

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: June 24, 2020

From: MITCH WEISS, Executive Director

Reference Number: 4.22, Action

Prepared By: Christine Gordon
Associate Deputy Director

Published Date: June 12, 2020

Subject: Amendment to the Local Partnership Formulaic Funding Distribution to add Incentive Funding for one Eligible Jurisdiction, Resolution LPP-P-1920-08

Recommendation:

Staff recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve, by resolution, the amendment to the Local Partnership Formulaic Funding Distribution to add incentive funding for the City and County of San Francisco, totaling \$5,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2020-21.

Issue:

The City and County of San Francisco informed Commission staff of their successful new voter-approved 25-year business excise tax measure (Proposition D), which is effective January 1, 2020. Commission staff reviewed the documents submitted by the agency to verify eligibility and establish the incentive funding amounts.

Approval of this amendment to the Local Partnership Formulaic Program Funding Share Distribution will add \$5,000,000 of incentive funding to the City and County of San Francisco. The incentive funding is available for programming through June 30, 2021. Therefore, the set-aside incentive funding amount for Fiscal Year 2020-21 will be reduced by \$5,000,000 to \$15,000,000 for eligible jurisdictions.

Unused incentive funding will be redistributed based on the program funding distribution (60 percent via formulaic and 40 percent via competitive) in the next fiscal year.

Background:

Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), which created the Local Partnership Program, was signed by the Governor on April 28, 2017.

Assembly Bill 115 (Chapter 20, Statutes of 2017), signed by the Governor on June 27, 2017, clarified SB 1 language regarding local and regional transportation agency eligibility and expanded the types of projects eligible for the program. The objective of the Local Partnership Formulaic Program is to reward counties, cities, districts, and regional transportation agencies in which voters have approved fees or taxes solely dedicated to transportation improvements.

On March 25, 2020, the Commission adopted the 2020 Local Partnership Formulaic Funding Distribution for Fiscal Years 2020-21 through 2022-23, which included formulaic funding for 44 agencies and set-aside incentive funding of \$20,000,000 annually.

The Local Partnership Formulaic Program recognizes new or renewed voter approved self-help efforts and incentivizes jurisdictions to pursue future tax measures, tolls, or fees. One-time incentive funding is provided to jurisdictions that seek and receive voter approval of new or renewed tax measures, tolls, or fees, if those tax measures, tolls, and fees have a minimum period of ten-years, are dedicated solely to transportation, and, for sales taxes are equal to or greater than one quarter cent.

The incentive funding is equal to the jurisdiction's projected annual revenue of the voter-approved tax initiative, not to exceed \$5,000,000 per measure and \$20,000,000 total annually.

On November 5, 2019, the City and County of San Francisco successfully passed a new voter-approved tax measure, Proposition D. This measure imposes a business excise tax on the net rider fares for rides facilitated by commercial ride-share companies and rides provided by autonomous vehicles and private transit services vehicles, to fund transportation operations and infrastructure for traffic congestion mitigation in the City. The tax went into effect on January 1, 2020 with a projected annual revenue estimated at \$32 million.

Resolution LPP-P-1920-08

Be it Resolved, that the Commission does hereby approve the amendment to the Local Partnership Formulaic Funding Distribution to add incentive funding for the City and County of San Francisco, totaling \$5,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2020-21.

Attachment:

- Attachment A: Amended Local Partnership Formulaic Funding Distribution

Local Partnership Program			2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Local Partnership Program Annual Appropriation			\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
Set-Aside Incentive Funding			\$ 15,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Competitive Program (40%)			\$ 72,000	\$ 72,000	\$ 72,000
2018 Competitive Overprogramming (\$8.83 Million)			\$ (2,944)	\$ (2,944)	\$ (2,944)
2019 Formulaic Program Incentives (\$20 Million)			\$ (6,667)	\$ (6,667)	\$ (6,667)
Subtotal Available for Competitive Program			\$ 62,389	\$ 62,389	\$ 62,389
Formulaic Program (60%)			\$ 108,000	\$ 108,000	\$ 108,000
Proposed Annual Formulaic Funding Distribution					
Tolls + VRF + parcel/property tax	8.62%		\$ 9,307	\$ 9,307	\$ 9,307
North sales tax	25.83%		\$ 27,900	\$ 27,900	\$ 27,900
South sales tax	65.55%		\$ 70,793	\$ 70,793	\$ 70,793
Funding Based on Voter-Approved Tolls, VRF, Parcel/Property Tax Revenue			Revenue (100%)	2020-21	2021-22
			Funding	Funding	Funding
Bay Area Toll Authority (RM1, RM2) (RM3 eff. 1/1/19)		\$ 428,243	\$ 6,059	\$ 6,059	\$ 6,059
Alameda County Transportation Commission (Measure F-VRF)		\$ 13,034	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Transportation Authority Marin County (Measure B-VRF)		\$ 2,386	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
City and County of San Francisco (Prop. D)		\$ 32,000	\$ 453	\$ 453	\$ 453
San Francisco County Transportation Authority (Prop AA-VRF)		\$ 4,956	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (Measure M-VRF)		\$ 7,471	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (Measure B-VRF)		\$ 16,545	\$ 234	\$ 234	\$ 234
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (Parcel Tax)		\$ 29,509	\$ 417	\$ 417	\$ 417
Bay Area Rapid Transit District (Parcel Tax)		\$ 66,710	\$ 944	\$ 944	\$ 944
City of Orinda (Parcel Tax-Measures J & L)		\$ 1,303	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Yuba County Depletion Surcharge-Measure D (Parcel Tax)		\$ 483	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Totals			\$ 602,640	\$ 9,307	\$ 9,307
Funding Based on Voter-Approved Sales Tax Revenue and Population - North			2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
County (Agency)	Revenue (25%)	Population (75%)	Funding	Funding	Funding
Alameda (Alameda County Transportation Commission)	\$ 326,329	1,669,301	\$ 3,803	\$ 3,803	\$ 3,803
Contra Costa (Contra Costa Transportation Authority)	\$ 95,310	1,155,879	\$ 2,182	\$ 2,182	\$ 2,182
City of El Cerrito	\$ 1,581	N/A	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
City of Martinez	\$ 3,668	N/A	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Fresno (Fresno County Transportation Authority)	\$ 78,812	1,018,241	\$ 1,904	\$ 1,904	\$ 1,904
Lake (City of Clearlake)	\$ 2,002	14,828	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Madera (Madera County Transportation Authority)	\$ 10,564	159,536	\$ 306	\$ 306	\$ 306
Marin (Transportation Authority Marin County)	\$ 28,334	262,879	\$ 379	\$ 379	\$ 379
Marin (Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit District)	\$ 19,941	-	\$ 209	\$ 209	\$ 209
Mendocino (City of Fort Bragg)	\$ 890	7,478	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Mendocino (City of Point Arena)	\$ 49	463	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Mendocino (City of Willits)	\$ 839	4,996	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Merced (Merced County Transportation Authority)	\$ 19,360	282,928	\$ 520	\$ 520	\$ 520
Monterey (Transportation Agency for Monterey County)	\$ 29,982	445,414	\$ 639	\$ 639	\$ 639
Monterey (Monterey-Salinas Transit District)	\$ 9,703	-	\$ 228	\$ 228	\$ 228
Napa (Napa Valley Transportation Authority)	\$ 20,340	140,779	\$ 296	\$ 296	\$ 296
Nevada (Nevada City)	\$ 683	3,122	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Nevada (Town of Truckee)	\$ 2,627	16,434	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
Sacramento (Sacramento Transportation Authority)	\$ 130,647	1,546,174	\$ 2,930	\$ 2,930	\$ 2,930
San Benito (Council of San Benito County Governments) (eff. 4/1/19)	\$ -	62,296	\$ 200	\$ 200	\$ 200
San Francisco (San Francisco County Transportation Authority)	\$ 112,465	883,869	\$ 1,805	\$ 1,805	\$ 1,805
San Joaquin (San Joaquin County Transportation Authority)	\$ 64,984	770,385	\$ 1,459	\$ 1,459	\$ 1,459
San Mateo (San Mateo County Transportation Authority)	\$ 98,386	774,485	\$ 754	\$ 754	\$ 754
San Mateo (San Mateo County Transit District)	\$ 98,386	-	\$ 754	\$ 754	\$ 754
San Mateo (San Mateo County Transit District) (add'l measure eff. 7/1/19)	\$ -	-	\$ 414	\$ 414	\$ 414
Santa Clara (Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority)	\$ 515,156	1,954,286	\$ 4,911	\$ 4,911	\$ 4,911
Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission)	\$ 21,783	274,871	\$ 296	\$ 296	\$ 296
Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District)	\$ 21,949	-	\$ 296	\$ 296	\$ 296
Sonoma (Sonoma County Transportation Authority)	\$ 25,854	500,675	\$ 491	\$ 491	\$ 491
Sonoma (Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit District)	\$ 19,941	-	\$ 470	\$ 470	\$ 470
Stanislaus (Stanislaus County Transportation Authority)	\$ 45,959	558,972	\$ 1,055	\$ 1,055	\$ 1,055
Totals			\$ 1,806,524	12,508,291	\$ 27,900
Funding Based on Voter-Approved Sales Tax Revenue and Population - South			2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
County (Agency)	Revenue (25%)	Population (75%)	Funding	Funding	Funding
Imperial (Imperial County Local Transportation Authority)	\$ 15,356	190,266	\$ 507	\$ 507	\$ 507
Los Angeles (Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority)	\$ 3,470,554	10,253,716	\$ 37,506	\$ 37,506	\$ 37,506
Orange (Orange County Transportation Authority)	\$ 330,347	3,222,498	\$ 8,851	\$ 8,851	\$ 8,851
Riverside (Riverside County Transportation Commission)	\$ 196,393	2,440,124	\$ 6,495	\$ 6,495	\$ 6,495
San Bernardino (San Bernardino County Transportation Authority)	\$ 181,837	2,192,203	\$ 5,856	\$ 5,856	\$ 5,856
San Diego (San Diego Regional Transportation Commission)	\$ 314,260	3,351,786	\$ 9,093	\$ 9,093	\$ 9,093
Santa Barbara (Santa Barbara County Local Transportation Authority)	\$ 40,917	454,593	\$ 1,227	\$ 1,227	\$ 1,227
Tulare (Tulare County Transportation Authority)	\$ 34,208	479,112	\$ 1,258	\$ 1,258	\$ 1,258
Totals			\$ 4,583,872	22,584,298	\$ 70,793
Incentive Funding			2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
City and County of San Francisco			\$ 5,000		