

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: January 29-30, 2026

From: TANISHA TAYLOR, Executive Director

Reference Number: 4.26, Action

Prepared By: Elika Changizi
Assistant Deputy Director

Published Date: January 16, 2026

Subject: 2021 Active Transportation Program Amendment for the La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connections Project in San Diego County, Resolution G-26-28, Amending Resolution G-21-46

Recommendation:

Staff recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve an amendment to deprogram \$861,000 in Construction cost savings from the 2021 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 5) La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connection Project (PPNO 1462), located in San Diego County.

Issue:

In June 2021, the Commission adopted a program of projects that included the City of La Mesa's (City) La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connection Project. The City's project was programmed with \$180,000 for the Project Approval and Environmental Document phase, \$375,000 for the Plans, Specifications and Estimates phase, and \$3,407,000 for the Construction phase, totaling \$3,962,000 in Active Transportation Program funding.

The City is requesting a project scope amendment (under Reference Number 4.19 of this meeting agenda) to remove 370 linear feet of sidewalk, 4,900 linear feet of Class II bicycle lanes, and three ramp reconstructions to meet the Americans with Disabilities Act. Because the removed scope will be completed through the City's La Mesa Boulevard Complete Streets Segment 2 project, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) has determined the revised scope will still deliver the overall active transportation benefits of the project as compared to the original scope. Since the City's project scope amendment proposes a reduction in scope, the Caltrans analysis determined \$861,000 in Construction cost savings, reducing the programmed Construction amount to \$2,546,000. These cost savings will be returned to the Active Transportation Program for future programming.

Background:

In June 2021, the Commission approved the Cycle 5 Active Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Component for nine of the ten large MPOs, which included the City of La Mesa's La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connection Project, under Resolution G-21-46. Prior to adoption of the Cycle 5 Active Transportation Program, Commission staff worked with Caltrans and implementing agencies to review project delivery details identified in the recommended projects' Project Programming Request for accuracy. Per the 2025 Active Transportation Program Guidelines, Commission staff can recommend additional changes to the program through program amendments as necessary.

Resolution G-26-28:

Be It Resolved, that the California Transportation Commission does hereby amend the Active Transportation Program to deprogram \$861,000 in Construction cost savings from the 2021 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 5) La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connection Project, located in San Diego County. The cost savings will be returned to the Active Transportation Program for programming in a future cycle.

Attachment:

- Attachment A: Amended 2021 Active Transportation Program of Projects for the Metropolitan Planning Organization Component

**2021 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Amended Program of Projects
Resolution G-26-28, Amending Resolution G-21-46
(\$1,000's)**

Reference Number 4.26
January 29-30, 2026
Attachment A

Amendments are shown in bold and strikethrough and highlighted in yellow

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Recommended ATP Funding	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	PA&E	PS&E	ROW SUP [‡]	ROW	CON SUP [‡]	CON	CON-NI	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	State Score	Regional Score or Rank*
FCOG	6-Clovis, City of-1	Fresno	Sierra Vista Elementary Area Sidewalk Improvements	\$ 997	\$ 997	\$ 25	\$ 96	\$ 876	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ 96	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 876	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	79	74.5
FCOG	6-Coalinga, City of-1	Fresno	Coalinga East Polk Street Bike/Ped Safety and Connectivity Initiative	\$ 1,770	\$ 218	\$ -	\$ 23	\$ 144	\$ 51	\$ 23	\$ 144	\$ -	\$ 51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure + NI - Small	x		85	71.33
FCOG	6-Fresno, City of-3	Fresno	Cross, Walk & Roll! SRTS in Central Fresno	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,274	\$ 2	\$ 129	\$ -	\$ 1,143	\$ 2	\$ 119	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 1,122	\$ 21	Infrastructure + NI - Small	x	x	91	80
FCOG	6-Orange Cove, City of-1	Fresno	Bike Lane, Sidewalk and Crossing Improvement Project	\$ 973	\$ 973	\$ 25	\$ 109	\$ 839	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ 109	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 839	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	79	74.17
FCOG	6-Reedley, City of-1	Fresno	Jefferson Elementary Safe Routes to School	\$ 1,428	\$ 1,353	\$ -	\$ 340	\$ -	\$ 1,013	\$ -	\$ 125	\$ -	\$ 215	\$ -	\$ 1,013	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	N/A	72.4
KCOG	6-Delano, City of-2	Kern	ATP-5 Bike Lane and Sidewalk Gap Improvement Project	\$ 925	\$ 911	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 911	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 120	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 716	\$ 75	Infrastructure + NI - Small	x	x	81	81
KCOG	6-Kern Council of Governments-1	Kern	Safe Routes for Cyclists in Kern County's Disadvantaged Communities	\$ 826	\$ 792	\$ 792	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 792	Non-Infrastructure	x		84	84
KCOG	9-Tehachapi, City of-1	Kern	SRTS Dennison Road Bicycle/Pedestrian Corridor Improvement Project	\$ 2,437	\$ 2,432	\$ 345	\$ 2,087	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 225	\$ -	\$ 120	\$ -	\$ 2,087	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		86	86
MTC	4-Alameda County-2	Alameda	E. Lewelling Boulevard Safe and Complete Street for Active Transportation	\$ 9,233	\$ 2,996	\$ -	\$ 2,996	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,996	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x	x	84	100
MTC	4-Emeryville, City of-2	Alameda	40th Street Protected Bikeway and Pedestrian Improvements	\$ 13,915	\$ 1,374	\$ 1,374	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,374	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		87	96
MTC	4-California Department of Transportation-3	Contra Costa	Central Avenue I-80 Undercrossing Ped/Bike Improvements	\$ 4,333	\$ 3,833	\$ 535	\$ 1,050	\$ -	\$ 2,248	\$ 535	\$ 677	\$ 148	\$ 225	\$ 477	\$ 1,771	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		83	97
MTC	4-Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)-1	Marin	Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Shared Use Path Gap Closure	\$ 5,612	\$ 4,302	\$ 4,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,302	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		80	101
MTC	4-San Francisco County Transportation Authority-1 [§]	San Francisco	Yerba Buena Island Multi-use Pathway Project	\$ 89,400	\$ 3,800	\$ -	\$ 3,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		73	93
MTC	4-San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency-1 [§]	San Francisco	Folsom Streetscape Project	\$ 38,981	\$ 7,040	\$ 7,040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,040	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		90	92
MTC	4-San Mateo, City of-1	San Mateo	Delaware Street Safe Routes to School Corridor	\$ 1,661	\$ 1,661	\$ 37	\$ 213	\$ 10	\$ 1,401	\$ 37	\$ 213	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 1,401	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small		x	72	93
MTC	4-Santa Rosa, City of-1 [§]	Sonoma	Santa Rosa US Highway 101 Bicycle and Pedestrian Overcrossing	\$ 27,100	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x	x	88	93
SACOG	3-Placerville, City of-1 [§]	El Dorado	Placerville Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	\$ 26,913	\$ 1,220	\$ -	\$ 1,220	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,040	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x	x	80	85
SACOG	3-Citrus Heights, City of-1	Sacramento	Old Auburn Road Complete Streets - Phase I	\$ 12,096	\$ 2,115	\$ 609	\$ -	\$ 1,506	\$ -	\$ 609	\$ 914	\$ -	\$ 592	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large			71	80
SACOG	3-Elk Grove, City of-1	Sacramento	Laguna Creek Inter-Regional Trail Crossing at State Route 99	\$ 7,770	\$ 504	\$ 504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large			79	81
SACOG	3-Sacramento, City of-2	Sacramento	American River Bridge Shared-use Path	\$ 7,000	\$ 2,700	\$ -	\$ 2,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,700	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large			N/A	85
SACOG	3-West Sacramento, City of-3	Yolo	Sycamore Trail (Phase 3)	\$ 2,689	\$ 2,500	\$ 80	\$ 420	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ 80	\$ 320	\$ -	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x	x	N/A	80
SACOG	3-Winters, City of-1	Yolo	SR128/I-505 Overcrossing (Br. 22-0110)/ Russell Blvd Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	\$ 9,953	\$ 414	\$ 414	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 414	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		74	77
SACOG	3-Yuba County-2	Yuba	Garden Avenue Safe Route to School Project	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,320	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ 2,270	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,270	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x	x	85	82
SANDAG	11-La Mesa, City of-1	San Diego	La Mesa Bike and Sidewalk Connection Project	\$ 4,488	\$ 3,962 \$3,101	\$ 180	\$ 375	\$ 2,407 \$2,546	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ 375	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,407 \$2,546	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		47	2
SANDAG	11-San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)-4 [§]	San Diego	Inland Rail Trail Gap Connector	\$ 15,825	\$ 12,057	\$ 1,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,821	\$ -	\$ 1,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,821	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x	x	86	1
SCAG	11-El Centro, City of-1	Imperial	El Centro Pedestrian Improvement Project	\$ 1,771	\$ 882	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 882	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 882	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x	x	74	94
SCAG	7-El Monte, City of-1	Los Angeles	Traffic Calming for Parkway Dr/Denholm Dr	\$ 5,350	\$ 401	\$ 401	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 401	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		91	96
SCAG	7-Huntington Park, City of-1	Los Angeles	Huntington Park's Safe Routes and Childhood Obesity Project	\$ 3,757	\$ 3,757	\$ 325	\$ 3,432	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ 275	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,405	\$ 27	Infrastructure + NI - Medium	x	x	91	101
SCAG	7-Long Beach, City of-4	Los Angeles	Pine Avenue Bicycle Boulevard	\$ 4,087	\$ 3,678	\$ 90	\$ 475	\$ 514	\$ 2,599	\$ 90	\$ 475	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,599	\$ 514	Infrastructure + NI - Medium	x		91	101

**2021 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Amended Program of Projects
Resolution G-26-28, Amending Resolution G-21-46
(\$1,000's)**

Reference Number 4.26
January 29-30, 2026
Attachment A

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Recommended ATP Funding	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	PA&E	PS&E	ROW SUP*	ROW	CON SUP*	CON	CON-NI	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	State Score	Regional Score or Rank*
SCAG	7-Los Angeles, City of-10 [§]	Los Angeles	Mission Mile: Sepulveda Visioning for a Safe and Active Community	\$ 49,900	\$ 39,670	\$ 4,958	\$ -	\$ 2,125	\$ 32,587	\$ 4,958	\$ 2,125	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32,587	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		91	100
SCAG	12-Brea, City of-1	Orange	Tracks at Brea - Gap Closure	\$ 14,046	\$ 1,787	\$ -	\$ 1,787	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,787	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		85	105
SCAG	12-Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA)-1 [§]	Orange	Garden Grove-Santa Ana Rails-to-Trails Gap Closure	\$ 42,397	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		87	107
SCAG	12-Orange County-1	Orange	OC Loop Coyote Creek Bikeway (Segment O)	\$ 6,605	\$ 4,644	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,644	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,644	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		91	111
SCAG	12-Santa Ana, City of-3	Orange	Railt Street Protected and Buffered Bike Lane	\$ 5,499	\$ 5,499	\$ 81	\$ 808	\$ 4,610	\$ -	\$ 81	\$ 808	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,610	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		90	110
SCAG	8-Cathedral City, City of-1	Riverside	Downtown Cathedral City Connectors: Gap Closure & Complete Streets Improvement	\$ 5,566	\$ 4,383	\$ -	\$ 4,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,383	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		90	110
SCAG	8-Desert Hot Springs, City of-1	Riverside	Palm Drive Improvements	\$ 4,905	\$ 3,700	\$ 3,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,700	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		86.5	106.5
SCAG	8-Riverside County-1	Riverside	Safe Routes for All - Hemet	\$ 636	\$ 348	\$ -	\$ 348	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 348	Non-Infrastructure	x	x	91	91
SCAG	8-Wildomar, City of-1	Riverside	Bundy Canyon Active Transportation Corridor	\$ 3,990	\$ 1,454	\$ 1,377	\$ 77	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,377	\$ 77	Infrastructure + NI - Medium			79	99
SCAG	8-Apple Valley, Town of-1	San Bernardino	Yucca Loma Elementary School Safe Routes to School Phase 2	\$ 986	\$ 838	\$ 191	\$ 647	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 85	\$ -	\$ 106	\$ -	\$ 647	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	87	107
SCAG	8-Barstow, City of-1	San Bernardino	Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S), Barstow	\$ 6,902	\$ 6,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,406	\$ -	Infrastructure + NI - Medium	x	x	86	106
SCAG	8-Fontana, City of-4	San Bernardino	Date Elementary School Street Improvements Project	\$ 1,808	\$ 1,808	\$ 71	\$ 128	\$ -	\$ 1,609	\$ 71	\$ 128	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,591	\$ 18	Infrastructure + NI - Small	x	x	88	108
SCAG	8-San Bernardino County-1	San Bernardino	Santa Ana River Trail - Phase III	\$ 6,880	\$ 1,105	\$ 1,105	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,105	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		87	107
SCAG	7-Southern California Association of Governments-2	Various	Sustainable Communities Program	\$ 4,670	\$ 4,670	\$ 4,670	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,670	Non-Infrastructure	x	x	N/A	N/A
SCAG	7-Oxnard, City of-1	Ventura	SRTS Safety and Enhancements Project	\$ 1,981	\$ 1,981	\$ 202	\$ 1,779	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 202	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,480	\$ 299	Infrastructure + NI - Small	x	x	86	101
SCAG	7-Oxnard, City of-2	Ventura	4th Street Mobility Improvements	\$ 6,900	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x		75	85
SCAG	7-Ventura County-3	Ventura	Santa Rosa Road Bike Lane Improvement and Pedestrian Project (SRRBLP)	\$ 1,103	\$ 330	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 330	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 330	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small			67	82
SCAG	7-Ventura, City of-1	Ventura	Cabrillo Segment Multi-Use Path Gap Completion	\$ 1,008	\$ 1,008	\$ 183	\$ -	\$ 825	\$ -	\$ 68	\$ 110	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ 825	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x		90	100
StanCOG	10-Stanislaus County-1	Stanislaus	Robertson Road Elementary Safe Crossing and Active Transportation Connectivity Project	\$ 1,997	\$ 1,609	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,609	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,609	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	89.5	89.5
StanCOG	10-Waterford, City of-1	Stanislaus	Waterford Safe Routes to School Project - Yosemite Boulevard	\$ 946	\$ 946	\$ 15	\$ 128	\$ 803	\$ -	\$ 15	\$ 40	\$ -	\$ 88	\$ -	\$ 803	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	81	81
TCAG	6-California Department of Transportation-7	Tulare	Ivanhoe Safe Routes to School (SR 216)	\$ 1,788	\$ 1,070	\$ 120	\$ 181	\$ -	\$ 769	\$ 120	\$ 90	\$ 22	\$ 69	\$ 165	\$ 604	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x	x	69	96
TCAG	6-Tulare County-3	Tulare	Tipton Sidewalk Improvements Project	\$ 3,430	\$ 1,218	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,218	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,218	\$ -	Infrastructure - Medium	x	x	73	94.33
TMPO	3-Placer County-1	Placer	Kings Beach Western Approach Project	\$ 8,330	\$ 750	\$ -	\$ 260	\$ 490	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 260	\$ -	\$ 490	\$ -	Infrastructure - Large	x		81	81
SJCOG	10-Stockton-5	San Joaquin	Greater Downtown Bike & Ped Connectivity	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,748	\$ 75	\$ 215	\$ 1,458	\$ -	\$ 75	\$ 215	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,458	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x		84	164.14
SJCOG	10-Stockton-6	San Joaquin	Downtown East-West Connection	\$ 1,999	\$ 1,799	\$ 110	\$ 240	\$ 1,449	\$ -	\$ 110	\$ 240	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,449	\$ -	Infrastructure - Small	x		75	149.43
				\$ 491,572	\$ 174,026																

*Regional scores and ranks are on various scales (and not necessarily out of 100). Individual scoring systems are outlined in each MPO's guidelines.

§Project requires a baseline agreement. Please see the SB 1 Accountability and Transparency Guidelines for more information.