2025 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 7)



Riverside & San Bernardino Branch Workshop January 29, 2024 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Program Goals

- Increase walking and biking
- Increase safety of non-motorized users
- Help regional agencies meet their SB 375 goals
- Enhance public health
- Ensure disadvantaged communities fully share in the benefits of the program
- Provide a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users

SPORTAT

Program Structure

- Competitive funding program
- Funds distributed into the 3 ATP components
 - 50% for the Statewide Component
 - 10% for Small Urban & Rural Component
 - 40% for Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Component
- A minimum of 25% of funds in each of the 3 components must benefit disadvantaged communities

ATE OF CAL

VSPORTATI

Application Types

Large Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

- Total Project Cost of greater than \$10 million
- Large applications may apply for Pre-Construction phases only

Medium Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

• Total Project Cost of greater than \$3.5 million and up to \$10 million

Small Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

• Total Project Cost of \$3.5 million or less

Non-Infrastructure Only

Education and Encouragement Activities

Plans

 Community-wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school, or active transportation plan that encompasses disadvantaged community

Eligible Applicants

- Local, Regional, or State agencies
- Caltrans
 - Caltrans can also partner with other eligible agencies
- Transit Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public Schools or School Districts
- Tribal Governments
- Private Nonprofit (recreational trail funding)

TATE OF CAL

SPORTAT

Program Status

- Anticipate Approximately \$568,700 in Funding
- Six Cycles of Projects Selected for Funding
- Over 1,000 Projects Funded
- Most Provide Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
- Almost 100% Delivery Rate
- All the Cycle 1 Projects are Completed or Under Construction

VSPORTATI

Program Challenges

- Very Over Subscribed
 - Massive Community Need
 - Not Enough Funding
- Funding Requests are Getting Larger
- Ensure Program is Open to All Geographic Areas Across the State
- Program Funds all Project Phases
- Measuring Performance

TATE OF CAL

VSPORTATIC

CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA NOISSIUM NOISSIUM NOISSIUM NOISSIUM NOISSIUM NOISSIUM

Highlights from the 2025 Guidelines

- Program Schedule
- Application Update Submittable
- Justice40 Initiative
- New Federal Tools
 - <u>Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool</u>
 - US DOT Equitable Transportation Community (ETC) Explorer
- Quick-Build Program
- Policy Clarifications

Riverside County in the ATP

- Submitted 190 projects over six Cycles
- 75 projects have been funded overall (39% success rate):
 - 38 project funded through the Statewide component
 - 37 projects funded through the MPO component
- Average scores have generally remained in the low 70's across all cycles.
- Highest scoring application was a 99 from Cycle 2.

VSPORTATIC

Riverside County in Cycle 6

- 35 applications submitted
 - 15 applications were funded 43% success rate
 - 5 funded in the Statewide Component
 - 10 funded in the MPO Component
- General Feedback:
 - Direct Benefit narrative didn't discuss how project was requested/supported by DAC or how DAC community will have access to project.
 - Need response lacked detail. Why are destinations significant? How will project address lack of mobility? What are the community's local health concerns? Active transportation needs of students?
 - Safety/collision analysis was lacking, and narrative was not clear how improvements will address safety concerns. Proven track records not discussed.
 - Public participation was outdated and not project specific. Did not specify who
 was targeted and if DAC members were involved.
 - Maps throughout the application were unclear. Did not clearly show project limits and identify proposed improvements.

San Bernardino County in the ATP

- Submitted 152 projects over six Cycles
- 62 projects have been funded overall (41% success rate):
 - 23 project funded through the Statewide component
 - 39 projects funded through the MPO component
- Average scores have generally remained in the low 70's each cycle, excluding Cycle 5.
- Highest scoring application was a 92 from Cycle 2.

VSPORTATIC

CALIFORNIE OF CALIFORNIE NOISSING

San Bernardino County in Cycle 6

21 applications submitted

- 12 application were funded 48% success rate
 - All 12 were funded in the MPO Component

• General Feedback:

- Narrative did not address how DAC will access project or how it will close a gap.
- Local health concerns are minimally discussed and not compared to countywide/ statewide data. Students, older adults and persons with disabilities not mentioned. Not clear on how project is closing a gap.
- Collision data encompassed entire city/county and was not specific to project area. Hotspots outside of the project area were not addressed. Some projects had minimal collision data. Why is the proposed project a safety priority?
- Public participation needed to address how community was and will continue to be involved. Documentation attachment did not support narrative or was lacking.
- Combination projects did not discuss how the NI elements will help address the need and safety concerns.

Remaining Central Workshops

• February 6, 2024 9:00am – 12:00pm Scoring Rubrics *Virtual* TATE OF CALIA

NSPORTATIO

Thank You



Contact Information

Laurie Waters Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov

Beverley Newman-Burckhard Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov

> Elika Changizi Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov

Active Transportation Program Website