THE WINE COUNTRY GATEWAY RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARK, LLC

Parcel 12271-1 & 12271-2

Wellsona Safety Improvement Project State Route 101 San Luis Obispo County District 5

Rizwan Tanvir Assistant Chief Engineer, Caltrans

Presentation Overview

- 1. Project Purpose and Scope
- 2. Four Statutory Findings
- 3. Property Owner's Contentions and Department's Responses
- 4. Summary

Project Vicinity



Project Location



San Paso Truck Stop Parcel



San Paso Truck Stop Parcel Easement



Existing Roadway



Project Purpose

- To reduce the number and severity of collisions.
- US 101 at Wellsona Road intersection is experiencing a pattern of broadside and left turn merge related collisions.

Total collisions: 15 Fatalities: 3

Data Period: 1/1/2016 to 12/31/2020 Project Report Dated: 2/3/2020



Interim Safety Improvements



- 1985 Adding NB acceleration lane & left turn lane
- 2003 Extending SB & NB left turn lanes
- 2013 Installing SB flashing beacon & "Truck Crossing" sign
- 2014 Widening to accommodate trucks off-tracking
- 2016 Installing NB & SB double flashing beacons & lighting
- 2017 Upgrading signs & striping



Project Scope

- Close at-grade median opening at Wellsona Road and US 101 intersection.
- Build new undercrossing and frontage road.





Statutory Findings

Code of Civil Procedure 1245.230

- 1. The public interest and necessity require the proposed project.
- 2. This project is planned and located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.
- 3. The property sought to be condemned is necessary for the proposed project.
- 4. An offer of just compensation has been made in accordance with Government Code 7267.2.

Existing Roadway

1. The public interest and necessity require the proposed project.

US 101 and Wellsona Rd. intersection creates **24 Crossing Conflict Points** for:

- US 101 traffic making left turn movements to Wellsona Rd.
- Local traffic on Wellsona Rd. going across US 101.

This safety project will :

- $\checkmark\,$ Close the median opening.
- ✓ Eliminate 24 Crossing Conflict Points.



Proposed Safety Improvements

- 2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.
- Proposed undercrossing, San Paso Circle frontage Rd., and closing median opening will avoid:
- ✓ Crossing high speed US 101 traffic
- ✓ Environmentally Sensitive Area and Blue Line Stream
- ✓ Flood Plain
- ✓ Blue Oak Woodland
- ✓ Improved Truck Stop Parking Area



Minimized Project Impacts

- 2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.
- ✓ Reduced R/W easement area by 0.4 acres.
- Avoided existing fuel storage tanks, water well, and generator.
- Eliminated trucks queuing up in front of the truck stop.
- Necessary easement is on unimproved to minimally improved land.
- ✓ Mitigated Neg. Dec'l. Env. Doc. determines no adverse environmental impacts.



Parcel Acquisition

3. The property sought to be condemned is necessary for the proposed project.

 Opt. 1 – Construct an undercrossing and frontage Rd. to eliminate left turn and cross highway movements.

Accepted

• **Opt. 2** – Signalize the intersection.

Rejected

- Opt. 3 Shift the new undercrossing to the south.
 Rejected
- Opt. 4 Build an interchange.
 Rejected



Offer

4. An offer of just compensation has been made in accordance with Government Code 7267.2.

Offer Made: December 15, 2021.

Offer rescinded on March 29, 2022: Design refinements including a larger radius of San Paso Circle frontage Rd. on the westside that reduced the amount of right of way required from the San Paso Truck Stop parcel by 0.4 acres.

Revised Final Written Offer Made: October 31, 2022.

Summary of Communication with Property Owner

- ✓ 9/20/19 Sent a public hearing letter during the DED circulation. Advertised in the San Luis Obispo Tribune. No comments received from owner.
- ✓ 2/5/21 Sent a Notice of Decision to Appraise.
- \checkmark 4/5/21 Visited project site and inspected property with owner.
- ✓ 12/21/21 Appraisal and first written offer presented to property owner.

Communication since first written offer:

Type of Contact	Number of Contacts
Mailing of Information	5
Emails	28
Phone Calls	25
In-Person Meetings	3

- ✓ 8/8/22 & 3/2/23 Responded to CPRA requests.
- ✓ 5/30/23 Conducted District Condemnation Evaluation meeting.
- ✓ 8/23/23 Responded to owner's first alternate design proposal.
- ✓ 10/4/23 Conducted HQ Condemnation Panel Review Meeting.
- ✓ 11/13/23 Formally responded on southern alignment proposal.

1. The public interest and necessity require the proposed project.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

Project should be an interchange.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

- There is not enough right of way
- Traffic volumes do not warrant an interchange.

2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

Project was designed without owner's input.

Project cuts off access to the southern part of property.

Stage construction will impact business.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

Caltrans shared project design files and fully vetted the owner's alternative design proposals.

Access to the southern part of the property is provided by Stockdale Road and a gate along San Paso Circle.

Detours will direct traffic to the truck stop during construction.

2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

Right turn from Wellsona Road into the truck stop is too tight.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

Existing turn moves require tight U-turns to get to the pumps and a left-turn against oncoming traffic.



2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS (Cont'd):

Right turn from Wellsona Road into the truck stop is too tight.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

Design truck turning template shows trucks can make right turns into the truck stop safely avoiding left turn across oncoming traffic.



2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS (Cont'd):

Right turn from Wellsona Road into the truck stop is too tight.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

As an alternative, an option to add a rightturn-in only driveway was offered.



2. The project is planned or located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

The project should be shifted to the south.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

Impacts would include:

- Need for add'l R/W
- Diversion of federally regulated Blue-Line stream.
- Removal of County protected Blue Oak Woodland
- Encroaching environmentally sensitive areas and flood plain



3. The property sought to be condemned is necessary for the proposed project.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

The subject property is not required for the project.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

The proposed undercrossing cannot be constructed within the existing state right of way.

The undercrossing cannot be shifted to the south due to environmentally sensitive area, flood plain, new easements and Blue Oak woodland.

The current design minimizes the right of way required from the San Paso Truck Stop.

4. An offer of just compensation has been made in accordance with Government Code 7267.2.

PROPERTY OWNER CONTENDS:

An offer of just compensation was not made.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE:

On October 31, 2022, a proper and legally sufficient offer of just compensation based on the current design, was made to the owner in accordance with Govt. Code §7267.2.

The offer included a copy of the State's appraisal and a summary of the appraisal report.



- 1. The public interest and necessity require the proposed project. Yes.
- 2. This project is planned and located in a manner that will be most compatible with the greatest public good and least private injury. Yes.
- 3. The property sought to be condemned is necessary for the proposed project. Yes.
- 4. An offer of just compensation has been made in accordance with Government Code 7267.2. Yes.