

# 2023 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 6)



Siskiyou County Branch Workshop

February 22, 2022

10:00am – 12:00pm

# Workshop Instructions

---



- Please mute your audio throughout the presentation to avoid any background noise.
- To make a comment/ask a question:
  - Send a message through the Chat feature.
    - The Chat is located at the top of the platform ribbon on the right-hand side of your screen.
  - Unmute to state your comment/question.
  - Please be sure state your name and affiliation prior to voicing your remarks.



# Program Goals

---



- Increase walking and biking
- Increase safety of non-motorized users
- Help regional agencies meet their SB 375 goals
- Enhance public health
- Ensure disadvantaged communities fully share in the benefits of the program
- Provide a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users

# Program Structure

---



- Competitive funding program
- Funds distributed into the 3 ATP components
  - 50% for the Statewide Component
  - 10% for Small Urban and Rural Component
  - 40% for Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
- A minimum of 25% of funds in each of the 3 components must benefit disadvantaged communities

# Application Types

---



- Large Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  - Total Project Cost of greater than \$10 million\*
- Medium Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  - Total Project Cost of greater than \$3.5 million and up to \$10 million\*
- Small Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure
  - Total Project Cost of \$3.5 million or less\*
- Non-Infrastructure Only
  - Education and Encouragement Activities
- Plans
  - Community-wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school, or active transportation plan that encompasses disadvantaged community

\*Pending 2023 ATP Guidelines adoption in March 2022

# Eligible Applicants

---



- Local, Regional, or State agencies
- Caltrans
  - Caltrans can also partner with other eligible agencies
- Transit Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public Schools or School Districts
- Tribal Governments
- Private Nonprofit (recreational trail funding)

# Program Status

---



- About \$450 Million Available Each Cycle (Every 2 Years)
- Five Cycles of Projects Selected for Funding
- Over 900 Projects Funded
- Most Provide Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
- Almost 100% Delivery Rate
- All the Cycle 1 Projects are Completed or Under Construction

# Program Challenges

---



- Very Over Subscribed
  - Massive Community Need
  - Not Enough Funding
- Funding Requests are Getting Larger
- Ensure Program is Open to All Geographic Areas Across the State
- Program Funds all Project Phases
- Measuring Performance



# Highlights from the 2023 Guidelines

---



- Program Schedule
- Hard Copies & Electronic Application Submissions
- Projects in Planning Documents
- Project Size Threshold
- Administrative Changes

# Highlights from the 2023 Guidelines

---



- Expanding on Housing Language
- Active Transportation Needs of Older Adults
- Low Stress Networks and Local Ordinances
- Quick-Build Pilot Program Phase II
- Non-Infrastructure
  - Set Aside
  - Enforcement in Non-Infrastructure
  - Tie Breaker

# Siskiyou County in the ATP

---



- Submitted 12 projects over five Cycles
- 2 projects have been funded overall (17% success rate):
  - 0 project funded through the Statewide component
  - 2 projects funded through the SUR component

# Siskiyou County in Cycle 5

---



- 2 applications submitted – 2 funded in the SUR Component
- Both applications qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Public Participation question was area of strength for both applications.
  - Public Participation questions lost between 0-3 points
- One application lost the most points on the Need question (-8 points)
  - Lacking discussion of local health concerns and how projects will address those concerns.
  - Part B did not address how the project will encourage more active transportation use.

# Shasta County in the ATP

---



- Submitted 31 projects over five Cycles
- 12 projects have been funded overall (39% success rate):
  - 5 projects funded through the Statewide component
  - 7 projects funded through the SUR component

# Shasta County in Cycle 5

---



- 8 applications submitted – 1 funded in SW and 2 funded in SUR component
- All applications qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Public Participation question was area of strength for the majority of applications, with 75% receiving at least 80% of points.
- Need and Safety questions were the biggest challenges
  - Lacking details regarding project need – how involved was the community? What key destinations/routes will project connect community members to?
  - Maps were lacking detail discussed in narrative (destinations, regional AT network)
  - NI Component discussion was lacking in the Need and/or Safety questions
  - Lacking discussion of countermeasures and how they will address safety concerns.

# Del Norte County in the ATP

---



- Submitted 13 projects over five Cycles
- 2 projects have been funded overall (15% success rate):
  - 2 projects funded through the Statewide component
  - 0 projects funded through the SUR component

# Del Norte County in Cycle 4

---



- 1 applications submitted – 0 funded
- Application qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Need and Safety questions were biggest challenges
  - Lacking discussion of connectivity and specific local health concerns.
  - Key destinations appear to be accessible through other routes – why is this project a priority.
  - TIMS data and narrative don't align.
  - Safety data focuses on entire City instead of project location.
  - No collisions in project area – no discussion as to why this project is a priority.



# Modoc County in the ATP

---



- Submitted 1 project over five Cycles (submitted in Cycle 5)
- 0 projects have been funded overall

# Modoc County in Cycle 5

---



- 1 application submitted – 0 funded
- Application qualified for disadvantaged community points
- Public Participation question was area of strength.
- Need question lost the most points (-5 points)
  - Vague discussion of the disadvantaged community – more detail is needed
  - Community input discussion was lacking detail.
  - Inclusion of health stats from the school would have been helpful since this a SRTS project.

# Active Transportation Resource Center

---



- ATRC provides resources, technical assistance, and training to partners across California
- Have a mailing list to keep people updated on upcoming trainings, webinars, etc.
- Includes Application Modules, Technical Assistance on Non-Infrastructure Projects, Safe Routes to School Guides, etc.
- ATRC Website: <http://caatpresources.org/>
- Emily Abrahams: [Emily.Abrahams@dot.ca.gov](mailto:Emily.Abrahams@dot.ca.gov)
- Summer Anderson-Lopez: [Summer.Anderson-Lopez@dot.ca.gov](mailto:Summer.Anderson-Lopez@dot.ca.gov)

# Thank You

---



## Contact Information

---

Laurie Waters

[Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov](mailto:Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov)

Beverley Newman-Burckhard

[Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov](mailto:Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov)

Elika Changizi

[Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov](mailto:Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov)

[Active Transportation Program Website](#)