2022 Local Partnership Program Guidelines Development Workshop

March 29, 2022
Welcome and Introductions

CHRISTINE GORDON
Associate Deputy Director | Local Partnership Program
GoTo Webinar Logistics

1. SELECT AN AUDIO OPTION
   Now that you have successfully joined the webinar, select the "Audio" tab in the panel and choose one of the following options:
   - **Computer Audio**
     This will be preselected as it is the system’s automatic setting.
   - **Phone Audio**
     Select "Phone Call" and dial the phone number, access code and pin as directed by the automated system.

2. HOW TO PARTICIPATE
   Send comments through the "Questions" tab for Commission Staff to read on your behalf OR by selecting the "Hand" icon to alert the Staff to unmute you.
   Please state your name and agency prior to voicing your remarks through the "Questions" tab or "Hand" icon.

Images shown above are for attendees using a web browser. The Webinar App layout will vary depending on the device.
Agenda Review

• February Workshop Recap
• Local Partnership Program Overview
• Performance Metrics Guidebook
• Discussion Focus: Formulaic Program
• Handouts:
  • 2020 Local Partnership Program Guidelines sections for discussion
  • 2022 Local Partnership Program - Discussion Document
  • 2022 Draft Formulaic Program and Competitive Program Guidelines
• Office Hour Sessions
• Closing and Next Steps
February Workshop Recap
Summary of Attendees

- Total number of attendees
  - 53
- 23% - State agencies
- 36% - Regional agencies
- 30% - Local agencies
- 0% - Community-based organizations
- 11% - Other interested stakeholders
Key Topics Discussed

• Formulaic Program – proposed revisions
  • Amendments (Section 10A)

• Competitive Program – proposed revisions
  • Evaluation Criteria (Section 12B)
    • Regional and Local Transportation, Land Use, and Housing Goals
  • Prohousing Designation Program
Comments Received at Workshop

Formulaic Program:
Amendments

• Consideration of scope changes after contract award to address project efficiencies and potential to increase benefits.

• Propose slight revision to clarify approval of scope changes to expand scope due to savings at contract award.
Competitive Program:
Evaluation Criteria
Prohousing Designation Program

• In support of proposed revisions, consider applying for prohousing designation program an option.
Discussions for Future Workshops

- Matching Requirement
- Project Nominations
- Performance Metrics Guidebook
- Formulaic Program
  - Project Cost Savings
- Competitive Program
  - Equity
  - Evaluation Criteria
Local Partnership Program Overview

CHRISTINE GORDON
Associate Deputy Director | Local Partnership Program
Authority & Purpose

- Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) created the Local Partnership Program
  - Continuously appropriates $200 million annually
- Program guidelines describe policy, standards and procedures.
  - Developed in cooperation with stakeholders
  - Modeled after Proposition 1B – State Local Partnership Program
Local Partnership Program Objectives

• Provide funding to counties, cities, districts, and regional transportation agencies:
  
  1.) that have voter-approved fees or taxes dedicated solely to transportation improvements; or

  2.) that have imposed fees, including uniform developer fees, dedicated solely to transportation improvements.

The Program intent is to balance the need to direct increased revenue to the state’s highest transportation needs while fairly distributing the economic impact of increased funding.
Program Distribution and Funding

Annual Distribution:

$200 million

$20 million set aside for Formulaic Program Incentive Funding

$180 million

60% Formulaic Program

40% Competitive Program
Local Partnership Program
Formulaic vs. Competitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Formulaic</th>
<th>Competitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding</strong></td>
<td>Formulaic funding distribution established for each taxing authority based on a combination of proportional tax, toll, or fee revenues and population.</td>
<td>Discretionary funding for project that excel through an evaluation process that promotes shovel-ready, cost-effective, and transformative projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Eligibility**      | Sought and received voter approval of taxes, tolls, or fees, dedicated solely to transportation improvements | • Agencies eligible for the Formulaic Program  
• Agencies that have imposed fees, including uniform developer fees, that are dedicated solely to transportation improvements. |
| **Project Nominations** | 1.) Cover letter; 2.) fact sheet; 3.) general information; 4.) screening criteria; and 5). funding and deliverability | 1.) Cover letter; 2.) fact sheet; 3.) general information; 4.) screening criteria; 5). evaluation criteria; 6). funding and deliverability; community impacts;  and 7). advance transportation, land use, and housing goals. |
Local Partnership Program Eligible Projects (GOV 8879.70(a)(b) and SHC 2032(a))

Capital improvement projects:

- Improvements to the state highway system
- Improvements to transit facilities
- Acquisition, retrofit, or rehabilitation of rolling stock, buses or other transit equipment
- Improvements to the local road system
- Improvements to bicycle or pedestrian safety or mobility
- Improvements to mitigate the environmental impact of new transportation infrastructure on a locality’s or region’s air or water quality
- Soundwalls
- Road maintenance and rehabilitation
- Other transportation improvement projects
PERFORMANCE METRICS GUIDEBOOK

HANNAH WALTER
Associate Deputy Director | Trade Corridor Enhancement Program
Guidebook Development Process

- Workgroup process.
- 14 meetings over 7 months.
- About 45 experts from Caltrans and regional agencies.
- Subject matter experts reviewed metrics on a flow basis.
- A consultant helped put the work into one document.
- This guidebook is a working document that will continue to change, and hopefully improve, over time.
Guidebook Purpose

• A tool to help applicants calculate the required metrics.

• Provide additional consistency:
  o Whether information from year 1 or 20 of the project is required
  o Whether the requirement is for a daily or annual number
  o Where only the “change” should be reported

• It is up to the applicant to choose how to calculate each metric.
Evaluation Criteria & Performance Metrics

• The evaluation criteria are not the same thing as the performance metrics.

• Performance metrics can be considered as a subcategory of evaluation criteria.

• Applicants may be asked to quantify information that is not a performance metric.

• Performance metrics are measures that lend themselves easily to a general formula that applies to multiple project types.
Metrics Included

- VMT (SCCP/LPP/ATP)
- Person Hours of Travel Time Saved (SCCP/LPP/ATP)
- Change in Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay (TCEP)
- Change in Daily Truck Hours of Delay (TCEP)
- Change in Truck Volume (TCEP)
- Change in Rail Volume (TCEP)
- Peak Period Travel Time Reliability Index (SCCP/LPP)
- Truck Travel Time Reliability Index (TCEP)
- Air Quality (All)
- Cost Effectiveness - BCR (All)
- Velocity Freight (TCEP)
- Safety (fatalities & serious injuries) (All)
- Jobs Created (All)
- Transit schedule adherence (SCCP/LPP/ATP)

The Commission plans to include Accessibility, Equity, Climate Change, and Public Health in the application form as qualitative requests for information.
### Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>ATP</th>
<th>SCCP/ LPP</th>
<th>TCEP</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Local Road</th>
<th>HWY Road</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>Port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Vehicle Miles Travelled</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Hours of Travel Time Saved</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Period Travel Time Reliability Index</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Transit Delay</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Truck Hours of Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Truck Volume (# of Trucks)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Rail Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Travel Time Reliability Index</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fatalities and Number of Serious Injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates of Fatalities and Rate of Serious Injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness (Benefit Cost Ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs Created</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# General Guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Required For</th>
<th>Average Annual or Daily</th>
<th>Year 20 or Most Current Available</th>
<th>Performance Metrics Columns Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>SCC/LPP, ATP</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Hours of Travel Time Saved</td>
<td>SCC/LPP</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Period Travel Time Reliability Index</td>
<td>SCC/LPP (highway only)</td>
<td>Average Daily</td>
<td>Most Current Data Available</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Transit Delay</td>
<td>SCC/LPP (transit bus or rail only)</td>
<td>Average Daily</td>
<td>Most Current Available</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay</td>
<td>TCEP (Required for highways, roads, and ports, not required for rail, not for transit)</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Truck Hours of Delay - Cal/B/C Sketch Model</td>
<td>TCEP (Required for highways, roads, and ports, not required for rail, not for transit)</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Truck Volume (# of Trucks)</td>
<td>TCEP (Highway, road and port projects only)</td>
<td>Annual Average</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Required For</th>
<th>Average Annual or Daily</th>
<th>Year 20 or Most Current Available</th>
<th>Performance Metrics Columns Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in Rail Volume</td>
<td>TCEP (Rail projects only)</td>
<td>Annual Average</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Travel Time Reliability Index</td>
<td>TCEP (Highway projects only)</td>
<td>Average Daily</td>
<td>Most current available</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>TCEP (Highway and road projects, rail and port projects only if information is available)</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fatalities and Number of Serious Injuries</td>
<td>All Projects (except freight rail and sea port)</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Most Current Available</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Fatalities and Rate of Serious Injuries</td>
<td>All Projects (except freight rail and sea port)</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Most Current Available</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>All Projects</td>
<td>Average Annual</td>
<td>Year 20</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness</td>
<td>All Projects</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&quot;No Build&quot;/ &quot;Build&quot;/ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Created</td>
<td>All Projects</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&quot;Building&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Required Back-Up Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric Name:</th>
<th>Example: Dally Vehicle Hours of Delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Source Data: | List source(s) of information used in calculations
|              | Example: Cal B/C Sketch model |

### Base Numbers & Calculation for “No Build” Estimate

Include the starting numbers used, and the calculation used to develop the “No Build” number. If “No Build” is not required for metric, put “N/A” for “Not Applicable.”

**Example:**
- Travel Time tab cell C118: Year 20 No Build Average Volume: 2,070,981
- Travel Time tab cell I118: Year 20 No Build Average Travel Time: 0.01
- Speed limit travel time = 0.4 (Impacted length) divided by 65 (speed limit) = 0.006
- 0.01 (No Build average travel time) minus 0.006 (speed limit average travel time) = 0.004
- 2,070,981 [No Build average volume] multiply by 0.004 = 8,284
- 8,284 / 365 [days] = 23

### Base Numbers, Trends or Assumptions, and Calculation for “Build” Number

Include the starting numbers used, and the calculation used to develop the “No Build” number. Include any trends or assumptions used. Explain how the impact of the “Build” number was estimated. If “Build” is not required for metric, put “N/A” for “Not Applicable.”

**Example:**
- Travel Time tab cell D118: Year 20 Build Average Volume: 2,080,000
- Travel Time tab cell J118: Year 20 Build Average Travel Time: 0.009
- Speed limit travel time = 0.4 (Impacted length) / 65 (speed limit) = 0.006
- 0.009 (No Build average travel time) - 0.006 (speed limit average travel time) = 0.003
- 2,080,000 [Build average volume] multiply by 0.003 = 6,240
- 6,240 / 365 [days] = 17

### Change

Include the subtraction used to get to the change number here.

**Example:**
## All Program Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Generalized Formula</th>
<th>Source(s) in Guidebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air quality</td>
<td>change in tons of particulates</td>
<td>Cal B/C Sketch model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit cost ratio</td>
<td>total benefits / total costs</td>
<td>Cal B/C Sketch model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety (except rail projects)</td>
<td>fatalities &amp; serious injuries over 5 years / 5 and # / VMT / 5 * 1 million</td>
<td>Cal B/C Sketch model, Caltrans collision data, Caltrans safety guidance, CHP SWITRS data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs created</td>
<td>.000013 * total project cost</td>
<td>Federal formula</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Caltrans Economics Branch Cal B/C Sketch Model

- Many of the methodologies are based on the California Benefit-Cost Model (Cal B/C Model), the “Sketch” version.

- It is our understanding that there is general familiarity with this document, which is one of the reasons it is suggested.

- The guidebook includes instructions about how to fill out the inputs needed for the Cal B/C model.

- After the inputs are put in, the model automatically calculates much of the information needed for the metrics.

- Although applicants are responsible to fill this out based on their projects, Caltrans staff are available to answer questions if needed when you’re working on filling this out.
Examples Only

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING EXAMPLES ARE NOT THE STEP-BY-STEP CALCULATIONS FROM THE GUIDELINES.

• It would take too much time to walk through all the steps for each calculation, therefore, some of the steps are included to provide a general understanding of the calculation and how the guidebook works. For the complete calculation, please see the guidelines.
Emissions reductions are calculated in the “Emissions Reduction” tab.
Emissions reductions results are summarized in the “Results” tab.
Benefit Cost Ratio

- Total Benefits/Total Costs
- Cal B/C model captures the total user benefits in constant dollars for travel time savings, vehicle operating cost savings, accident reductions, and vehicle emission reductions.
- If you want to add more benefits, you will need to provide a separate calculation.
Safety - Number of Fatalities and Serious Injuries

• For Caltrans projects on the State Highway System, applicants may request a copy of the “Crash Data on State Highway System Request Form.”

• Local projects on the SHS should submit a PRA for this information.

• For projects on local streets and roads, applicants should use the California Highway Patrol’s Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) database.
Safety - Rate of Fatalities and Serious Injuries

- Find the accident and fatality rate for the “no build” scenario.
- You must find the rate group in Caltrans’ 2018 Traffic Collision Data document (link is in guidebook). Information goes into project information tab.
Safety - Rate of Fatalities and Serious Injuries

• For the “build” scenario, you can estimate the impact that your project’s safety features will have on the rates.

• You can use Caltrans reduction factor information or other information to estimate rate reductions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Improvement</th>
<th>Average Collision Reduction</th>
<th>Years (Life)</th>
<th>Minimum Collision Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Signals</td>
<td>Up to 20%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5 or more last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Signals</td>
<td>Up to 20% (1)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5 or more last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashing Beacons</td>
<td>Up to 20%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Left-Turn Channelization:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Improvement</th>
<th>Average Collision Reduction</th>
<th>Years (Life)</th>
<th>Minimum Collision Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signalization w/o LT Phase</td>
<td>Up to 15% (2)</td>
<td>20/10*</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalization with LT Phase</td>
<td>Up to 33% (2)</td>
<td>20/10*</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-signalized Intersection</td>
<td>Up to 33% (2)</td>
<td>20/10*</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes</td>
<td>Up to 20% (2)</td>
<td>20/10*</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Lighting</td>
<td>Up to 15% (3)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4 or more right collisions last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curve Improvement</td>
<td>Up to 50% (2)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumble Strip</td>
<td>Up to 50% (4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years, Base Rate of 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Elevation Improvement</td>
<td>Up to 50% (4)</td>
<td>20/10*</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years, Base Rate of 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Escape Ramp</td>
<td>Up to 75% (5)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 or more last 3 years, Base Rate of 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SCCP / LPP Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Generalized Formula</th>
<th>Source(s) in Guidebook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person Hours of Travel Time Saved</td>
<td>Average Annual Person Trips X Average Annual Travel Time (in hours)</td>
<td>Cal B/C Sketch Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Reliability</td>
<td>95th percentile TT / 50th Percentile TT</td>
<td>State reliability data/Excel spreadsheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Transit Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Daily Vehicle Miles Travelled</td>
<td>(Vehicle Volume X Project Length)/365 If using AADT – use weighted average</td>
<td>• Cal B/C Sketch Model&lt;br&gt;• Caltrans Highway Operations Average Annual Daily Traffic reports&lt;br&gt;• Regional Travel Demand Model&lt;br&gt;• NCST Calculator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Person Hours of Travel Time Saved

• The Cal B/C Sketch model “Travel Time” tab automatically calculates “Person Hours of Travel Time Saved.”

• The “Travel Time” tab calculates estimated annual person trip decreases and average travel time decreases. It also calculates mode shifts and new travel for transit projects. It converts these reductions into monetary savings using cost savings percents.
Travel Time Reliability

- Use the crosswalk provided in the guidebook to find the max Travel Time Reliability for the “no build” scenario.

Example: 6 to 10 am 80th percentile 150.0 \[\frac{50th \text{ percentile}}{101.0} = 1.49 \text{ LOTTR}\]
Level of Transit Delay - Using General Transit Feed Specification Realtime (GTFS-RT) Access List

• Go to GitHub.com. If there is a transit agency in the project area that is included on that list, then follow these steps.

• Go to an online map called “GEOJSON Map” and identify your project area, then save the map you create.

• Send Caltrans staff the transit agencies in the project area and your map file, and they’ll send you back the metric information.

```python
  big-blue-bus:
  agency_name: Big Blue Bus
  feeds:
    - gfs_schedule_url: http://gfs.bigbluebus.com/current.zip
    - gfs_rt_vehicle_positions_url: http://gfs.bigbluebus.com/vehiclepositions.bin
    - gfs_rt_service_alerts_url: http://gfs.bigbluebus.com/alerts.bin
    gfs_rt_trip_updates_url: http://gfs.bigbluebus.com/tripupdates.bin
```
The guidebook offers 4 methodologies to calculated VMT

- Using the Cal B/C model
- Using Average Annual Daily Traffic counts
- Following the example of a regional travel demand model
- Using the Caltrans SB 743 guidance (which includes a Caltrans approved travel demand model or the NCST calculator)
VMT - Cal B/C Model

- Use the volume and project length from the Cal B/C model.
VMT - AADTT

• Use the Caltrans AADT reports online to find “no build” AADT and then estimate the “build” AADT.
If you have a travel demand model, follow the SACOG example in the guidebook.

• Relies on model runs with built in assumptions.
• Runs a base year model run using existing conditions.
• Selects projects most likely to be implemented and runs that as a “build” scenario.
CEQA / SB 743

- For the CEQA process, lead agencies have the discretion for how to calculate VMT.
- For projects on the State Highway System or where Caltrans is the lead agency, Caltrans requires projects to calculate induced VMT using:
  - A Caltrans approved travel demand model
  - The NCST calculator
NCST Calculator

Caltrans guidance specifies that the NCST Calculator cannot be used:

• In 14 of the MSA counties, the calculator cannot be used for class 1 facilities.
• There are 21 counties where the NCST calculator “does not apply”
• Most project types other than adding an HOV or GP lane.

Table 3. The 21 Rural Counties where the NCST Calculator does not Apply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alpine</th>
<th>Inyo</th>
<th>Nevada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amador</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>Plumas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras</td>
<td>Lassen</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td>Mariposa</td>
<td>Siskiyou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Norte</td>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td>Tehama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn</td>
<td>Modoc</td>
<td>Trinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>Mono</td>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amend previously proposed language in the scope change subsection (amendment in yellow text):

• Scope changes to expand the scope due to savings at contract award must be submitted and approved by Commission staff prior to contract award (refer to Section XA. Project Cost Savings.)
Project Cost Savings (Section 15A)

(Refer to the Discussion Document for proposed language)
Project Cost Savings

Refer to discussion document for proposed text. Proposed edits will clarify several items, including:

• Who reviews/approves the request to return cost savings.
  • The Commission will consider a cost savings request when Caltrans submits the request with a recommendation.
  • Nominating agency approval.

• When the returned cost savings are available for programming.

• Deadline for programming and allocating returned cost savings.

• Definition of “proportional”.

• Important details for Construction phase cost savings.

• Important details for pre-construction phases cost savings.
Questions and Comments
Project Nominations-Formulaic Program (Attachment 1, page 34)

(Refer to the Discussion Document for proposed language)
General Submission Instructions

- Initial deadline and the final deadline are listed.
  - Initial and Final deadline dates have an 11:59pm time-deadline.
- Submit electronically to LPP@catc.ca.gov
- Limit project nomination to 35 pages, not including the Appendices.
- Follow the lettering convention.
A. Cover Letter

• A cover letter must be submitted with the project nomination.
• The cover letter must be addressed to the California Transportation Commission’s Executive Director.
• Clearly identify the nominating agency or agencies and implementing agency or agencies.
• Signature requirements
Fact Sheet

• A Fact Sheet will **not** be required for the Formulaic Program.
B. Screening Criteria

• Eligible Project: Explain (no more than one or two paragraphs) how the project is eligible based on the categories outlined in the guidelines, Section xA.

• Electronic Project Programming Request – (ePPR)
  • If the project is divided into more than one independent contract, a separate ePPR must be submitted for each contract.

• Required Performance Metrics outlined in the Appendix.
C. General Information

• **Overview:** Provide a brief, one to three paragraph, non-technical description of the project. If the project includes multiple project modes, each project mode must be described.

• **Project Location:** Provide a brief description of the project location(s) including city and county boundaries.
  - Map: A map (or maps) that clearly show the project location.
  - Photos: Photos (rendering or actual) of the project location.

• **Scope:** A relatively brief and concise (no more than 2 paragraphs) description of the project scope to be programmed with Formulaic Program funding.
C. General Information (continued)

• **Nominating Agency/Implementing Agency Agreement** (if applicable): Where the project is to be implemented by an agency other than the nominator, provide confirmation of the agreement between the project nominator and implementing agency.

• **Reversible Lanes**: A confirmation that any capacity-increasing project or a major street or highway lane realignment project was considered for reversible lanes pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 100.15.
D. Project Delivery

You will be asked to provide information on the following:

• Delivery Method
• Contracts
• Schedule Risks
E. Project Funding

- Funding Plan: Provide the table below for all project phases.
  - Make sure required match is clear.
  - Include the total project cost (even if it is estimated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Source</th>
<th>Committed or Uncommitted</th>
<th>Fiscal Year of Allocation (LPP-F)</th>
<th>PA&amp;ED</th>
<th>PS&amp;E</th>
<th>Right of Way</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LPP-Formulaic Request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example Fund #1 (match)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example Fund #2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. Other

These should be provided if applicable:

• **Interagency Cooperation**: Projects on the state highway system must provide evidence of cooperation between the nominating agency and Caltrans. (see Appendix XX).

• **Transfer of Formulaic Program Funds Between Taxing Authorities**:  
  • A letter must be included in the project nomination, addressed to the California Transportation Commission’s Executive Director:
    • Clearly identify:
      • The taxing authority to which the funds are being transferred,
      • The project (title and scope) where funds will be programmed,
      • The implementing agency of the project, and
      • The amount of Formulaic Program funding being transferred.
  • Signature requirements.
Questions and Comments
Supplemental and Amendment Project Nominations – Formulaic Program

(New section.)

(Refer to the Discussion Document for proposed language)
General Submission Instructions

• No deadlines. Submit as needed.
• Submit electronically to LPP@catc.ca.gov
• Limit supplemental or amendment project nomination to 10 pages, not including the Appendices.
• Follow the lettering convention in the attachment.
A. Cover Letter

• A cover letter must be submitted with the project nomination.
• The cover letter must be addressed to the California Transportation Commission’s Executive Director.
• Clearly identify the nominating agency or agencies and implementing agency or agencies.
• Clearly identify the existing programmed project and briefly describe the supplemental or amending funding request (one or two sentences).
• Signature requirements
Submit revised:

• Electronic Project Programming Request – (ePPR)
  • If the project is divided into more than one independent contract, a separate ePPR must be submitted for each contract.
  • If an ePPR was not part of the initial project nomination, one must be submitted for the project as part of this request.

• Performance Metrics outlined in required in initial project nomination.
  • If Performance Metrics were not part of the initial project nomination, they must be submitted for the project as part of this request.
C. General Information

• **Overview**: Provide the project title and implementing agency.

• **Project status**: Provide a brief description of the current status of the project.

• **Funding Request**: Provide a clear description of the supplemental and/or amendment funding request and the reason for the proposed request.
C. General Information (continued)

• **Project Updates**: Describe how the following differs from the original project nomination. If no changes are anticipated, provide notation in section.
  • Project scope.
  • Project location: Provide a brief description of the revised Project location(s) including city and county boundaries.
    • Revised Map (if applicable): A revised map (or maps) that clearly show the original and revised project location(s).
  • Project schedule.
  • Project benefits: Provide a comparison between the proposed benefits (outputs and outcomes) and those submitted in the initial project nomination, noting an increase, decrease, or no change.
Project Updates: (continued)

- Funding plan: Provide the table below with updates to the funding plan submitted in the original project nomination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Source</th>
<th>Committed or Uncommitted</th>
<th>Fiscal Year of Allocation (LPP-F)</th>
<th>PA&amp;ED</th>
<th>PS&amp;E</th>
<th>Right of Way</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions and Comments
OFFICE HOUR SESSIONS

KAYLA GIESE
Program Analyst | Local Partnership Program
Office Hour Sessions

• Timeslots remaining in April
• Private sessions to discuss potential project nominations with Commission staff
• Scheduled on a first-come, first-served basis
• Request can be submitted via the online registration form
Questions and Comments
Key Topics for Future Workshops

- Carryover Key Topics
  - Matching Requirements

- Competitive Program
  - Equity
  - Evaluation Criteria
  - Project Nomination – Competitive Program
Closing and Next Steps

• Discussion Recap
• Next Workshop Date:
  • April 26, 2022
• Save the Date Announcement
• Workshop Agenda
Questions or Comments

Email LPP@catc.ca.gov

For latest updates, visit the Commission’s website at www.catc.ca.gov and follow the Commission on Twitter or Facebook.
Local Partnership Program Contacts

Christine Gordon, Associate Deputy Director - Christine.Gordon@catc.ca.gov | (916) 654-2940
Anja Aulenbacher, Assistant Deputy Director - Anja.Aulenbacher@catc.ca.gov | (916) 653-2128
Kayla Giese, Program Analyst – Kayla.Giese@catc.ca.gov | (916) 654-2215

SB 1 Programming
Matthew Yosgott, Deputy Director - Matthew.Yosgott@catc.ca.gov | (916) 651-6431
Thank You!