MEMORANDUM

TAB 82

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

CTC Meeting: December 4-5, 2019

From: STEVEN KECK, Chief Financial Officer

Reference Number: 4.17, Action Item REPLACEMENT ITEM

Prepared By: Ronald E. Sheppard, Chief (Acting) Division of Rail and Mass Transportation

Subject: LOCAL PARTERSHIP PROGRAM – SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT FOR THE METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT RESOLUTION LPP-1920-02.

ISSUE:

Should the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's (LA Metro) request to amend the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements project (PPNO 5504) scope, programmed in the 2018 Local Partnership Competitive Program in Cycle 1?

RECOMMENDATION:

The California Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the Commission approve the request to amend the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements scope, programmed in the Cycle 1 2018 Local Partnership Competitive Program.

BACKGROUND:

On May 16, 2018, the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements project was adopted in the 2018 Local Partnership Competitive Program under Cycle 1. The project was programmed for \$75,000,000 of Local Partnership Program Competitive funds for the construction phase. The project was selected from 90 project applications seeking in excess of over \$900 million from the Local Partnership Program.

The original scope would have constructed a single aerial grade separation spanning over five intersections, constructed four-quadrant gate systems at 34 intersections along a 18-mile segment and elevated an existing bike path. On May 24, 2019, LA Metro submitted a scope change request for the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements project

"Provide a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system to enhance California's economy and livability"

Reference No.: 4.17 December 4-5, 2019 Page 2 of 2 *Replacement Item*

(PPNO 5504). The proposed scope change will construct two separate aerial structures spanning over four intersections and one additional four-quadrant gate crossing in between the two aerial structures.

The proposed scope change is more cost-effective and an efficient design that will provide connectivity enhancements with other planned projects in the area; East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor and Sepulveda Pass projects.

The Department and Commission staff discussed the proposed scope change and worked with LA Metro to resolve any questions and concerns regarding the request.

The Local Partnership Competitive Program provides discretionary funding for projects that excel through an evaluation process. And although the initial project was evaluated and scored based on the scope of work and project benefits, the proposed project scope change would have scored similarly to the initial project scope, because there are no changes to the benefits.

After thorough review and analysis of the scope change, and in consultation with Commission staff, the Department has determined that although the project design will change, there are no impacts to the project benefits. Therefore, the Department recommends Commission approval of the scope change.

Attachment:

• Attachment A: Department Analysis and Recommendations

Project Scope Change Request Caltrans' Analysis and Recommendations

August 12, 2019

PROJECT NAME: Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements **IMPLEMENTING AGENCY:** LA County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LA Metro) **PPNO:** 5504

DATE OF AGENCY/CT COORDINATION MEETING: June 19 & July 8, 2019

APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION (SCOPE): In Los Angeles County on the Metro Orange Line (MOL) route between the North Hollywood Station and Chatsworth Station, Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) improvements will be constructed. Construct one aerial gradeseparated structure over five intersections (Van Nuys Boulevard, Vesper Avenue, Kester Avenue, City of LA Driveway, Sepulveda Boulevard, from Tyrone Avenue to Sepulveda Boulevard, with railroad type four quadrant gating systems at 34 intersections along a 18-mile segment of the MOL. Also, elevate existing bike path between Van Nuys and Sepulveda Boulevards to further enhance safety for bicyclists and pedestrian (Design-Build method).

NEW PROJECT DESCRIPTION (SCOPE): In Los Angeles County on the MOL route between the North Hollywood Station and Chatsworth Station, BRT improvements will be constructed. The scope includes construction of aerial grade separated structures that would elevate the busway and associated BRT stations at Van Nuys and Sepulveda Blvds. The aerial structure at Sepulveda spans over the city of Los Angeles' Bureau of Street Services Private Crossing, east of the Sepulveda grade separation, and returns to an at-grade alignment at Kester Blvd. The aerial structure at Van Nuys Blvd. also spans over Vesper Ave. and requires the closure of Tyrone Avenue, east of Van Nuys Blvd. An adjacent grade separated bicycle/pedestrian overcrossing that runs parallel to the Sepulveda and Van Nuys grade separations will also be constructed. The Project also includes installation of railroad-type gate systems at 35 MOL crossings along the MOL.

(New scope attached, revised PPR, Exhibit B).

<u>Purpose</u>

This document serves as supplemental information to the SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST (attached) completed by LA Metro and submitted to Caltrans on August 8, 2019. (Local Agency Letter attached, exhibit A)

Caltrans' Recommendation(s)

As a result of Caltrans' review of the LA Metro's Scope Change Request documentation and subsequent discussion(s) with CTC and LA Metro staff, Caltrans recommends the following action:

APPROVE AS A MINOR SCOPE CHANGE

Scope to Be Changed

The following is a numbered list of proposed scope changes:

1. The scope change altered a busway grade separation from one bridge spanning over five intersections to two smaller bridges spanning over four intersections, adding a quadrant gate to an intersection in the middle of the five intersections, that was to be a grade separation in the original design.

Reason for the Scope Change

The reason given was that a new design was more cost-effective and efficient. The proposed modification reduced the cost of the project by 11 percent, without impacting operational benefits in travel time savings.

Summary of Caltrans Analysis

Caltrans supports this request for the following reasons:

As the circumstances surrounding the scope change were unforeseen at the time of application, and the benefits of the project are nearly the same after the design change, the California Department of Transportation recommends the California Transportation Commission approve the change in scope for the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvement Project.

Proposed scope change affect to benefits:

Table 3. Original Benefit/Cost Analysis

| | ESTMENT ANALYSIS SUMMARY RESULTS | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | MIZED BENEFITS (mil. 5) | Passanger Boroin | Fraight Benefits | Total Over 20 Years | Avenage Armai |
| | Travel Time Savings | \$173.7 | \$0.0 | \$173.7 | \$8.7 |
| the second | /eh. Op. Cost Savings | \$121.0 | \$0.0 | \$121.0 | \$6.1 |
| | Accident Cost Savings | \$52.5 | \$0.0 | \$52.5 | \$2.6 |
| | mission Cost Savings | \$10.3 | \$0.0 | \$10.3 | \$0.5 |
| | TAL BENEFITS | \$357.5 | \$0.0 | \$357.5 | \$17.9 |
| Contraction of the second se | son-flours of Time Saved | | ſ | 32,868,996 | 1,644,449 |
| Per | son Hours of Time Saved | Rø | | | National and a second second |
| Payback Period: 9 years Port | SSIONS REDUCTION | Ing Totel Over 20 Years | 5 A/20200 A/201201 | <u>Yalat-ini</u> Total Gver | L E: Average |
| Payback Period: 9 years Period | | Total Over | Avarage | Yale (ni | L Ej Avarazo Avarazo |
| Payback Period: 9 years Port | SSIONS REDUCTION | Total Over 29 Years | Average Avrual | Vater int Total Over 20 Yases \$0.1 | L <u>E</u> Awarayo Amaat SQO |
| Payback Period: 9 years Pould benefit-cost results include: 13 Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Column 2) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ Y C C C | SSIONS REDUCTION | Yotał Over 20 Years 800 | Average Average Average 40 | Vate (ni Total Over 20 Years 50 1 50 3 | LE: Avarajo Avaul SOO E03 |
| Payback Period: 9 years Port | SSIONS REDUCTION O Emissions Saved Oz Emissions Saved | Total Over 28 Years 800 238,371 | Average Average Average 40 | <u>Vate Ini</u> Total Over 20 Years 50 1 50 8 50 8 52 6 | Amirazo Amirazo Amirat \$0.0 \$0.3 \$0.1 |
| Payback Period: 9 years Payback Period: 9 years Pould bonefit-cost results include: 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y 2) Vabicle Operating Costs? (y/ Y Debay 2) Vabicle Operating Costs? (y/ N Debay 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y C Debay 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Induce | SSIONS REDUCTION O Emissions Saved Or Emissions Saved Dr Emissions Saved Mrs Emissions Saved | Total Over 28 Years 800 238,371 65 2 | Average Average Average 40 | Vate (ni Total Over 20 Years 50 1 50 3 | LE: Avarajo Avaul SOO E03 |
| Payback Period: 9 years Payback Period: 9 yea | SSIONS REDUCTION O Emissions Saved Or Emissions Saved Or Emissions Saved Or Emissions Saved | Total Over 28 Years 800 238,371 | Average Average Average 40 | <u>Vate Ini</u> Total Over 20 Years 50 1 50 8 50 8 52 6 | Average Average Average S00 \$03 \$0,1 |

Table 4. Revised Benefit/Cost Analysis for Scope Change

| and a stand of the stand of t | | SUMMARY REBULTS | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| | \$238.2 | ITEMIZED BENEFITS (mil. \$) | Passenger Baraña | Freigté Dereits | Fotal Over 20 Years | Annage Annual |
| | \$404.3 | Travel Time Savings | \$220.4 | 50.0 | 5220 4 | 511. |
| Net Present Value (mil \$) | 5186.1 | Veh. Op. Cost Savings | \$121.0 | \$0.0 | \$121.0 | \$6 |
| | | Accident Cost Savings | \$52.5 | \$0.0 | \$52.5 | 52.0 |
| Benefit / Cost Ratio: | 1.7 | Emission Cost Savings | 510.3 | \$0.0 | \$10.3 | \$0.5 |
| tate of Return on Investment: | 9.3% | TOTAL BENEFITS | \$404.3 | \$0.0 | \$404.3 | \$20 |
| | ê yoars | Parson-Hours of Time Saved | | L | 39,263,878 | 1,983,19. |
| Payback Period: (| ê yoars | "9f90n-ttours of Time Saved | Tén | ••••• | | |
| | e: | EMISSIONS REDUCTION | Total Over | Avenage | <u>Vəfus Smi</u> Total Dver | . <u>1</u>) Average |
| ould banefiliticost results includi) Induced Travol? (y/a) | e: | | | | Value Seri Total Duer 20 Years | L) Autrage Arsuel |
| puld konefit-cost results includi Induced Travel? (y/a) | e: Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 | Averege Avroal 40 | Volution Total Over 20 Years \$0.1 | 1) Average Arsuni SD (|
| ould Renefit-cost results include Induced Travel? (y\n) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y) | 8 yoars | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO: Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years | Averege Avrual | Value Smi Total Dier 20 Years 50.1 56 8 | LD Assuraça Accusi SO (SO 3 |
| uld kenefit-cost results include Induced Travel? (y\n) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y) | 8 <u>yoars</u>] [e: Y] 84A-Y Y] | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO: Emissions Saved NOx Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 | Averege Avroal 40 | Value Smi Total Over 20 Years 50.1 56 8 52.6 | Araurage Araurage Araurage SD C SD 1 SD 1 |
| Suld banefile cost results includi Induced Travel? (y/a) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ Accident Costs? (y/n) | 8 <u>yoars</u>] [e: Y] 84A-Y Y] | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO: Emissions Saved NDx Emissions Saved PM-9: Emissions Saved | Total Cher 20 Years 800 238,371 65 2 | Averege Avroal 40 | Value Smi Total Dier 20 Years 50.1 56 8 | Asuraye Asuraye Asurai SD C SD 3 SD 1 |
| uld banefit-cost results includ Induced Travel? (y/a) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ Accident Costs? (y/n) | 8 yoars Y 6 sea Y 6 sea Y 1 sea Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO: Emissions Saved NOx Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 | Averege Avroal 40 | Value Smi Total Over 20 Years 50.1 56 8 52.6 | . <u>1</u>) Average |

<u>Net Increase/No change/Net Decrease</u>- The proposed scope change is expected to have minimal impact(s) on the project's potential as compared to the original scope.

Attachment B

| l.inc # | Variable | Unit | Original Value (from 2017 Analysis) | New Value (ostimated from 2018 Analysis) |
|------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Ridership Increase (also | New MOL trips per day | 10,100 | No change |
| | decrease in auto trips) | New MOL trips per year | 3,191,600 | No change |
| 1 | MOL In-Vehicle Travel Time | | North Hollywood to Canoga (12.7 miles) | North Hollywood to Chatsworth (18 miles |
| | Change | Minutes per trip | - 12.6 | - 16 |
| | MOL Out-of-Vehicle Travel | Minutes per trip (Peak) | 2 | No change |
| | Time Change | Minutes per trip (Off-Peak) | 0 | No change |
| 1 | Parallel Roadway Travel Time | Minutes per trip (bidirectional average) | North Hollywood to Canoga (12.7 miles) 42 | North Hollywood to Chatsworth (18 miles 54 |
| | Trips during Peak Period | Percent | 70% | No change |
| | Bus Vehicle Miles | Average weekday miles | 6183.1 | No change |
| | | Average Saturday miles | 3725.6 | No change |
| | | Average Sunday miles | 3487.4 | No change |
| | | Annual total estimate | 1,982,682 | No change |
| | Change in Automobile VMT | Daily VMT change | - 81,756 | No change |
| | | Annual VMT change | - 25,834,896 | No change |
| | Average automobile speed | Miles per hour | 20 | No change |
| 3 | Vehicles crossing gate | Number of daily vehicles | 305,000 | 307,000 (with Kester) |
| | quadrant streets | Number of annual vehicles | 96,380,000 | 97,012,000 |
| 1 | Vehicles crossing grade | Number of daily vehicles | 45,000 | 43,000 |
| | separation streets | Number of annual vehicles | 14,220,000 | 13,588,000 |
| 12 | Change in average cross traffic delay for gate | | | |
| | quadrants Change in average cross traffic delay for grade | Seconds of delay | 7 | 12 |
| | separations shown in 2017 analysis represent the | Seconds of delay | - 5 | No change |

Table 1. Comparison of Benefit/Cost Analysis Assumptions

Page 2 of 6

(See attached analysis/revised project report, Exhibit C)

Additional Comments

Caltrans concurs with the information provided in Attachment 1 – SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST. The agency has coordinated with Caltrans staff to provide the most accurate information possible.

Caltrans' Coordination with Requesting Agency

Caltrans and CTC staff met with LA Metro several times to discuss the project between January and August 2019.

Impact to Project Cost

LA Metro has indicated that while the new design of the project reduces the cost by 11 percent, the cost of the project is estimated between \$320 to \$393 million. LA Metro is prepared to cover any increase to the cost of the project.

Impact to Project Schedule

There are two new schedules for the project, as there will be two contract awards; one contract for the grade separation and one contract for the gating systems. The project will be delayed by 17 months as indicated by the dates in the revised PPR (exhibit B).

GRADE SEPARATIONS:

| Project Mile stone | Existing | Proposed | |
|--|----------------|--|----------|
| Project Study Report Approved | - | Market States 1994 | |
| Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase | 06/15/2018 | | |
| Circulate Draft Environmental Document | Document Type | Antonio contrata de la contrata de l | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Froject Report | | 0.500 | |
| End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) | | 07/31/2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS&E) Phase | | 104402000 | 11/01/18 |
| End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertiseme | ent Milestone) | and the providence of | 08/30/20 |
| Begin Right of Way Phase | | 10/31/2018 | 11/01/18 |
| End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certificate | on Miesiona) | 03/31/2020 | 06/30/21 |
| Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Nies | lone) | 03/31/2020 | 05/01/21 |
| End Construction Phase (Construction Contract A | 08/31/2023 | 02/28/25 | |
| Begin Closeout Phase | 10/31/2023 | 03/01/25 | |
| End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report) | | 12/31/2024 | 12/31/25 |

GATES:

| Project Milestone | | Existing | Proposed |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------|
| Project Study Report Approved | | 1 | State of the |
| Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase | | 06/15/2018 | |
| Circulate Draft Environmental Document | Document Type | 5445.977.016.889 | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Project Report | ······································ | TO DESCRIPTION | |
| End Environmental Phase (PASED Milestone) | | 07/31/2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS&E) Phase | ····· | der für Bereitert. | 11/01/18 |
| End Design Phase (Reedy to List for Advertisems | nt Milestone) | SCHOOL STREET | 02/28/21 |
| Begin Right of Way Phase | | 10/31/2018 | 06/01/19 |
| End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certificatio | n Milestone) | 03/31/2020 | 06/30/21 |
| Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Miest | ON∉) | 03/31/2020 | 03/01/22 |
| End Construction Phase (Construction Contract A | cceptance Miestone) | 08/31/2023 | 02/28/25 |
| Begin Closeout Phase | | 10/31/2023 | 03/01/25 |
| End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report) | | 12/31/2024 | 12/31/25 |

ATTACHMENTS - Scope Change Amendment Request

- Exhibit A, Local Agency Letter
 Exhibit B, Revised Project Programming Request
 Exhibit C, Analysis/Revised Project Report
 Exhibit D, Caltrans Recommendation

ROAD REPAIR & ACCOUNTBILITY ACT OF 2017 LOCAL PARTNERSHIP COMPETITIVE PROGRAM SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

> Exhibit A LOCAL AGENCY LETTER

One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

213.922.2000 Tel metro.net



August 8, 2019

Ms. Susan Bransen Executive Director California Transportation Commission 1120 "N" Street, MS 52 Sacramento, CA 95814

Attention: Angel Pyle, Caltrans

PROPOSED PROJECT SCOPE & SCHEDULE MODIFICATION FOR METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT Local Partnership Program, Competitive Program Funding

Dear Ms. Bransen:

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) hereby submits its request for approval of a scope modification for the Metro Orange Line (MOL) Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Improvements project. The project was awarded a \$75,000,000 2018 Local Partnership Program – Competitive (LPP-C) grant award.

Proposed Scope Modification

The project scope as described in the original grant application consisted of constructing improvements along the 18-mile MOL Busway. The proposed 18-mile improvement project included a single one-mile aerial BRT and bike path grade separation spanning Sepulveda to Van Nuys Boulevards and railroad-type gating at 34 at-grade crossings along the entire 18-mile line. However, a more cost-effective and efficient design now includes separate aerial structures at each of these two crossings with one additional gated crossing in between. The proposed modification in scope reduced the project cost by approximately 11% without impacting the operational benefits in travel time savings. The cost reduction for the grade separation is needed to accommodate a revised cost estimate for the railroad-type gates that is higher than originally projected. This design direction came from evaluation of various configurations of aerial stations including connectivity with the East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor and Sepulveda Pass projects. Attachment A presents the report approved by the Metro Board that describes the proposed scope as the conceptual project description.

Benefit/Cost Analysis Comparison

Metro staff prepared a comprehensive updated Benefit/Cost Analysis (BCA) using the Caltrans BCA model to compare the impacts of the original scope and proposed scope amendment (Attachment B). The results found that the BCA ratio improved from 1.5 to 1.7 when incorporating the impacts of the proposed scope modification. The new assumptions and BCA results for the proposed scope reflect not only the scope change, but also improved understanding of and data for the entire project. Ms. Bransen August 8, 2019 Page 2

The results of the updated BCA found no change in most variables between the original and proposed scope change. The variables that did show change originated from a different model. The original scope, methodology, assumptions and model approach were informed only by conceptual design. The BCA analysis for the proposed scope incorporated improved information for the project.

Schedule

We are enclosing the revised project programming requests (PPRs) to update the project scope of work, outputs/outcomes and milestone schedule. The schedule revisions are due to the delay of the main construction contract which is currently projected to be awarded in August 2021 which is inconsistent with the year LPP-C funds are programmed(FY2019/20). We understand from previous discussions with Caltrans staff that an extension request will need to be submitted in FY20 to accommodate this schedule change which is needed to accommodate better integration with the East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Project. We are expecting to complete the preliminary engineering (P/E) for the Sepulveda grade separation by summer 2019. The P/E on the Van Nuys grade separation will follow the P/E for Sepulveda in order to coordinate with the connecting East San Fernand Valley Transit Corridor Light Rail Station on Van Nuys Boulevard. We plan to include both grade separations in one contract, but we will evaluate the procurement strategy and may consider issuing a separate contract for each aerial structure. While the main construction contract is scheduled to begin in Fall of 2021, utility relocation and site work will commence as originally planned in FY20.

Budget

A preliminary rough order of magnitude (ROM) cost estimate of the recommended project, based on conceptual designs, currently ranges from \$320 to \$393 million. A refined cost estimate will be determined after completion of the preliminary engineering of the gated intersections and the grade separations. The project's funding plan currently includes \$245.3 million in Measure M and \$75 million in SB-1 Local Partnership Program (LPP) grant funds. Metro is committed to secure funds for any additional project costs above current programmed revenues.

To assist you in reviewing our request, we have attached a scope comparison table, project maps (original & revised scope) and revised PPRs (Attachment C). We thank you for considering the modifications to our project scope. If you have any further questions, please contact me at (213) 922-2822 or Nela De Castro at (213) 922-6166.

Sincerely,

Cott P. Start

COSETTE P. STARK Deputy Executive Officer Grants Management and Oversight

Attachments

cc: Patrick Olsen, Scott Kingsbury, Arthur Murray, HQ

ROAD REPAIR & ACCOUNTBILITY ACT OF 2017 LOCAL PARTNERSHIP COMPETITIVE PROGRAM SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

> Exhibit B REVISED PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST DTP-0001 (Revised Mar. 1 2018 v7.08)

| DTP-0001 (Revis | ed Mar, 1 2018 v7 | 7.08) | | | | | | Gener | al Instructions |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| Amendment (Exis | ting Project) | Yes | | | | | 131 | Date: | 01/07/19 |
| District | EA | Pro | ject ID | | PPNO | MPOI | D | Alt P | roj. ID / prg. |
| 07 | | | | | 5504 | | | | |
| County | Route/Corrido | or PM | 3k P | M Ahd | | Project Spo | nsor/Lead | Agency | |
| LA | | | | | Los Ano | eles County Metro | | | Authority |
| | | | | | | PO | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | Eleme | ent |
| | | | | | SC | CAG | | MT | |
| Project Ma | inager/Contact | | Phone | | | E-ma | il Address | | |
| Fulgen | e Asuncion | (21 | 3)922-3 | 3025 | | asuncio | nf@metro. | net | |
| Project Title | | | 1. 34 4 | 1114 | 1 3 3 - 7 2 | | | - 17.11 | |
| Metro Orange Lin | e Bus Rapid Tran | sit Improver | nents | | | | | | |
| Location (Projec | | | | ork) | | | | | |
| Amended - Gates | | | | | lino (MOL) hoty | yoon the North He | Illumond Str | tion & Cha | towarth Station |
| BRT improvemen | te will be construe | county on a | | udos con | truction of pori | veen ne nonn no | liywood Sia | thet elevet | e the busway and |
| associated BRT s | tations at Van Nu | ve & Sooulu | oda Blu | de Thola | orial structure a | t Sepulveda sean | r structures | That elevat | e the busway and |
| | | | | | | | | | er Blvd. The aerial |
| structure at Van N | | | | | | | | | |
| grade separated t | | | | | | | | | |
| Project includes in | | | | | | | | | |
| Component | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | | | | Implement | ing Agency | | | |
| PA&ED | Los Angeles | County Me | tropolita | n Transp | ortation Authorit | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | ortation Authorit | | | | |
| Right of Way | | | | | ortation Authorit | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | ortation Authorit | | | | |
| Legislative Distr | | s county we | liopolita | ппапэр | ortation Addition | <u></u> | | | |
| Assembly: | 45,46 | | enate: | 1 | 18,27 | Congrassi | analı | | 29,30 |
| Project Benefits | | N | enate. | - | 10,27 | Congressi | onai. | | 29,30 |
| The MOL is opera | ting near canacity | with standi | | opgor log | de 8 von tight l | and wave To con | tique te me | ot domand | the project will |
| provide gating & g | rade senaration (| of the buswa | v as an | innovativ | e safe & cost-e | ffective way to inc | rease spec | d & thereby | , trie project will |
| roadway capacity. | | | | | | | | | |
| the community, w | | | | | | | | | |
| Purpose and Net | | | | J | | , | | The second second | |
| The project will ex | | ces increas | e transit | tridershin | improve trans | it safety enhance | the access | and conve | nience of the |
| traveling public, a | nd provide or facil | litate a viable | e alterna | ative to dr | iving. Since the | MOL is now at ca | pacity with | riders curre | ently delayed by |
| cross-traffic intrus | ions into the MOL | busway it | s neede | ed to impr | ove operating s | peeds, ridership, o | apacity, so | hedule relia | ability and safety. |
| while benefitting t | he surrounding co | mmunity an | d ensuri | ing cost e | ffectiveness. | | | | |
| | Category | | | | Outputs/Ou | tcomes | | Unit | Total |
| Local streets and | roads | | ocal roa | d operatio | onal improveme | ents | | each | 35 |
| | | | | a operati | | | | Guon | |
| | | | | | | | | | <u>+</u> { |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ADA Improveme | nts No | | Bike/P | ed Improv | vements Yes | | Reversib | e Lane ana | Ivsis N |
| Inc. Sustainable Con | | ioals | Y | | 103 | Reduces Green | | | |
| Project Mileston | | | 1 | | | Treduces Green | _ | | |
| Project Mileston | 11 m | - | | 1000 | | | E | xisting | Proposed |
| Begin Environmer | | | | | | | 00/4/ | 10040 | |
| Circulate Draft En | | | | le. | | | 06/18 | 5/2018 | 07/00/40 |
| | | ment | | L | Ocument Type | | | | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Project Repo | | Milesters | | | | | 07/0 | 10040 | 00/07/40 |
| End Environmenta | | willestone) | | | | | 07/3 | /2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS | | A durant's | nort t t' | a aler - \ | | | | | 11/01/18 |
| End Design Phase | | or Advertiser | nent Mil | estone) | | | 100 | 10040 | 02/28/21 |
| Begin Right of Way | | Nev Oatto | tion 14 | opto - 1 | | | the second se | /2018 | 06/01/19 |
| End Right of Way | | | | estone) | | | | /2020 | 06/30/21 |
| Begin Construction | | | | onos Mil- | stana) | | | /2020 | 03/01/22 |
| End Construction Begin Closeout Pl | | ion Contract | Accept | ance Mile | sione) | | | /2023 | 02/28/25 |
| | | art | | | | | | /2023 | 03/01/25 |
| End Closeout Pha | ise (Cioseout Rep | ort) | | | | | 12/31 | /2024 | 12/31/25 |

ADA Notice

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST** DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

Complete this page for amendments only

| <i>Complete</i> i | this page for am | enaments only | | | Da | te: 01/07/19 |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|----|------------|------|--------------|
| District | County | Route | EA | Project ID | PPNO | Alt. ID |
| 07 | LA | | | | 5504 | |
| SECTION 1 | I - All Projects | | | | | |

04/07/40

Programming Change Requested

Project Background

Reason for Proposed Change

Previously, a single aerial grade separation spanning Van Nuys Boulevard to Sepulveda Boulevard was proposed, but based on conceptual design, a more cost-effective and efficient design now will include separate aerial structures at each of these two arterial street crossings.

If proposed change will delay one or more components, clearly explain 1) reason the delay, 2) cost increase related to the delay, and 3) how cost increase will be funded

Design of Van Nuys grade separation will start once the East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Project environmentally clears the scope for the terminal station connection at the Orange Line Van Nuys station. Also, the completion of real estate acquisitions will take longer than the initial anticipated completion date.

Other Significant Information

SECTION 2 - For SB1 Projects Only

Project Amendment Request (Please follow the individual SB1 program guidelines for specific criteria)

| SECTION 3 - All Projects | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------|
| Approvals | | | |
| I hereby certify that the above | information is complete and accurate an | d all approvals have been obtained for th | ne processing |
| | | | |
| of this amendment request.* | | | |
| | Signature | Title | Date |
| of this amendment request.* Name (Print or Type) Cosette P, Stark | Signature | Title DEO, Grants Management & | Date 8/8/2019 |

Attachments

1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency

2) Project Location Map

STATE OF CAL!FORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST** DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

Additional Information

Date: 01/07/19

Emissions Reduction Savings from Caltrans Life-Cycle Benefit-Cost Analysis Model v6.2 (Tons over 20 years / Millions of dollars over 20 years)

CO - 800 / \$0.1 CO2 - 238,371 / \$6.8 NO x - 65 / \$2.6 PM10 - 2 / \$0.5 PM2.5 - 2 SO x - 2 / \$0.3 VOC - 42 / \$0.1

The latest operations and traffic analysis for the proposed scope change did not result in a change to the assumptions used to calculate the original emissions reduction figures. The emissions reductions are a result of ridership increases/mode shifts and VMT reduction produced by creating more free-flowing conditions on the Orange Line. The proposed scope change does not change the ability of the project to create more free-flowing conditions on the Orange Line. Therefore, GHG emissions reduction is not expected to change from the original project.

Environmental Document Type: Statutory Exemption: PRC 21080(b)(11)/CEQA Guidelines 15275(a) - 8/27/18

ADA Notice

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento, CA 95814.

(Gates) 07-LA-Metro_Orange Line BRT_PPR - REVISED 08-09-2019.xls

| District | County | Route | ËA | Project ID | PPNO | Alt. ID |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|------------|------|---------|
| 07 | LA, , | 1.1 | | | 5504 | |
| Project Title: N | letro Orange Line Bus | Rapid Transit Improv | ements | | | |

| | | Exist | ing Total P | roject Cos | t (\$1,000s) | | | | |
|--------------|--------|-------|--|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Implementing Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 14,000 | | | R'ERIE! | | 1233-333 | | 14,000 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| PS&E | | | | | | | a state of the second | and the second sec | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| CON SUP (CT) | ter | | | | | | | 110 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| R/W | | 6,000 | | | | | | 6,000 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| CON | | | 295,300 | 1 | | | | 295,300 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| TOTAL | 14,000 | 6,000 | 295,300 | | | | | 315,300 | |
| | | | sed Total F | Project Co | st (\$1,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,215 | 850 | | | 1 | | | 1.565 | The original PPR has \$320.3M as |
| PS&E | | 2,500 | 9,500 | | | | | 12,000 | the total cost. |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | and the second | | | 1 | | | |
| R/W | | J | 1,000 | 7 | | | | 1,000 | |
| CON | | | 65,435 | 3 | | , 1991) - 20 | | 65,435 | |
| TOTAL | 1,215 | 2,850 | 75,935 | | | | | 80,000 | |

| Fund No. 1: | State SB1 L | PP - Local | Partnership | Program | - Competiti | ve program | (LPP-C) | | Program Code | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|--------|----------------|--|
| | | | Existing Fu | nding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | 30.10.724.100 | |
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Funding Agency | |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | 19151.57 | A. 1030 | | | | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | LUCIO CON | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | | 75,000 | A PLAN | | | | 75,000 | | |
| TOTAL | | | 75,000 | of press | | | | 75,000 | | |
| | | | Proposed F | unding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | Notes | |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | 2.2.3.1 | | | | | | | | - 5 | |
| R/W | | | · · · · | | | | | | | |
| CON | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | S | |

| Fund No. 2: | Local Funds | - Local Tra | ansportatio | n Funds (l | .TF) | | | | Program Code | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|--------|---------|----------------|--|
| | | | Existing Fu | nding (\$1, | 000s) | | | | 20.10.400.100 | |
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Funding Agency | |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 14,000 | | | | | | | +4,000 | | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | Carson and | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | 6,000 | | | | | | 6,000 | | |
| CON | | | 220,300 | | | | | 220,300 | | |
| TOTAL | 14,000 | 6,000 | 220,300 | | 1993 (A.M.) | | | 240,300 | | |
| | | F | Proposed F | unding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | Notes | |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,215 | 350 | | | | | | 1,565 | | |
| PS&E | | 2,500 | 9,500 | | | | | 12,000 | | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | 1,000 | | | | | 1,000 | | |
| CON | | | 65,435 | | | | | 65,435 | | |
| TOTAL | 1,215 | 2,850 | 75,935 | IN ALL PAR | | | | 80,000 | | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST** DTP-0001 (Revised Mar. 1 2018 v7.08)

| DTP-0001 (Revis | ed Mar, 1 2018 vi | 7.08) | | | | | | Gener | al Instructions |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Amendment (Exi | sting Project) | Yes | | | | | | Date: | 01/07/19 |
| District | EA | Pro | ject ID | | PPNO | MPO | D | Alt P | roj. ID / prg. |
| 07 | | | | | 5504 | | | | |
| County | Route/Corrido | or PM E | 3k Pl | Ahd | Contraction of the local division of the loc | Project Spo | nsor/Lea | d Agency | |
| LA | | | | | Los And | geles County Metr | the second s | | Authority |
| | | | | - | | IPO | | Eieme | |
| | | | | | | Service II | | | altr |
| Destantin | 10 | | | | 50 | CAG - | | MT | |
| | anager/Contact | | Phone | | | | ail Addre | - | |
| | te Asuncion | (21 | 3)922-3 | 025 | | asuncio | onf@metro | <u>p.net</u> | |
| Project Title | | | | | | | | | |
| Metro Orange Lir | ne Bus Rapid Tran | sit Improven | nents | | | | | | |
| Location (Project | ct Limits), Descri | ption (Scop | e of Wo | ork) | | | | - | 1 St. 11 18 |
| Chatsworth Statie elevate the busw of Los Angeles' E at Kester Blvd. T Nuys Blvd. An a | e Separations: In I on, BRT improven ay and associated Bureau of Street S he aerial structure djacent grade sep red. The Project in | nents will be I BRT station ervices Priva at Van Nuys arated bike/p | constructures at Var ate Cross Blvd. a bed over | ted. The Nuys & sing, eas lso spans crossing | scope includes Sepulveda Blvd t of the Sepulve s over Vesper A that runs parall | s construction of a ds. The aerial stru- da grade separat Ave. & requires clo el to the Sepulveo | erial grad cture at S ion, & retu sure of Ty la & Van N | e separated s epulveda spa irns to an at-g yrone Avenue Nuys grade se | structures that ans over the City grade alignment e, east of Van |
| Component | | | | - | Implemen | ting Agency | | | |
| PA&ED | Los Angeles | s County Me | tropolitar | n Transp | ortation Authori | ty | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | ortation Authori | | | | · · · · · |
| Right of Way | Los Angeles | s County Me | tropolitar | 1 Transp | ortation Authori | ty | | | - |
| Construction | Los Angeles | s County Met | tropolitar | n Transp | ortation Authori | ty | | | |
| Legislative Dist | ricts | | | | | | | | |
| Assembly: | 45,46 | S | enate: | | 18,27 | Congress | ional: | | 29,30 |
| Project Benefits | | | | | | | - I and and a | | |
| will provide gating maximize roadwa options for the co Purpose and Ne The project will e traveling public, a cross-traffic intrus | ating near capacity g and grade separ ay capacity. It will i ommunity, which s ed xpand transit servi and provide or faci sions into the MOI the surrounding co | ation of the t improve traffi hould reduce ices, increas litate a viable _ busway, it i | e transit e alterna s neede | as an inn educe tra collisions ridership tive to dr d to impr | ovative, safe ar affic congestion and vehicle gre o, improve trans iving. Since the ove operating s | nd cost-effective v in the community eenhouse gas emi it safety, enhance MOL is now at ca | yay to incr , improve ssions. the acce apacity with | ease speed a transit opera ss and conve h riders curre | ind thereby tions and transit nience of the ently delayed by |
| | Category | | | | Outputs/Ou | itcomes | | Unit | Total |
| Intercity Rail/Mas | s Trans | Ă | t-grade | crossing | s eliminated | | | each | 4 |
| Local streets and | roads | | | - | e facilities miles | constructed | | Miles | 1 |
| Intercity Rail/Mas | s Trans | | lew bridg | | | | | each | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ADA Improvem | ents No | | Bike/Pe | ed Impro | vements Yes | | Revers | ible Lane ana | alysis N |
| Inc. Sustainable Co | mmunities Strategy G | Boals | Y | | | Reduces Greer | house Ga | s Emissions | Υ |
| Project Mileston | le | | | | | 50.00 | | Existing | Proposed |
| Project Study Re | port Approved | | | | | | | | |
| Begin Environme | ntal (PA&ED) Pha | ise | | | | | 06/ | 15/2018 | |
| Circulate Draft Er | nvironmental Docu | Iment | | | Document Type | 9 | | | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Project Rep | | | | | | | | | |
| | al Phase (PA&ED | Milestone) | | | | | 07/ | 31/2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS | | | | | | | 1 | | 11/01/18 |
| | e (Ready to List fo | or Advertisen | nent Mile | estone) | | | | | 08/30/20 |
| Begin Right of W | | May 0 | 41 | | | | | 31/2018 | 11/01/18 |
| | Phase (Right of) | | | estone) | | | | 31/2020 | 06/30/21 |
| | on Phase (Contrac | | | DOG MU | | | | 31/2020 | 08/01/21 |
| Begin Closeout P | Phase (Construct | uon contract | Accepta | | estone) | | | 31/2023 | 02/28/25 |
| | ase (Closeout Rep | ort) | | | | | | 31/2023 | 03/01/25 |
| End Gloseout Ph | ase (Cioseour Rep | | | | | | 121 | 31/2024 | 12/31/25 |

ADA Notice

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento,

(Gates) 07-LA-Metro_Orange Line BRT_PPR - REVISED 08-09-2019.xls

| District | County | Route | EA | Project ID | PPNO | AIL ID |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|------------|------|---------|
| 07 | LA, , | ,, | | | 5504 | New A A |
| Project Title | Metro Orange Line Bus | Rapid Transit Improv | ements | | | |

| | | Exist | ing Total P | roject Cos | t (\$1,000s) | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Implementing Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 14,000 | | | 13.852 11 | | | And Sal | 14,000 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| CON SUP (CT) | Sala Maria | | | | | | | | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| R/W | | 6,000 | | 1.170 | | | | 6,000 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| CON | | | 295,300 | | | | | 295,300 | Los Angeles County Metropolitan |
| TOTAL | 14,000 | 6,000 | 295,300 | EN 44 2- | | | | 315.300 | |
| | | Propo | sed Total F | Project Co | st (\$1,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,215 | 350 | | | | | | 1,605 | The original PPR has \$320.3M as |
| PS&E | N B B W | 2,500 | 9,500 | | | * | | 12,000 | the total cost. |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | Constanting and | | | | ٥. |
| CON SUP (CT) | | P. C. SOT | | | | | 13780 10 | 374 B | |
| R/W | | | 1,000 | | | | | 1,000 | |
| CON | | | 65,435 | | | The second second | | 65.485 | |
| TOTAL | 1,215 | 2,858 | 75,935 | | | 111. a p | | 80,000 | |

| Fund No. 1: | State SB1 | LPP - Local | Partnership | Program | - Competiti | ve program | (LPP-C) | | Program Code |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | Existing Fu | Inding (\$1, | ,000s) | | | | 30.10.724.100 |
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Funding Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | C. Statustan | NASS-1 | Se Sans | STILL SHARE | | all and all a | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | (Installer) | 3. 11. | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | 100.0000 | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | 24.1 | |
| R/W | 1.2. | | | | City of the All | | | S-MEAL C | |
| CON | | | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 | |
| TOTAL | | DED FLOR | 75,000 | P Largerol | | 115.959400 | | 75,000 | |
| | | | Proposed F | unding (\$1 | ,000s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | A DECK | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | PARTY AND | |
| CON SUP (CT) | • | | | | | | | State Pri | - |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | | | | | | | d wasself. | |
| TOTAL | | | | 1-11-11-1 | | 1010 0010 | Manual | 1919 (Jan 19 | |

| Fund No. 2: | Local Funds | - Local Tra | ansportatio | n Funds (l | .TF) | | | | Program Code |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|----------------|
| | | | Existing Fu | nding (\$1, | ,000s) | | | | 20.10.400.100 |
| Component | Prior | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24+ | Total | Funding Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 14,000 | N SEARCH | P. Starter | No. CALL | | | 181 2 19 | 14,000 | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | 1622 84 | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | STATES !! | | | | 13.0311 | | | 11-7-17 | 1 |
| CON SUP (CT) | IS. STAT | | | | | as loan | Mark page | A COMP F | |
| R/W | ALSO NOT | 6,000 | and a second | | | | | 6,000 | |
| CON | | | 220,300 | | | | | 220,300 | - |
| TOTAL | 14,000 | 6,000 | 220,300 | 12-2014-1 | | S. 18.98 | | 240,300 | |
| | | F | Proposed Fi | unding (\$1 | ,000s) | . | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,215 | 350 | | | | | | 1,505 | |
| PS&E | | 2,500 | 9,500 | | | | | 12,000 | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | 1,000 | | | | | 1,000 | |
| CON | | | 65,435 | | | | | 65,436 | |
| TOTAL | 1,216 | 2,850 | 75,935 | | | | | 80,000 | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST

DTP-0001 (Revised Mar, 1 2018 v7.08)

Additional Information

Date: 01/07/19

Emissions Reduction Savings from Caltrans Life-Cycle Benefit-Cost Analysis Model v6.2 (Tons over 20 years / Millions of dollars over 20 years)

CO - 800 / \$0.1 CO2 - 238,371 / \$6.8 NO x - 65 / \$2.6 PM10 - 2 / \$0.5 PM2.5 - 2 SO x - 2 / \$0.3 VOC - 42 / \$0.1

The latest operations and traffic analysis for the proposed scope change did not result in a change to the assumptions used to calculate the original emissions reduction figures. The emissions reductions are a result of ridership increases/mode shifts and VMT reduction produced by creating more free-flowing conditions on the Orange Line. The proposed scope change does not change the ability of the project to create more free-flowing conditions on the Orange Line. Therefore, GHG emissions reduction is not expected to change from the original project.

Environmental Document Type: Statutory Exemption: PRC 21080(b)(11)/CEQA Guidelines 15275(a) - 8/27/18

STATE OF CALIFORNIA • DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

| PROJECT P | ROGRAMMING R | EQUEST | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|
| | ed Mar, 1 2018 v7.08) | endments only | | | Det | 01/07/10 |
| District | County | Route | EA | Project ID | PPNO | e: 01/07/19 Alt. ID |
| 07 | LA | Route | | | 5504 | |
| | - All Projects | | | | | |
| Project Back | | | | | | |
| Programming | g Change Requeste | ed | | | | |
| Previously, a s | design, a more cos | | | evard to Sepulveda Bounclude separate aerial s | | |
| | | | 91 | | | |
| to the delay, a | and 3) how cost in | crease will be funder | d | explain 1) reason the | | ease related |
| environmental | ly clears the scope f | or the terminal station | connection a | n Fernando Valley Trans at the Orange Line Van anticipated completion d | Nuys station. Also, | the |
| Other Signific | cant Information | بالبوالية المالي الأ | Sec. Sec. B. | | | u kan univer u |
| | | | | | | |
| SECTION 2 | - For SB1 Proje | cts Only | | | | |

Project Amendment Request (Please follow the individual SB1 program guidelines for specific criteria)

| SECTION 3 - All Projects | S | | |
|---|---|---|------------------|
| Approvals | | | |
| I hereby certify that the above | information is complete and accurate an | d all approvals have been obtained for th | e processing |
| | | | |
| of this amendment request.* | | | |
| of this amendment request.* Name (Print or Type) | Signature | Title | Date |
| | Signature | Title DEO, Grants Management & | Date 8/8/2019 |

Attachments

1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency

2) Project Location Map

ROAD REPAIR & ACCOUNTBILITY ACT OF 2017 LOCAL PARTNERSHIP COMPETITIVE PROGRAM SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

> Exhibit C ANALYSIS/REVISED PROJECT REPORT

METRO ORANGE LINE (MOL) BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) IMPROVEMENTS

PROJECT REPORT



Project Report

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

N

Hitesh Patel, Project Manager

PROJECT APPROVED:

David Mieger, Executive Officer

May 21, 2019 Date

Vicinity Map



1. INTRODUCTION:

Project Location:

The project is located in the City of Los Angeles, in the central part of Los Angeles County, approximately 20 miles northwest of downton Los Angeles in CALTRANS District 7. The corridor connects major activity areas through the heart of the San Fernando Valley, including Warner Center, Pierce College, the Sepulveda Basin Recreation Area, the Valley Government Center in Van Nuys, Valley College, and the North Hollywood Arts District.

The nearly 18-mile Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit (MOL BRT) runs northsouth along a two-lane, dedicated busway from the Metrolink Chatsworth Sation to Canoga Station for four miles and runs east-west for approximately 14 miles from the Canoga Station to the Metro Red Line North Hollywood Station. The MOL encompasses 17 stations and runs parallel to Chandler Boulevard, Oxnard Street and Victory Boulevard and Canoga Avenue. There is also a bikeway running adjacent to the MOL busway that is comprised of two segments: Class II bike lanes from the North Hollywood Station to Coldwater Canyon Avenue, and a Class I dedicated bicycle path adjacent to the MOL busway from Coldwater Canyon on the east/west segment to Prairie on the north/south segment.

Project Description:

The MOL route is one of the most successful transit services in the Metro transit system, providing a vital, high-capacity transit link for an estimated 23,000 weekday daily riders and serving as a viable transportation alternative for those who would otherwise travel on the parallel U.S. Route 101, one of the top ten most congested highways in California. The line opened on October 29, 2005, and was extended to Chatsworth on June 30, 2012. The MOL runs from the North Hollywood Station, which connects to Metro's Red Line Subway system terminating at LA Union Station, and to the Chatsworth Station on the west.

The MOL BRT Improvements project includes grade separated structures that would elevate the busway, bike path, and associated stations at Van Nuys and Sepulveda Boulevards. The Project also includes railroad-type gate systems at 35 MOL crossings along the line (Attachment A). Gating and grade separations will help reduce the incidents of collisions between vehicles and MOL buses, allowing an increase in the speeds of buses along the corridor to reduce travel times.

Purpose and Need:

Passenger volumes are near capacity in certain segments with buses carrying approximately 1,300 passengers per hour per direction, exceeding Metro Transit Service Policy that directs that BRT service carry 1,100 riders per hour per direction. As the MOL serves a dense and growing corridor, ridership demand is expected to continue to grow over the next 10 years.

Metro currently operates three-door, 60-foot articulated buses on the MOL, with a seating capacity of 57 passengers, providing a total of 411 weekday bus trips (206 eastbound and 205 westbound). The MOL has 43 at-grade crossings, five pedestrian crossings, and is complemented by an 8.2-mile bikeway located adjacent to the busway.

Red lights at intersection crossings result in overall delays of six to ten minutes. In addition, serious safety concerns exist along the Project corridor due to excessive traffic violations and collisions at intersections. The Project corridor has nineteen red light photo enforcement cameras, which recorded, on average, 5,000 to 6,000 traffic violations of cars illegally entering the busway each month during 2018. The proposed four-quadrant gating-system will prevent cars from entering the busway,

drastically reducing opportunities for collisions. Therefore, the purpose and need for the Project is to improve operating speeds, ridership, capacity, and safety on the MOL, while benefitting the surrounding community and ensuring cost effectiveness.

2. PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE:

The Metro Orange Line 2017 Technical Study evaluated the feasibility of grade separation improvements at key intersections and other improvements that would enhance existing bus service, performance, and ridership. Other improvements considered included minor street closures, better transit signal priority technology, improved bus signal communication, and a four quadrant gating system. At the conclusion of the feasibility study, several packages of improvements were identified and among the packages of improvements, a single recommended option was developed. This alternative would address the operational needs of Orange Line buses and passengers, and improve safety at all the intersections.

The preferred alternative would provide the maximum potential improvement for the entire MOL corridor, as it allows for additional features that restrict and limit potential conflicting vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle movements across the busway at the highest number of crossings. The combination of grade separations and gate systems would significantly impede the ability of cross-street traffic and pedestrians to illegally cross the busway while a bus is approaching or within the crossing, which would result in a significant reduction of bus-involved collisions.

This alternative is recommended because:

- It achieves superior and significant travel time savings for MOL of up to 16 minutes/29 percent each direction;
- Ridership could be increased by approximately 39 percent;
- It readies the transportation corridor for LRT conversion;
- Safety is markedly improved by nearly eliminating vehicular intrusions into the busway;

Moreover, this alternative provides commensurate improvements to the adjacent regionally significant active transportation facility, in furtherance of first-last mile connectivity to transit. It also accommodates two other planned, intersecting transit: East San Fernando Valley and Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridors.

3. SCOPE:

Railroad Type Gates at 35 intersections along the OL:

Metro has performed a detailed traffic analysis in close coordination with the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) and is currently working with LADOT to address traffic impacts and additional delays due to gates. Metro will explore operating buses less frequently with longer headways with two-vehicle platoons to increase passenger capacity while minimizing the frequency of gate activation and resulting delays to cross traffic. Coordination with the City of Los Angeles is also underway in implementing a pilot installation of railroad gates at a non-public, traffic signal-controlled intersection on the MOL to test and verify the reliable activation and proper operation of gates for BRT application.

Van Nuys BRT Grade Separation (GS):

The MOL GS structure would elevate the busway and the associated station at Van Nuys Blvd. The MOL developed and coordinated six concepts for connecting the MOL Aerial Station with the ESFV Light Rail Transit (LRT) project. The preliminary engineering for the Van Nuys Grade Separation is planned to commence after the ESFV Light Rail Transit (LRT) project has analyzed the connectivity options and incorporated the selected option in the ESFV Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR).

Sepulveda BRT Separation:

The MOL GS structure would elevate the busway and the associated station at Sepulveda Blvd. Preliminary engineering is currently underway for the Sepulveda Grade Separation and will be coordinated with the Sepulveda Transit Corridor feasibility study.

Bike Path Grade Separations at Van Nuys and Sepulveda Blvds.

The Bike Path GS structure would elevate the bike path at Van Nuys and Sepulveda Blvds. The at-grade bike path will be maintained. The design of Bike Path GS will be developed in coordination and in parallel with the Van Nuys GS and Sepulveda GS.

3. PROJECT COST AND FUNDING

A preliminary rough order of magnitude (ROM) cost estimate of the recommended Project, based on conceptual designs, currently ranges from \$320 to \$393 million. A refined cost estimate will be determined after completion of the preliminary engineering of the gated intersections and the GS. The Project is currently funded with \$245.3 million in Measure M and \$75 million in SB-1 Local Partnership Program (LPP) Grant funds. Metro is committed to fund any cost increases to the Project above current programmed revenues.

4. PROJECT SCHEDULE:

| Project Milestone | | Existing | Proposed |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| Project Study Report Approved | | | |
| Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase | | 06/15/2018 | |
| Circulate Draft Environmental Document | Document Type | | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Project Report | | | |
| End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) | *** | 07/31/2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS&E) Phase | | | 11/01/18 |
| End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisem | ent Milestone) | | 08/30/20 |
| Begin Right of Way Phase | | 10/31/2018 | 11/01/18 |
| End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certificat | ion Milestone) | 03/31/2020 | 06/30/21 |
| Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Miles | stone) | 03/31/2020 | 08/01/21 |
| End Construction Phase (Construction Contract) | Acceptance Milestone) | 08/31/2023 | 02/28/25 |
| Begin Closeout Phase | · ••• | 10/31/2023 | 03/01/25 |
| End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report) | | 12/31/2024 | 12/31/25 |

GRADE SEPARATIONS:

GATES:

| Project Milestone | | Existing | Proposed |
|--|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| Project Study Report Approved | | | |
| Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase | | 06/15/2018 | |
| Circulate Draft Environmental Document | Document Type | | 07/26/18 |
| Draft Project Report | | | |
| End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) | | 07/31/2018 | 08/27/18 |
| Begin Design (PS&E) Phase | | | 11/01/18 |
| End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertiser | nent Milestone) | | 02/28/21 |
| Begin Right of Way Phase | | 10/31/2018 | 06/01/19 |
| End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certifica | tion Milestone) | 03/31/2020 | 06/30/21 |
| Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Mile | estone) | 03/31/2020 | 03/01/22 |
| End Construction Phase (Construction Contract | Acceptance Milestone) | 08/31/2023 | 02/28/25 |
| Begin Closeout Phase | | 10/31/2023 | 03/01/25 |
| End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report) | | 12/31/2024 | 12/31/25 |

5. POTENTIAL RISK AREAS

A number of potential risk areas identified will require further attention and analysis during subsequent project phases. The issues include:

<u>Risk Area 1:</u> Unacceptable traffic impacts from adding gates at some locations could cause delay in approval by the City.

As the gate systems require additional advance warning time, the project assumes changes to busway operations to minimize cross-traffic delays. The preferred alternative assumes that during peak periods, buses would operate in two-vehicle platoons at six-minute headways. This operation would allow the busway to carry the same amount of peak period riders at increased headways, thereby reducing the frequency of gate activation and reducing associated potential cross traffic delays.

<u>Mitigations</u>: Continue dialogue with City staff and continue to analyze traffic impacts.

Risk Area 2: New technology for bus platooning does not meet Metro requirements.

The current design of the traffic control systems for the four-quadrant gate systems and pedestrian gate systems assumes buses will be manually platooned with 2 buses per platoon with a 10 second gap between buses at 6 minute headways. New technology for bus platooning is being explored as part of the pilot gate testing to improve bus operation and potentially reduce traffic impacts.

Mitigations: Complete pilot gate testing as early as practical

<u>Risk Area 3:</u> Gates and platooning for bus transit does not exist in US; new technology to be developed.

Railroad Type Gates are common for railroad crossings, but none currently exist for stopping cross street traffic when buses approach the intersections. Metro is conducting a pilot gate to utilize loop detection for controlling gates for busway operation. Metro will also test other technologies for gate detection/control.

<u>Mitigations:</u> Develop technical solution in concert with current scope and design; Review alternate options in case pilot gate testing and bus platooning technology are not feasible.

<u>Risk Area 4:</u> Sepulveda and East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor Projects may affect MOL Grade Separations at Sepulveda and Van Nuys.

The Sepulveda Transit Corridor (STC) is completing a feasibility study that is evaluating a range of rail transit alternatives to serve the San Fernando Valley and the Westside Los Angeles, including the Los Angeles Internationa Airport (LAX) area. The feasibility study is expected to be completed in Fall 2019 and is looking at alternatives that connect to the MOL at Sepulveda or Van Nuys, the two locations that are recommended for grade separations as part of the MOL improvements project. The STC environmental review of selected alternatives is expected to begin in early 2020. East San Fernando Valley (ESFV) Light Rail Transit is currently preparing a Final EIS/EIR based on the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) recently selected by the Metro Board. In conjunction with the Final EIS/EIR, the ESFV team is modifying the LPA alignment to enable it to better connect with an elevated MOL station at Van Nuys. Preliminary Engineering (PE) for the MOL grade separation at Sepulveda Boulevard is currently underway, with anticipated completion in August 2019, before any information on STC connectivity/selected alternative is available. MOL construction may need to be modified for a future connection to STC. Grade separations may conflict with some STC alternatives or even become part of the STC project.

<u>Mitigations:</u> Early and ongoing coordination with Sepulveda and ESFV project teams.

<u>Risk Area 5:</u> MOL Van Nuys grade separation on hold until scope of connectivity with ESFV project is approved.

<u>Mitigations:</u> Continue close coordination with ESFV project team to reconcile station foot print.

<u>Risk Area 6:</u> Right-of-Way (ROW) impacts and design issues related to aerial bike path at Sepulveda and Van Nuys may exceed current forecasted budget.

Issues related to the aerial bike path at Sepulveda include:

- Property acquisitions required at Sepulveda with the re-routing of the existing at-grade pedestrian/bike path to the north of the station and an elevated bike path is also routed to the north of the station over Sepulveda Blvd.
- Sepulved a parking lot access road require relocationg through an adjacent property to fit additional escalators.
- Existing City of Los Angeles Depaprtment of Water and Power (LADWP) transformer serving LA Fitness is affected by the overhead proximity of the aerial bikeway.
- ROW is required in the north-east corner of Sepulveda Blvd. and includes driveway access which may result in a complicated and costly ROW take.
- Metro's existing parking easement would need to be terminated which will result in eliminating approximately 50 parking spaces at the north-east corner of Sepulveda Blvd.

<u>Mitigations:</u> Real Estate team to review and prepare ROM estimate for property acquisitions. Design team to review design of aerial bike path at Sepulveda and Van Nuys and develop alternate designs and finalize escalator location.

<u>Risk Area 7:</u> LADWP is requiring relocation of conflicting overhead power lines to underground. The cost of undergrounding power lines and the communication lines are significantly higher and may affect overall project cost.

<u>Mitigations</u>: Support utility design and finalize utility relocation matrix to develop a detailed schedule.

<u>Risk Area 8:</u> City agency review and approval time for drawing submittals, traffic management plans, traffic control plans and permits may delay project schedule.

<u>Mitigations:</u> Continue ongoing collaboration with City staff to streamline and prioritize design submittals and traffic control plans.

<u>Risk Area 9:</u> City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) Bikeway Project on Chandler.

LADOT recently informed us of the City's plan to implement a 3-mile bikeway project on Chandler Blvd., from Leghorn Ave. to Vineland Ave., that will be completed in 2020. It appears that these modifications would affect 8 intersections/crossings, reducing the east & west Chandler Ave approaches by 1 thru lane. The biggest impacts would likely occur at the Laurel Canyon and Coldwater Canyon intersections (where delays are already high). If traffic volumes remained asis (no diversion to other routes), then this bike lane would result in worse delay/LOS at all of these locations or potentially eliminate gates at affected crossings along Chandler.

Mitigations: Continue coordination with LADOT on this project.

6. PROJECT BENEFITS

Based on the Caltrans' Life-Cycle Benefit-Cost Analysis Model 6.2 (Cal-B/C v.6.2), provided in Attachment B, the Project would save commuters approximately \$220.4 million in travel time savings, \$121 million in vehicle operating cost savings, and \$10.3 million in emission cost savings over a 20-year period.

With a benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of 1.7, the Project is likely to generate economic benefits that justify its costs.

Summary Results of Revised Benefit/Cost Analysis for Scope Change:

| 18.000.000.000 | | | Passenger | Freight | Total Over | Average |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Life-Cycle Costs (mil. \$) | \$238.2 | ITEMIZED BENEFITS (mil. \$) | Benefits | Benefits | 20 Years | Annual |
| Life-Cycle Benefits (mil. \$) | \$404.3 | Travel Time Savings | \$220.4 | \$0.0 | \$220.4 | \$11.0 |
| Net Present Value (mil. \$) | \$166.1 | Veh. Op. Cost Savings | \$121.0 | \$0.0 | \$121.0 | \$6.1 |
| | | Accident Cost Savings | \$52.5 | \$0.0 | \$52.5 | \$2.6 |
| Benefit / Cost Ratio: | 1.7 | Emission Cost Savings | \$10.3 | \$0.0 | \$10.3 | \$0.5 |
| Rate of Return on Investment: | 9.3% | TOTAL BENEFITS | \$404.3 | \$0.0 | \$404.3 | \$20.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Payback Period: | 8 years | Person-Hours of Time Saved | | | 39,263,878 | 1,963,194 |
| Payback Period: Should benefit-cost results incl | | Person-Hours of Time Saved | Tor | <u>8</u> | 39,263,878 | |
| | | Person-Hours of Time Saved | <u>Tor</u> Total Over | <u>is</u> Áverage | | |
| | | Person-Hours of Time Saved | | _ | Value (mi | i <u>i. \$)</u> |
| hould benefit-cost results incl | lude: | | Total Over | Average | <u>Value (mi</u> Total Over | il. \$) Average Annual |
| hould benefit-cost results incl | lude: | EMISSIONS REDUCTION | Total Over 20 Years | Average Annual | <u>Value (mi</u> Total Over 2D Years | ii. <u>\$)</u> Average Annual \$0.0 |
| hould benefit-cost results incl 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) | lude: Y Defaciól = Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO2 Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 | Average Annual 40 | Value (mi Total Over 2D Years \$0.1 | ii. \$) Average |
| thould benefit-cost results inci 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) 2) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ | lude: Y Definisi = Y Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO ₂ Emissions Saved NO _X Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 | Average Annual 40 11,919 | Value (mi Total Over 2D Years \$0.1 \$6.8 | il. \$) Average Annual \$0.0 \$0.3 \$0.1 |
| hould benefit-cost results incl 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) | lude: Perfaits = Y Y Default = Y Default = Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO ₂ Emissions Saved NO _X Emissions Saved PM ₁₀ Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 2 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 | Value (mi Total Over 2D Years \$0.1 \$6.8 \$2.6 | il. \$) Average Annual \$0.0 \$0.3 \$0.1 |
| thould benefit-cost results inci 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) 2) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ | lude: Perfandi = Y Y Defandi = Y Defandi = Y Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO ₂ Emissions Saved NO _X Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 0 | Value (mi Total Over 2D Years \$0.1 \$6.8 \$2.6 | ii. \$) Average Annual \$0.0 \$0.3 |

The Project will provide optimal improvements to address five specific goals and needs: improve operations; improve ridership, address growth; support efficient land use; address safety concerns; and ensure cost effectiveness.

Improve Operating Speeds and Reliability

The number of times buses stop at traffic signals along the route significantly affects the overall MOL operating speeds and service. The Technical Study found that the west/northbound travel time from North Hollywood to Canoga station averaged 41.3 minutes. The east/southbound travel time from Canoga to North Hollywood station averaged approximately 38.5 minutes. Average time to travel the 17 miles end-to-end on the MOL from the Chatsworth to North Hollywood Stations during the weekday PM peak was as high as 50 to 55 minutes. Red lights result in delays to buses of approximately 10 minutes in the westbound and six minutes in the eastbound direction.

By providing grade separated busway and 35 gating intersections, bus speeds can be increased and current riders' complaints of excessive cross-Valley travel times and delays at intersections may be addressed. With the Project, MOL bus travel times are anticipated to be reduced by an average (peak and off-peak) of 12.6 minutes in the west/northbound direction and 3.4 minutes in the east/southbound direction, for a total average reduction in travel times of 16 minutes each way, a 39 percent reduction from current travel times. In addition, after Project completion, two-vehicle bus platoons will be used during peak periods to minimize the frequency of gate activation and delays to cross-traffic.

Improve Transit Ridership

In 2017, average daily ridership for the MOL was around 23,760 on a typical weekday, 13,768 on Saturdays, and 10,551 on Sundays (see Figure4). The Technical Study predicted that, without the Project, ridership is likely to increase to just 25,900 daily boardings by 2025.

Current operating speeds on the MOL corridor are approximately 20 to 21 mph, including delay/ dwell times for boardings/alighting at stations on all service days. The Project is expected to increase operating speeds to an average of 30 mph, a 50 percent increase over current levels. The Technical Study found that a 20 to 30 percent speed increase and travel time reliability may result in a ridership increase of approximately 39 percent. With the expected 50 percent speed improvement, ridership is likely to increase even more than the projections in the Technical Study.

Address Growth

The MOL is operating near capacity, with standing passenger loads and very frequent headways, up to every four minutes, during peak hours. To continue to meet demands, the Project will provide gating and grade separation of the busway as an innovative, safe, and cost-effective way to reduce BRT end-to-end travel time, thereby, allowing for more buses to operate in the corridor. By enhancing operational capacity through increased speeds, the Project will address ridership increases likely to result from population and employment growth. Population densities are concentrated north of the MOL corridor between the North Hollywood and Sepulveda Stations (see Figure 5). Employment densities are relatively consistent throughout the MOL service area with a concentration of jobs at and near the Warner Center and near major intersections on Van Nuys, Sepulveda, and Reseda Boulevards (see Figure 6). A total of 20 percent growth in population and 26 percent growth in employment from 2012 to 2040 are projected for the MOL service area1. The Project will address ridership increases resulting from this population and employment growth.

Support Efficient Land Use

By enhancing operational capacity with increased speeds and service availability and convenience on the MOL, the Project will address potential ridership increases.

Address Safety Concerns

Based on incident data from 2018, there were 24 collisions and an average of 5,000 to 6,000 red light violations (through movements by vehicles crossing the MOL corridor) recorded along the MOL corridor from North Hollywood to Canoga. Along the MOL corridor, red light photo enforcement cameras have been installed at 19 locations between Tujunga and Nordhoff.

Key locations on the MOL corridor will benefit from improvements that reduce conflicts between MOL buses, vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians. In particular, grade separations at key intersections can minimize conflicts and prevent incidents by physically separating the MOL corridor from perpendicular roadways. Railroad-style quadrant gates will address safety concerns by managing and monitoring vehicle and bicycle/pedestrian interactions with MOL operations. By blocking cars, pedestrians, and bicyclists from entering the busway when they do not have the right-of-way, the Project will improve safety for all as the number of collisions following Project completion is expected to drop significantly.

Ensure Cost Effectiveness

The MOL is a successful BRT system with 23,000 average weekday riders in 2018. As detailed in Attachment B, the Project has a benefit-cost ratio of 1.5, ensuring that costs are commensurate with benefits to continue the overall cost-effectiveness of the system.

7. ATTACHMENTS (Number of Pages)

A. Project Map

B. Updated Benefit Cost Analysis



ATTACHMENT A: PROJECT MAP

Comprehensive Benefit/Cost Analysis for Metro Orange Line BRT Improvements Scope Change

The following tables present the assumptions and results for the original and proposed scope of the Metro Orange Line BRT Improvements project using the Caltrans Benefit/Cost Analysis Model (BCA). Overall, the new assumptions and BCA Results for the proposed scope change reflect not only the scope change, but also improved understanding of and data for the entire project.

Summary of Tables:

• Table 1. Comparison of Benefit/Cost Analysis Assumptions

Table 1 shows the original and new values used for the Caltrans Benefit/Cost Analysis Model (BCA).

There is no change to most variables between the original and proposed scope change. This is because the proposed scope change does not cause changes to the model from which those values originate (i.e. the study area is the same). At the time of application for the original scope, the 2017 analysis focused on the 12.7-mile segment from North Hollywood to Canoga. The variables for which there are new values (i.e. variables related to travel time/delay) originate from a different model (Traffic Analysis Model). The 2018 analysis in Table 1 below shows the performance metrics for all segments of the Orange Line corridor. To facilitate understanding of the changed values, the line numbers in Table 1 correspond with the line numbers in Table 2, which provides explanation for changed values by comparing the methodology, assumptions, and approaches used for the original and new values.

• Table 2. Comparison of Methodology/Assumptions/Approach

Table 2 compares the methodology, assumptions, and approaches to the analyses used to develop the values for the BCA.

• Table 3. Original Benefit/Cost Analysis

As noted, the original BCA was conducted using values from analyses based on conceptual design and covering only a segment of the Orange Line for travel time/delay.

• Table 4. Revised Benefit/Cost Analysis for Scope Change

Using the new assumptions, the BC ratio for the proposed scope change is higher than the original scope. There is no change to emissions reduction

Attachment B

| 5000 # | Vàrdathe | Unit | Original Value* | New Value |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | (Trom Z01/ Analysis) | (estimated from 2018 Analysis) |
| | Ridership Increase (also | New MOL trips per day | 10,100 | No change |
| | decrease in auto trips) | New MOL trips per year | 3,191,600 | No change |
| | MOL In-Vehicle Travel Time Change | Minitae non tuin | North Hollywood to Canoga (12.7 miles) | North Hollywood to Chatsworth (18 miles) |
| | MOL Out-of-Vehicle Travel | Minutes ner trin (Peak) | - 12.0 | - 16 No observe |
| | Time Change | Minutes per trip (Off-Peak) | ı c | No change |
| | Parallel Roadway Travel Time | Minutes per trip | North Hollywood to Canoga (12.7 miles) | North Hollywood to Chatsworth (18 miles) |
| | Trips during Peak Period | vuureeuviai average) Percent | 42 70% | 54 No change |
| | Bus Vehicle Miles | Average weekday miles | 6183.1 | No change |
| | | Average Saturday miles | 3725.6 | No change |
| | | Average Sunday miles | 3487.4 | No change |
| | | Annual total estimate | 1,982,682 | No change |
| | Change in Automobile VMT | Daily VMT change | - 81,756 | No change |
| | | Annual VMT change | - 25,834,896 | No change |
| | Average automobile speed | Miles per hour | 20 | No change |
| | Vehicles crossing gate | Number of daily vehicles | 305,000 | 307,000 (with Kester) |
| | quadrant streets | Number of annual vehicles | 96,380,000 | 97,012,000 |
| | Vehicles crossing grade | Number of daily vehicles | 45,000 | 43,000 |
| | separation streets | Number of annual vehicles | 14,220,000 | 13,588,000 |
| 1-12 | Change in average cross traffic delay for gate | | | |
| | quadrants | Seconds of delay | 2 | 12 |
| 2 | Change in average cross traffic delay for grade | | | |
| | separations | Seconds of delay | ۲. ا | No change |

Page 2 of 6

Table 2. Comparison of Methodology/Assumptions/Approach

| Line | | 2017 Analysis | 2018 Analysis |
|------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Over | Overall Model (at all locations) | ocations) | |
| | Study Area | North Hollywood to Canoga (E-W segment, 12.7 miles)* | North Hollywood to Chatsworth (entire Orange Line, 18 miles) |
| | | * Although the 2017 analysis focused on the 12.7-mile segment of the Orange Line, the Project was extended to the entire 18-mile corridor (North Hollywood to Chatsworth) with the installation of gate systems at all crossings to provide the maximum potential improvement for the entire Orange Line | |
| | | corridor. | |
| 7 | Analysis Output | Analysis Output N-S crossing delay only | LOS analysis, all approaches included |
| m | Counts | 2015 counts | December 2017 counts; project conditions were grown using calculated SCAG growth rates |
| | Geometrics | Existing condition geometries for both existing and project conditions; no design elements included "Hybrid" project included one full grade separation | Project conditions include conceptual design, including proposed median and gate locations Two grade separations (one between Sepulveda and |
| 4 | | from Sepulveda to Van Nuys (including Kester), and road crossing closures at Corteen, Tyrone, and Densmore | City of LA driveway and one between Vesper and Van Nuys; gates at Kester) • Road crossing closure at Tyrone |
| s | Signal Timing | No changes to intersection signal timings from existing conditions to project conditions | Based on proposed geometric design changes, lead/lag phasing and new protected left-turn phasing added per consultation with LADOT |

Page 3 of 6

Attachment B

Attachment B

| | 2017 Analysis 2018 Analysis | Basic for affected movements (i.e. existing signal timing runs as-is independent of preemption). No advanced preemption or exit phasing assumed.LADOT Railroad Preemption Form used at each gate crossing (i.e. <u>hard</u> preemption). Form includes advanced preemption (i.e. pedestrian clearance & busway/track clearance time), gates lowering, gates down, and exit phasing prior to resumption of normal operations. | ations One 40-foot bus per 6 minutes Two 60-foot electric buses per 6 minutes, spaced 10 seconds apart as a platoon | No pedestrian crosswalks or counts included Pedestrian crosswalks, counts, and activity included | Additional Specific Intersection Notes | Existing conditions operations maintained in project NB/SB lead-lag left-turn phasing for project conditions Conditions with exception of overlaid basic preemption EB/WB lead-lag left-turn phasing for project conditions MO | Existing conditions operations maintained in project NB/SB lead-lag left-turn phasing for project conditions / conditions with exception of overlaid basic preemption /MO | Project conditions show the busway does not initiate preemption here or halt any phase operations. This suggests a proposed grade separation in the modeling.• EB/WB protected left-turn phasing for project conditions• Project conditions preprint• EB/WB protected left-turn phasing for project conditions• Proposed grade separation in the modeling.• All movements stop when bus platoon crosses intersection |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | Basic at for affect independent phasing | | No pede | ntersection | Existing conditior | Existing condition | Project co preemption proposed |
| • | | Preemption under Project Conditions | Bus Operations | Pedestrian Activity | tional Specific In | Chandler Boulevard/ Laurel Canyon Boulevard/MO L Busway | Chandler Boulevard/ Coldwater Canyon Boulevard/MO L Busway | Burbank Boulevard/ Fulton Avenue/MOL Busway |
| | Line | 9 | 7 | ∞ | Addi | 6 | 10 | = |

Table 2. Comparison of Methodology/Assumptions/Approach

Page 4 of 6

Attachment B

Table 2. Comparison of Methodology/Assumptions/Approach

| 2018 Analysis | SBR eliminated under project conditions |
|---------------|--|
| 2017 Analysis | Tampa Avenue/ Existing conditions operations maintained in project Copham Conditions with exception of overlaid basic preemption Susway Susway |
| | Tampa Avenue/ Topham Street/MOL Busway |
| Line " | 12 |



Table 3. Original Benefit/Cost Analysis

Table 4. Revised Benefit/Cost Analysis for Scope Change

| > | INVESTMENT ANALYSIS SUMMARY RESULTS | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Life-Cycle Costs (mil. \$) \$238.2 | | Passenger | Freight | Total Over | Average |
| | | Benefits | Benefits | 20 Years | Annual |
| | | \$220.4 | \$0.0 | \$220.4 | <u>\$11.</u> |
| Net Present Value (mil. \$) \$166.1 | | \$121.0 | \$0.0 | \$121.0 | \$6. |
| Benefit / Cost Ratio: 17 | Accident Cost Savings | \$52.5 | \$0.0 | \$52.5 | \$2. |
| Benefit / Cost Ratio: 1.7 | | \$10.3 | \$0.0 | \$10.3 | \$0. |
| Rate of Return on Investment: 9.3% | TOTAL BENEFITS | \$404.3 | \$0.0 | \$404.3 | \$20. |
| | Person-Hours of Time Saved | | [| 39,263,878 | 1,963,19 |
| Payback Period: 8 years | Person-Hours of Time Saved | | | | |
| Payback Period: 8 years | Person-Hours of Time Saved | Tor | - | Value (m | 1 <u>. S)</u> |
| Payback Period: 8 years | Person-Hours of Time Saved | Total Over | Average | <u>Value (m</u> Total Over | <u>I. S)</u> Averoge |
| ayback Period: 8 years | EMISSIONS REDUCTION | Total Over 20 Years | Average Annual | <u>Value (m</u> Total Over 20 Years | 1. <u>\$)</u> Average Annual |
| ayback Period: 8 years build benefit-cost results include: Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Debute Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 | Average Annual 40 | Value (m Total Over 20 Years \$0.1] | <u>I. S)</u> Average Annual S0. |
| ayback Period: 8 years ould benefit-cost results include:) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Debutt=Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO ₂ Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 | Average Annual | Value (mi Total Over 20 Years \$0.1 \$6.8 | I.S) Average Annual \$0. \$0. |
| Payback Period: 8 years ould benefit-cost results include:) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Debut = Y) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ Y Debut = Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO ₂ Emissions Saved NO _x Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 | Value (mi Total Over 20 Years \$0.1] \$6.8 \$2.6 | I.S) Averoga Annual \$0. \$0. \$0. |
| Payback Period: 8 years ould benefit-cost results include:) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Debut = Y) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/ Y Debut = Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO2 Emissions Saved NOx Emissions Saved PM10 Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 2 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 0 | Value (mi Total Over 20 Years \$0.1 \$6.8 | I.S) Averoga Annual \$0. \$0. \$0. |
| Payback Period: 8 years rould benefit-cost results include: 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y Debut = Y 2) Vehicle Oparating Costs? (y/ Y Debut = Y B) Accident Costs? (y/n) Y Debut = Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO2 Emissions Saved NOx Emissions Saved PM10 Emissions Saved PM25 Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 2 2 2 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 0 0 | Value (mi Total Over 20 Years \$0.1 \$6.8 \$2.6 \$0.5 | I. S) Average Annual S0. S0. \$0. |
| Payback Period: 8 years nould benefit-cost results include: 1) Induced Travel? (y/n) Y 2) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/l Y 2) Vehicle Operating Costs? (y/l Y 3) Accident Cests? (y/n) Y | EMISSIONS REDUCTION CO Emissions Saved CO2 Emissions Saved NOx Emissions Saved PM10 Emissions Saved | Total Over 20 Years 800 238,371 65 2 | Average Annual 40 11,919 3 0 | Value (mi Total Over 20 Years \$0.1] \$6.8 \$2.6 | 1. S) Averoge |

ROAD REPAIR & ACCOUNTBILITY ACT OF 2017 LOCAL PARTNERSHIP COMPETITIVE PROGRAM SCOPE CHANGE AMENDMENT REQUEST METRO ORANGE LINE BUS RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

> Exhibit D Caltrans Recommendation

ISSUE:

Should the California Transportation Commission approve a scope change amendment for the Senate Bill 1 Local Partnership Competitive Program LA METRO Bus Rapid Transit Improvement Project?

BACKGROUND:

The Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvement Project was adopted as part of the 2018 Local Partnership Competitive Program. While still in the design process, it was revealed to the Department in early 2019 that a scope change was needed due to a more cost-effective and efficient design, that will also allow connectivity with the East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor and Sepulveda Pass projects.

SUMMARY:

The scope change altered a busway grade separation from one bridge spanning over five intersections to two smaller bridges spanning over four intersections, adding a quadrant gate to an intersection in the middle of the five intersections, that was to be a grade separation in the original design.

The California Department of Transportation has worked with California Transportation Commission staff and LA METRO to ensure there are no major changes in outputs, outcomes, or benefits. The benefits of the project as presented in the application were vigorously compared to the benefits of the new design.

After thorough review by Commission and Department staff, and consultations with engineering staff regarding the revisions, it was determined that although the design scope change of the project may be considered significant, the effect on the original outputs, outcomes, and benefits as promised in the original project application, are minor.

RECOMMENDATION:

As the circumstances surrounding the scope change were unforeseen at the time of application, and the benefits of the project are nearly the same after the design change, the California Department of Transportation recommends the California Transportation Commission approve the change in scope for the Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvement Project.