

From: [Pamela Brown](#)
To: [California Transportation Commission@CATC](mailto:California.Transportation.Commission@CATC)
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 9:35:18 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Pamela Brown

From: [Ross Heckmann](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 9:36:57 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Ross Heckmann

From: [al shayne](mailto:alshayne@catc.ca.gov)
To: [California Transportation Commission@CATC](mailto:California.Transportation.Commission@CATC)
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 9:44:49 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
al shayne

From: [Tina Colafranceschi](mailto:Tina.Colafranceschi)
To: [California Transportation Commission@CATC](mailto:California.Transportation.Commission@CATC)
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 10:04:51 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Tina Colafranceschi

From: [Wayne Steffes](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 10:43:24 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Wayne Steffes

From: [Mike Evans](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 11:36:19 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Mike Evans

From: [Judith Smith](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 11:00:41 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Judith Smith

From: [J. Barry Gurdin](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 4:54:04 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have eit

Sincerely,
J. Barry Gurdin

From: [Chris Evans](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 9:06:58 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Chris Evans

From: [Roger Schmidt](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2024 10:53:48 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Roger Schmidt

From: [SoCoCAN!](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2024 5:03:27 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear Commissioners,

Sonoma County Climate Activist Network (SoCoCAN!) opposes the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

We believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

We believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sonoma County Climate Activist Network (SoCoCAN!)

<https://www.SonomaCountyCAN.org>

From: [Jana Mariposa Niernberger Muhar](#)
To: California.Transportation.Commission@CATC
Subject: Please Drop Funding for Richardson Grove Project
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2024 7:57:27 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear California Transportation Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am one of the thousands of people who oppose the Richardson Grove Project because of the risks that the project poses to old-growth redwoods located in Richardson Grove State Park. This project has been controversial since its outset in 2007 and has been the subject of repeated litigation, which has delayed the project. At issue is the agency's conclusion that road construction, including the cutting through and paving over the shallow root system of old-growth redwoods won't materially impact these trees. New science has emerged that confirms that these kinds of road construction activities are known to have impacted the growth and survival of other old-growth redwood trees. Litigation concerning this project is ongoing.

I believe that the purpose and need for this project has also changed. The Richardson Grove Project was initially proposed because of a lack of STAA route into and out of the area. But in the 17 years since this project was originally proposed, two new routes have either been improved to allow for STAA access (the "Buckhorn Summit Project" on Highway 299) or have been approved and are awaiting construction (the "197/199 Project" on Highways 197/199).

I believe that the CTC should reassess whether this project is desirable given the long history of public opposition to this project and because of improvements elsewhere that facilitate STAA traffic through Humboldt and Del Norte Counties.

Please vote no on new PS&E funding.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Jana Mariposa Niernberger Muhar