2023 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 6)

Siskiyou County Branch Workshop
February 22, 2022
10:00am – 12:00pm
Workshop Instructions

• Please mute your audio throughout the presentation to avoid any background noise.

• To make a comment/ask a question:
  • Send a message through the Chat feature.
    • The Chat is located at the top of the platform ribbon on the right-hand side of your screen.
  • Unmute to state your comment/question.
  • Please be sure state your name and affiliation prior to voicing your remarks.
Program Goals

- Increase walking and biking
- Increase safety of non-motorized users
- Help regional agencies meet their SB 375 goals
- Enhance public health
- Ensure disadvantaged communities fully share in the benefits of the program
- Provide a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users
Program Structure

- Competitive funding program
- Funds distributed into the 3 ATP components
  - 50% for the Statewide Component
  - 10% for Small Urban and Rural Component
  - 40% for Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
- A minimum of 25% of funds in each of the 3 components must benefit disadvantaged communities
Application Types

• Large Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  • Total Project Cost of greater than $10 million*

• Medium Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  • Total Project Cost of greater than $3.5 million and up to $10 million*

• Small Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  • Total Project Cost of $3.5 million or less*

• Non-Infrastructure Only
  • Education and Encouragement Activities

• Plans
  • Community-wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school, or active transportation plan that encompasses disadvantaged community

*Pending 2023 ATP Guidelines adoption in March 2022
Eligible Applicants

- Local, Regional, or State agencies
- Caltrans
  - Caltrans can also partner with other eligible agencies
- Transit Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public Schools or School Districts
- Tribal Governments
- Private Nonprofit (recreational trail funding)
Program Status

- About $450 Million Available Each Cycle (Every 2 Years)
- Five Cycles of Projects Selected for Funding
- Over 900 Projects Funded
- Most Provide Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
- Almost 100% Delivery Rate
- All the Cycle 1 Projects are Completed or Under Construction
Program Challenges

- Very Over Subscribed
  - Massive Community Need
  - Not Enough Funding
- Funding Requests are Getting Larger
- Ensure Program is Open to All Geographic Areas Across the State
- Program Funds all Project Phases
- Measuring Performance
Highlights from the 2023 Guidelines

- Program Schedule
- Hard Copies & Electronic Application Submissions
- Projects in Planning Documents
- Project Size Threshold
- Administrative Changes
Highlights from the 2023 Guidelines

- Expanding on Housing Language
- Active Transportation Needs of Older Adults
- Low Stress Networks and Local Ordinances
- Quick-Build Pilot Program Phase II
- Non-Infrastructure
  - Set Aside
  - Enforcement in Non-Infrastructure
  - Tie Breaker
Siskiyou County in the ATP

- Submitted 12 projects over five Cycles
- 2 projects have been funded overall (17% success rate):
  - 0 project funded through the Statewide component
  - 2 projects funded through the SUR component
Siskiyou County in Cycle 5

- 2 applications submitted – 2 funded in the SUR Component
- Both applications qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Public Participation question was area of strength for both applications.
  - Public Participation questions lost between 0-3 points
- One application lost the most points on the Need question (-8 points)
  - Lacking discussion of local health concerns and how projects will address those concerns.
  - Part B did not address how the project will encourage more active transportation use.
Shasta County in the ATP

• Submitted 31 projects over five Cycles
• 12 projects have been funded overall (39% success rate):
  • 5 projects funded through the Statewide component
  • 7 projects funded through the SUR component
Shasta County in Cycle 5

• 8 applications submitted – 1 funded in SW and 2 funded in SUR component
• All applications qualified for disadvantaged community points
• Public Participation question was area of strength for the majority of applications, with 75% receiving at least 80% of points.
• Need and Safety questions were the biggest challenges
  • Lacking details regarding project need – how involved was the community? What key destinations/routes will project connect community members to?
  • Maps were lacking detail discussed in narrative (destinations, regional AT network)
  • NI Component discussion was lacking in the Need and/or Safety questions
  • Lacking discussion of countermeasures and how they will address safety concerns.
Del Norte County in the ATP

- Submitted 13 projects over five Cycles
- 2 projects have been funded overall (15% success rate):
  - 2 projects funded through the Statewide component
  - 0 projects funded through the SUR component
Del Norte County in Cycle 4

• 1 applications submitted – 0 funded
• Application qualified for disadvantaged community points
• Need and Safety questions were biggest challenges
  • Lacking discussion of connectivity and specific local health concerns.
  • Key destinations appear to be accessible through other routes – why is this project a priority.
  • TIMS data and narrative don’t align.
  • Safety data focuses on entire City instead of project location.
  • No collisions in project area – no discussion as to why this project is a priority.
Modoc County in the ATP

- Submitted 1 project over five Cycles (submitted in Cycle 5)
- 0 projects have been funded overall
Modoc County in Cycle 5

- 1 application submitted – 0 funded
- Application qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Public Participation question was area of strength.
- Need question lost the most points (-5 points)
  - Vague discussion of the disadvantaged community – more detail is needed
  - Community input discussion was lacking detail.
  - Inclusion of health stats from the school would have been helpful since this a SRTS project.
Active Transportation Resource Center

• ATRC provides resources, technical assistance, and training to partners across California
• Have a mailing list to keep people updated on upcoming trainings, webinars, etc.
• Includes Application Modules, Technical Assistance on Non-Infrastructure Projects, Safe Routes to School Guides, etc.
• ATRC Website: http://caatpresources.org/
• Emily Abrahams: Emily.Abrahams@dot.ca.gov
• Summer Anderson-Lopez: Summer.Anderson-Lopez@dot.ca.gov
Thank You

Contact Information

Laurie Waters
Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov

Beverley Newman-Burckhard
Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov

Elika Changizi
Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov

Active Transportation Program Website