug-16 Mar-18 After	\$1,853	\$1,676	\$177		3325		1535	455	8	500	280	8			Y	N
1	3	San Juan Unified School District	NI	Safe Routes to School	1679	Jun-16 Jun-18 After	\$250	\$250	\$0											1	Y	Y
1	3	Town of Paradise	I/NI	Maxwell Drive SR2S Connectivity Project	1017	Oct-16 Jun-18 After	\$969	\$804	\$165		5914		7000							1	N	N
1	3	Town of Paradise	I/NI	Pearson Road SR2S Connectivity Project	1018	Dec-17 Jun-18 After	\$1,388	\$1,388	\$0				20220							1	N	N
1	3	Town of Truckee	I/Bike & Ped	Trout Creek Trail Phase 2	0068	Oct-15 Jan-17 After	\$1,520	\$1,274	\$246							4166					N	N
1	3	Yuba City	I/Bike & Ped	Franklin Road Improvements	1808	Oct-15 Apr-17 After	\$368	\$357	\$11		4367		661		1			18			N	N
1	3	Yuba County	I/Bike & Ped	Ella Elementary Safe Routes to School	2013	Feb-18 Jan-19 After	\$1,195	\$1,195	\$0		4300		4300		5						Ν	N
1	4	Alameda County Public Works Agency	I/Bike & Ped	Ashland Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Project	2190	Jun-16 Feb-18 After	\$805	\$805	\$0					1900	2			16			Y	N
1	4	Alameda County Public Works Agency	I/Ped	Hillside Elementary School Safe Routes to School Project	2190P	Mar-18 Oct-17 Before	\$858	\$858	\$0				2500		9						N	N
1	4	City of Alameda	I/Bike & Ped	Cross Alameda Trail	2190E	Jun-16 Apr-18 After	\$2,089	\$2,089	\$0						2						Y	N
1	4	City of Livermore	I/Ped	Safe Routes to School Improvements within 1/4 mile of Marylin Ave Elementary School	2190H	Jul-19 Nov-16 Before	\$358	\$322	\$36				331	598	7			32			Ν	Ν
1	4	Contra Costa County	I/Bike & Ped	Port Chicago Highway/Willow Pass Road Bike and Pedestrian Project	2122C	Apr-18 May-17 Before	\$800	\$800	\$0		1200		1400					2		1	Y	N
1	4	Contra Costa Transportation Authority	I/Ped	Riverside Avenue Pedestrian Crossing	2122A	Dec-16 Jul-18 After	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0										1		Y	N
1	4	Napa Valley Transportation Authority	l/Bike	Napa Vine Trail Phase 2 - Gap Closure	2300A	Dec-18 Jun-17 Before	\$3,600	\$3,600	\$0	17420		400							2		Y	N
1	4	San Francisco Department of Public Health	NI	San Francisco Safe Routes to School NI Project	2023A	Aug-18 Aug-18 On Schedule	\$990	\$979	\$11											1	Y	N
1	4	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	Plan	Santa Clara Co. VTA's Central and South County Bicycle Corridor Plan	2150B	Sep-18 Sep-18 On Schedule	\$443	\$443	\$0											1	N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	4	Solano Transportation Authority	NI	Solano County SR2S - Ingraining Walking and Rolling into the School Culture	2230A	Sep-18 Sep-18 On Schedule	\$388	\$388	\$0											1	N	N
1	5	City of Lompoc	I/Ped	City of Lompoc-Sidewalk Infill and Curb Ramp Project	2609	Apr-16 Feb-17 After	\$442	\$442	\$0				3218	221				31			Y	N
1	5	City of Santa Barbara	I/Ped	Lower Milpas Pedestrian Improvement Project	2602	Sep-18 Aug-18 On Schedule	\$1,097	\$1,097	\$0				1700		2			5			N	N
1	5	Santa Cruz County	I/Ped	Radar Speed Feedback Signs and Flashing Beacons	2605	Feb-17 Jul-16 Before	\$829	\$824	\$5												Ν	N
1	5	Santa Cruz County Health Services Agency	NI	Safe Route to School Education and Encouragement in Santa Cruz County	2607	Jul-15 Jun-17 After	\$447	\$447	\$0											1	Y	N
1	5	Transportation Agency for Monterey County	I/Ped	Via Salinas Valley: Pathways to Health Through Active Transportation	2608	Oct-19 Sep-17 Before	\$4,143	\$4,100	\$43		572 132000		4836	2970	7		4658	11			Y	N
1	5	University of CA-Santa Cruz	l/Bike	UCSC Great Meadow Bike Path Safety Improvement Project	2612	Sep-19 Dec-16 After	\$383	\$376	\$7	1000											N	N
1	6	City of Chowchilla	I/Ped	Robertson Bivd. and 11th Street Ped Safety Improvement Project	6753	Nov-16 Feb-18 After	\$550	\$550	\$0				3550			1					Y	N
L	1										I		1	1		1	1	1		L		1

			GENERAL PROJECT	INFORMATION				LE AND C	OST	1								OUTPUTS					1
				I		CON	IPLETION DATE		TP PROJECT C	COST	BI	ICYCLE FA	CILITIES (fe	et)		PEDESTRIAN FA	CILITIES			0	DTHER		
CYCLE D	DIST	AGENCY	PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure (I) Non-Infrastructure (NI)	PROJECT TITLE	PPNO	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL Before/After/ On Schedule	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL	DELTA	CLASS 1	CLASS II	CLASS III	CLASS IV	NEW SIDEWALKS (feet)	ENHANCED SIDEWALKS (feet)	CROSSWALKS/ CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS (#)	MULTI-USE ROAD TRAILS DIET (feet) (feet)	ADA IMPROVEMENTS BRI	E/PED IDGE (#)	PLANS (#) NI PROGRAM PROJECTS (#)	AGENCY WAS EXPECTED TO USE CORPS PER APP Y/N (at the time of application)	
1	6	City of Clovis	Plan	Enterprise Canal Class I Trail/Pedestrian Bridge over SR 168	6754	Jun-18	Jun-18 On Schedu	le \$168	3 \$70	\$98											1	N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	6	City of Coalinga	Plan	City of Coalinga Active Transportation Plan	6755	Dec-16	Feb-17 On Schedu	le \$240	\$229	\$11											1	N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	6	City of Farmersville	I/Bike & Ped	Farmersville Comprehensive Active Transportation Initiative	6778	Nov-19	Nov-17 Before	\$261	1 \$261	\$0		2835				46	1	1950				Y	Ν
1	6	City of Fowler	l/Ped	Merced Street Pedestrian Facilities from 3rd Street to 5th Street	6756	Jun-18	May-16 Before	\$267	\$267	\$0						625	5		7			Ν	Ν
1	6	City of Fresno	Plan	2010 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan	6758	Dec-16	Sep-17 After	\$221	1 \$221	\$0											1	N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	6	City of Fresno	l/Bike	Class II Bike Lanes on Butler Avenue from Hazelwood Avenue to Peach Avenue	6757	Oct-18	Feb-18 Before	\$235	5 \$198	\$37		14350						3985				Ν	Ν
1	6	City of Fresno PARCS	NI	Fresno Pedestrian Bike Safety Education Program	6761	Jun-19	Dec-17 Before	\$250	\$156	\$94											1	Y	Ν
1	6	City of Kerman	I/Ped	Pedestrian Safety Improvements	6766	Sep-16	Jan-18 After	\$250	\$250	\$0							7					Ν	N
1	6	City of Mendota	I/NI	Mendota Elementary Pedestrian Improvements	6767	Jun-18	Dec-16 Before	\$254	\$212	\$42					375	50	8		4			Ν	Ν
1	6	City of Porterville	I/Ped	Garden Avenue Pedestrian Access Corridor	6779	Dec-18	May-18 Before	\$242	2 \$232	\$10						3				1		Ν	Ν
1	6	City of Visalia	I/Bike & Ped	Mill Creek Trail Downtown Corridor Project	6782	Oct-16	Mar-18 After	\$141	1 \$141	\$0	1062				1	1						Y	N
1	6	City of Wasco	l/Ped	Palm Avenue School Pedestrian Improvements	6750	Oct-18	Sep-16 Before	\$458	3 \$437	\$21						445			14			Υ	N
1	6	City of Wasco	I/Bike & Ped	Teresa Burke Elementary Bike and Pedestrian Improvements	6751	Jun-19	Apr-17 Before	\$1,79	94 \$1,610	\$184		5530	3580		1076			2414	12			Y	N
1	6	City of Wasco	I/Bike & Ped	Karl Clemens and Thomas Jefferson Schools Bike and Pedestrian Improvements	6774	Dec-15	Jun-16 After	\$306	\$ \$137	\$169		5280					22		15			Υ	N
1	6	City of Wasco	I/Bike & Ped	John L. Prueitt Pedestrian and Bike Improvements	6775	Jul-16	Mar-17 After	\$473	3 \$370	\$103		3000	3000		600				2			Υ	N
1	6	City of Wasco	l/Ped	Highway 43 Pedestrian Safety Lighting	6776	Nov-18	Nov-16 Before	\$593	3 \$496	\$97						5280			4			Υ	N
1	6	City of Woodlake	I/Bike & Ped	Safe Routes to School Improvements	6783	Nov-16	Jun-16 Before	\$245	5 \$224	\$21					1061		8		22			Υ	N
1	6	Fresno County	l/Ped	Dunlap Elementary Crosswalk Lightening System	6763	Aug-20	Nov-17 Before	\$162	2 \$162	\$0					490		1					Υ	N
1	6	Fresno County	I/Bike & Ped	Mt. Whitney Paved Pedestrian Bikeway from Grantland to Garfield	6764	Aug-19	Nov-16 Before	\$141	1 \$141	\$0					2036							Y	N
1	6	Fresno County	l/Ped	Riverdale Pedestrian Improvements	6765	Dec-18	Feb-18 Before	\$704	\$704	\$0												Y	N
1	6	Kern County	l/Ped	Highland Elementary School Pedestrian Path	6747	Jun-19	Sep-16 Before	\$275	5 \$275	\$0												Ν	N
1	6	Kern County	l/Ped	Horace Mann Pedestrian Path	6748	Aug-19	Oct-16 Before	\$310	\$297	\$13					1739		4					Ν	N
1	6	Kern County	l/Ped	Stiern Middle School Pedestrian Path	6771	Jul-19	Jul-16 Before	\$125	5 \$125	\$0					1220							Ν	N
1	6	Tulare County	Plan	County of Tulare SRTS Plan	6752	Jun-19	Apr-18 Before	\$110	\$110	\$0											1	N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	6	Tulare County	l/Ped	Tooleville Sidewalk Improvement	6780	Mar-16	Aug-16 After	\$379	\$247	\$132					304		3					Ν	N
1	6	Tulare County	I/NI	Terra Bella Sidewalk Improvements	6781	Jun-15	Aug-16 After	\$397	7 \$263	\$134					1220		4					Ν	N
1	7	City of Baldwin Park	I/Bike & Ped	Maine Ave Corridor Complete Streets Improvements	5186	Mar-17	Nov-18 After	\$1,50	0 \$2,201	-\$701		2700				5280	10	2700	8			Y	N
1	7	City of Cudahy	I/Ped	Cudahy Citywide - Pedestrian Crosswalk Improvement Project	4891	Jan-17	Apr-18 After	\$1,27	1 \$1,207	\$64							25		18			Ν	N
1	7	City of El Monte	NI	Durfee - Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking School Bus	4918	Dec-18	Dec-18 On Schedu	le \$604	\$524	\$80											1	Ν	N
1	7	City of Glendale	I/Bike & Ped	Safe Routes to School Improvements	4907	Nov-18	Nov-18 On Schedu	le \$1,64	2 \$1,604	\$38			5280			3000	26		8			Y	N
1	7	City of Huntington Park	NI	Randolph Street Shared Use Bike/Trails Trails to Rails Study	4936	Jun-16			\$400	\$0											1	Y	N
1	7	City of Los Angeles	l/Ped	Expo Line Bundy Station First Last Mile Improvements	4869	Apr-19	Apr-19 On Schedu	le \$3,12	\$2,109	\$1,016						24800			54			Y	У
1	7	City of Los Angeles	l/Ped	Expo Line Pedestrian Improvements, Crenshaw to City Limit	4874	Dec-18	Feb-19 After	\$2,31	1 \$2,311	\$0						6193	24		16			Y	Y
1	7	City of Los Angeles	l/Ped	Yale St. Pedestrian Linkage Phase U	4877	Mar-17	Jun-18 After	\$661	1 \$481	\$180							505		9			Ν	N
1	7	City of Santa Monica	I/Bike & Ped	4th Street Walking/Biking Upgrades for Expo Station, Downtown and Civic Center	4912	Nov-15		\$750	\$736	\$14			1500			610						Y	Y
1	7	City of Santa Paula	NI	Tenth Street (SR 150) Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project	3565J	Mar-18	Mar-18 On Schedu	le \$577	\$577	\$0		3500				1100	18					Y	N
1	7	City of Simi Valley	I/Bike & Ped	Arroyo Simi Greenway Bike Trail Phase 3	4865	Oct-19	Aug-17 Before	\$1,19	97 \$1,197	\$0	6000	1550						6000		1		Y	N
1	7	City of Ventura	I/Bike & Ped	Ventura Westside Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements	4862	Mar-20	Aug-18 Before	\$1,26	\$1,268	\$0		1180	950		1100	920	21					Ν	Ν

			1			ENT B - /	ATP PRC		DMPLEI	ION RE	PORTL													
			GENERAL PROJECT			CON	SCH		ND COST ATP PROJ	CT COST	E	BICYCLE FA	CILITIES (fe	et)		PEDESTRIAN FA	CILITIES	OUTPUTS			OTHER			J
CYCLE	DIST	AGENCY	PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure (I) Non-Infrastructure (NI)	PROJECT TITLE	PPNO	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL	On Schedule	ORIGINAL	DELTA	CLASS 1	CLASS II	CLASS III	CLASS IV	NEW SIDEWALKS (feet)	ENHANCED SIDEWALKS (feet)	CROSSWALKS/ CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS (#)	MULTI-USE ROAD TRAILS DIET (feet) (feet)	INTERSECTION/ ADA IMPROVEMENTS (#)	BIKE/PED BRIDGE (#)			AGENCY WAS EXPECTED TO USE CORPS PER APP Y/N (at the time of application)	AGENCY USED CORPS Y/N (actual usage, answered in Completion Report)
1	7	City of Vernon	Plan	City of Vernon Bicycle Master Plan	4898	Aug-15	Dec-17 A	fter	\$53 \$5	3 \$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	7	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Plan	Metro Blue Line First/Last Mile Plan	5020	Oct-18	Mar-18 B	efore	\$280 \$2	30 \$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	7	Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)	NI	SCAG Active Transportation Safety and Encouragement Campaign	4875	May-18	Dec-17 B	efore	\$2,333 \$2,3	06 \$27												1	Y	N
1	8	City of Barstow	Plan	Active Transportation Plan	1148	Dec-16	Apr-18 A	fter	\$300 \$2	56 \$34											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	8	City of Ontario	NI	SRTS Active Transportation - Bon View, Corona, Euclid and Vineyard Elementary Schools	1156	May-20	Nov-17 B	efore	\$1,164 \$9	97 \$167					3043								Y	N
1	8	City of Perris	l/Ped	Murrieta Road Pedestrian Improvements Project	1161	Oct-16	Apr-17 A	fter	\$1,100 \$1,	00 \$0	2500	3850			2200			1300	13	2			Y	N
1	8	City of Riverside	l/Ped	Wells/Arlanza Sidewalk Improvements	1187	Jan-19		On gedule	\$1,782 \$1,4	65 \$317					4600				11				Ν	N
1	8	City of San Jacinto	I/NI	Active Transportation Program	1146	Jul-19	Nov-18 B	efore	\$989 \$8	53 \$126	1200	3080	500		5996							1	Ν	Ν
1	8	City of Colton	Plan	Citywide ATP Plan	1157	Dec-16	Apr-18 A	fter	\$265 \$2	\$5 \$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	8	Riverside County	l/Ped	Avenida Rambla Sidewalk Safety Improvement	1151	Jun-16	Oct-16 A	fter	\$356 \$2	95 \$61					3200								Y	N
1	8	Riverside County	l/Ped	Pyrite Street Safe Routes to School Sidewalk Project	1160	Dec-15	Jan-18 A	fter	\$665 \$6	50 \$5		5150			3190			2150					Ν	N
1	8	Riverside County	l/Ped	Troth Street Safe Routes to School Sidewalk Project	1159	Dec-15	Feb-18 A	fter	\$627 \$6	27 \$0					4080	110							Ν	N
1	8	Riverside County Department of Public Health, Injury	NI	Safe Routes to School Program, City of Perris	1149	Dec-15	Jun-18 A	fter	\$350 \$3	48 \$2												1	Ν	N
1	8	Riverside County Department of Public Health, Injury	NI	Safe Routes to School Program, City of Indio	1150	Jun-15	Jun-18 A	fter	\$500 \$4	95 \$5												1	Y	Y
1	8	Riverside University Health System-Public Health	NI	Safe Routes to School Program, City of Jurupa Valley	1154	Jun-15	Jun-18 A	fter	\$500 \$4	99 \$1												1	Y	Y
1		San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	Plan	San Bernardino Association of Governments Safe Routes to School Plan	1145	Jun-15	Feb-18 A	fter	\$400 \$4	00 \$0	_										1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	8	San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA)	Plan	Points of Interest Pedestrian Plan	1147	Sep-15	Feb-18 A	fter	\$400 \$4	00 \$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	8	SBCTA (formerly San Bernardino Assocation of Governments)	Plan	Rim of the World Active Transportation Plan	1170	Apr-16	Apr-18 A	fter	\$285 \$2	35 \$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	8	Town of Apple Valley	I/NI	Mojave Riverwalk South	1171	Jun-16	Mar-17 A	fter	\$923 \$9	23 \$0	15312												N	N
1	8	Town of Apple Valley	I/Bike & Ped	Apple Valley Safe Routes to Schools	1172	Jul-17	Nov-17	fter s	\$1,095 \$1,0	95 \$0	3630	7260			7260			3630	14				N	N
1	10	City of Ceres	l/Ped	SRTS on Don Pedro Road and Nadine Avenue (Multiple Schools)	3095	Aug-16	Jan-17 A	fter	\$373 \$3	72 \$1					308				30				N	N
1	10	City of Ceres	I/Ped	SRTS on Hackett and Kinser Road (Sinclear Elementary an dBlaker- Kinswer Jr. High)	6001	Aug-16	Aug-17 A	fter	\$818 \$7	53 \$65					1200		46						N	N
1	10	City of Hughson	l/Ped	Fox Road Sidewalk Improvement Project-City of Hughson	3188	May-17	Aug-17 A	fter	\$408 \$4	08 \$0					6450								Y	N
1	10	City of Lathrop	I/Ped	5th Street Sidewalk Improvements	3105	Jan-17	Jun-17 A	fter	\$640 \$4	38 \$202					3050		14						Y	N
1	10	City of Stockton	I/Ped	McKinley Elementary Safe Routes to School	3187	Sep-18	Nov-17 B	efore	\$453 \$4						214		4		2				Y	N
1	10	City of Tracy	I/Ped	Mt. Diablo, Mt. Oso and C Street Improvement Project	3160	Jul-16			\$966 \$9						3544				7				N	N
1	11	Chula Vista Elementary School District	NI	It's Cool 2 Walk to School	1151	Jul-15			\$590 \$5	_												1	Y	N
1	11	City of Coronado	NI	Coronado Safe Routes to School Education Program	1152	Jul-18			\$36 \$3													1	N	N
1	11	City of Imperial Beach	I/Bike & Ped	Elm Avenue Traffic, Pedestrian and Cycling Safety and Mobility Improvement Project	1154	May-19			\$709 \$7				4200	4800				2150	22				Y	N
1	11	City of La Mesa	I/Bike & Ped	King Street Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements	1157	Dec-16			\$940 \$9				1070		1050	200	6		20				Y	N
1	11	City of National City	I/Bike & Ped	18th Street Pedestrian & Bicycle Enhancements	1156	Apr-17			\$1,225 \$1,	_			3960				4		4				Y	N N(A (Diam)
1	11	City of Vista	Plan	Vista Safe Routes to School Master Plan	1159	Aug-16		~	\$120 \$1				(00-								1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	11	City of Westmorland	I/Ped	Improve Center Street Pedestrian Facility	0598	Sep-17	Sep-17 Sch	edule	\$985 \$9		÷		1202		3253		17						Y	N
1	12	City of Anaheim	l/Bike	Anaheim Coves Northern Extension	2170A	Aug-18			\$832 \$8		5280												Y	Y
1	12	City of Anaheim	I/Ped	South Street Sidewalk Gap Closure	2170R	Sep-16			\$796 \$7	_					525			4050					Y	N
1	12	City of Brea	I/Bike & Ped	The Tracks at Brea Trail Segments 2 and 3	2170C	Feb-16			\$2,557 \$2,5		1358							1358					Y	N
1	12	City of Cypress	l/Bike	Cerritos Ave Bike Corridor Improvements Concordia Elementary School Safe Routes to School Pedestrian	2170E	Dec-16			\$632 \$6		5280	10560											N	N
1	12	City of San Clemente	I/Bike & Ped	and Bicycle Lane Improvement Project	1007	Jul-17	May-18 A	fter	\$1,112 \$1,	95 \$17						4000	3		10				Ν	Ν

	GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION								B-AIP	PROJ	ECT CO	WPLEI	ION RE	PURID				OUTPUTS						
						СОМ	PLETION DATE		PROJECT	COST	В	CYCLE FA	CILITIES (fee	et)		PEDESTRIAN FAC	CILITIES				OTHER			
CYCLE	DIST	AGENCY	PROJECT TYPE Infrastructure (I) Non-Infrastructure (NI)	PROJECT TITLE	PPNO	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL Before/After/ On Schedule	ORIGINAL	ACTUAL	DELTA	CLASS 1	CLASS II	CLASS III	CLASS IV	NEW SIDEWALKS (feet)	ENHANCED SIDEWALKS (feet)	CROSSWALKS/ CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS (#)	MULTI-USE ROAD TRAILS DIET (feet) (feet)	INTERSECTION/ ADA IMPROVEMENTS (#)	BIKE/PED BRIDGE (#)	PLANS (#)	NI PROGRAM PROJECTS (#)	AGENCY WAS EXPECTED TO USE CORPS PER APP Y/N (at the time of application)	AGENCY USED CORPS Y/N (actual usage, answered in Completion Report)
1	12	City of San Juan Capistrano	l/Bike	City-Wide Bikeway Gap Closure Project	1012	Mar-17	Nov-17 After	\$437	\$244	\$193			3620									ļ	Ν	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana	I/NI	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Monte Vista Elementary	2170P	May-17	Jun-17 On Schedu	\$430	\$430	\$0							1					1	Ν	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana	l/Bike	Bishop Pacific - Shelton Bike Boulevards	2170U	Sep-19	Nov-17 Before	\$950	\$950	\$0			15840				4		9				Ν	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana	l/Bike	New hope-Civic Center-Grand Class III Bike Lanes	2170V	Sep-15	Jan-16 After	\$272	\$176	\$96		9380											Y	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana	Plan	Complete Streets Plan	2170W	Sep-17	Dec-18 After	\$300	\$297	\$3											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
1	12	City of Santa Ana	I/NI	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for King Elementary	2170X	May-17	Oct-17 After	\$500	\$464	\$36							2					1	Ν	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana	I/NI	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Heninger Elementary	2170Y	Dec-18	Feb-18 Before	\$480	\$474	\$6							2						Ν	Ν
1	12	City of Santa Ana	I/Ped & NI	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Washington Elementary	2170Z	Dec-18	Mar-19 After	\$780	\$636	\$144							1		2				Ν	N
1	12	City of Santa Ana Public Works Agency	l/Ped	Maple Bicycle Trail Enhancements	2170Q	Dec-17	Aug-17 Before	\$1,101	\$706	\$395						275	11	10560	11				Ν	N
1	12	County of Orange/OC Public Works	l/Bike	Lambert Road Bikeway Project	2170L	Aug-15	Jan-18 After	\$313	\$313	\$0		3048											Y	N
1	12	City of Garden Grove	I/Ped	Harbor & Twintree HAWK	2170F	Jun-18	Nov-17 Before	\$160	\$160	\$0							1						Y	N
1	12	Orange County Transportation Authority	NI	Orange County Sidewalk Inventory	2170J	Jun-15	Oct-16 After	\$163	\$149	\$14												1	Ν	N
2	6	City of Bakersfield	l/Ped	A Street Improvements	6844	Jul-18	Jul-18 On Schedu	le \$1,055	\$917	\$138					3026								Ν	Ν
2	6	City of Selma	Plan	Active Transportation Plan-Selma	6836B	Apr-18	Apr-18 On Schedu	le \$88	\$88	\$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
2	8	City of Grand Terrace	Plan	Active Transportation Program Planning	1192	Apr-19	Apr-19 On Schedu	le \$295	\$295	\$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
2	6	Fresno County	I/Ped	Biola Sidewalk Project	6833	Jun-19	Apr-19 On Schedu	105 \$105	\$105	\$0					1298				4				Y	N
2	5	City of Santa Cruz	I/Bike & Ped	Branciforte Creek Bridge and Multi-use Trail Project	2691	Dec-16	Feb-18 After	\$1,800	\$1,800	\$0	505									1			Ν	N
2	3	Town of Truckee	I/Bike & Ped	Glenshire Drive Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvement Project	1417	Sep-17	Dec-17 After	\$905	\$905	\$0		16500											Ν	Ν
2	2	Shasta Regional Transportation Agency	Plan	GoShasta Active Transportation Plan	2574	Dec-17	Jun-18 After	\$250	\$250	\$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
2	8	City of Wildomar	I/Bike & Ped	Grand Avenue Multi-Use Trail Improvement Project	1205	Oct-17	Oct-18 After	\$1,223	\$1,200	\$23								21120		1			Y	N
2	6	City of McFarland	I/Bike & Ped	Kern Avenue Elementary Safe Routes to School Connectivity Project 1	6843	Mar-17	Nov-18 After	\$293	\$293	\$0					7747	2787			15				Y	N
2	6	Kern Council of Governments	Plan	Kern Region Active Transportation Plan	6845	Mar-18	Mar-18 On Schedu	le \$250	\$250	\$0											1		N/A (Plan)	N/A (Plan)
2	6	Kern County Public Works Department	I/Ped	Lamont Pedestrian Improvement Project	6846	Apr-17	Jan-19 After	\$1,430	\$1,430	\$0					53500								Y	N
2	8	City of Needles	I/Ped	Needles ATP in fill Curb, Gutter & Sidewalk Improvements	1196	Dec-18	Dec-18 On Schedu	le \$366	\$366	\$0					9545				13				Ν	N
2	6	City of Woodlake	I/Bike & Ped	North Valencia Safe Routes to School Improvements	6821	Jun-17	Feb-19 After	\$895	\$895	\$0		796			1090		3		1				Y	Y
2	4	Marin County Transit District	l/Ped	Pedestrian Access & Safety Improvements for the Downtown Novato Bus Transit Facility	2128F	Dec-17	Dec-17 On Schedu	le \$989	\$989	\$0					610	10	2		1				Y	Y
2	8	Kern County	l/Ped	Pedestrian Path Imrpovements on Various Streets in Mojave	0668	Dec-20	Jun-18 Before	\$896	\$896	\$0					21535	11886			18				Ν	N
2	2	City of Redding	I/Bike & Ped	Quartz Hill Road Improvements	2575	Dec-16	Jun-18 After	\$3,177	\$3,169	\$8		7000			4770		2	3900					Ν	N
2	7	Ventura County	I/NI	Rio Real Elementary School Pedestrian & Street Improvement Project	5152A 5251B	Oct-17	Sep-18 After	\$462	\$462	\$0					1250				2			1	Ν	N
2	8	County of Riverside Department of Public Health, Injury Prevention Services	NI	Riverside County Safe Routes to School Program, Eastside Riverside	1178	Dec-18	Mar-19 After	\$500	\$495	\$5												1	Y	Y
2	6	City of Bakersfield	l/Ped	Sidewalk Improvements for Frank West Elementary	6770	Dec-17	Sep-17 Before	\$312	\$270	\$42					1992								Y	N
2	8	City of Ontario	l/Ped	SRTS Infrastructure Improvement Project - El Camino Elementary	1197	Sep-18	Jan-18 Before	\$368	\$347	\$21									7				Y	N
2	9	City of Tehachapi	I/Bike & Ped	Tehachapi Safe Routes to School Project	2614	Sep-16	Dec-17 After	\$900	\$838	\$62		5220			4997		6		11				Y	N
3	1	City of Fort Bragg	I/Bike & Ped	Fort Bragg Coastal Trail Phase II	4680	Jun-17	Jun-18 After	\$766	\$766	\$0								8416					Ν	N
3	10	San Joaquin County	I/Bike & Ped	Elmwood Elementary School Access Improvements	3290	Oct-18	Oct-18 On Schedu	le \$1,137	\$1,137	\$0					5885		6						Ν	Ν