California Transportation Commission 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component (\$1,000's)

					Res	olution G-25-47	. ,	000's) ding R	Resoluti	on G-25-	33											
Resolution G-25-47, Amending Resolution G-25-3																						
МРО	Application ID	Project Title	County	Total Pro Cost	ect	ATP Funding	25-26	2	26-27	27-28	28-29	PA&ED	PS&E	R/W	V C	ON	CON NI	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	State Score (Out of 100)	Regional Score ‡
FCOG	6- Fresno County -1	Easton Sidewalk	Fresno	\$ 7	,256	\$ 682	\$ 19	98 \$	258	\$ 226		\$ 198	\$ 258	\$ 2	226			Medium Infrastructure	Х	Х	81	90
FCOG	6-Coalinga, City of -1	Coalinga Bike and Pedestrian Perimeter Network Gap Closures and Crossings	Fresno	\$ 1	,350	\$ 1,195	\$ 8	36 \$	452		\$ 657	\$ 86	\$ 57	\$ 3	395 \$	657		Small Infrastructure	Х		89	83
MTC	4-Contra Costa County-3	Verde K-8 Safe Routes to School	Contra Costa	\$ 5	,522	\$ 4,360	\$ 77	76		\$ 362	\$ 3,222	\$ 276	\$ 362		\$ 3	,222		Medium Infrastructure/ Non-Infrastructure	Х	Х	93	98.0
MTC	4-San Rafael, City of-1	Downtown San Rafael North-South Greenway Gap Closure	Marin	\$ 5	,444	\$ 4,355		\$	300	\$ 480	\$ 3,575	\$ 300	\$ 480		\$ 3	,575		Medium Infrastructure	Х		87	96.8
MTC	4-Solano County-1	Benicia Road Complete Streets Phase 2	Solano	\$ 2	,152	\$ 1,630	\$ 16	88		\$ 1,462			\$ 168		\$ 1	,462		Small Infrastructure	Х	Х	93	96.5
MTC	4-Berkeley, City of-1	Southwest Berkeley Bicycle Boulevards	Alameda	\$ 3	,875	\$ 3,430					\$ 3,430				\$ 3	,430		Medium Infrastructure	Х		92	95.5
MTC	4-Alameda, City of-1	Willie Stargell Avenue Safety Improvements	Alameda	\$ 7	,408	\$ 673				\$ 673					\$	673		Medium Infrastructure	Х	Х	89	95.3
SACOG	3-Sacramento, City of-3 §	Northgate Boulevard Complete Streets Sidewalks and Transportation Equity Program	Sacramento	\$ 69	,522	\$ 1,900		\$	1,900			\$ 1,900						Large Infrastructure	Х	Х	91	85.7
SACOG	0011 #	Implementation (Phase 1)	Yolo	\$ 31	,050	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,00	00				\$ 2,000						Large Infrastructure	Х		N/A	82.4
SACOG	3-Sacramento County-1	Whitney Avenue Road Diet and Multimodal Improvements	Sacramento	\$ 8	,172	\$ 402		\$	402			\$ 402						Medium Infrastructure	Х	Х	82	81.5
SACOG	3-Sacramento County-1	Whitney Avenue Non-Infrastructure Program	Sacramento	\$	56	\$ 50		\$	50								\$ 50	Non Infrastructure	Х	Х	82	81.5
SACOG	2 Vala Caustu 4	Yolo County - State Route 128 and Interstate 505 Interchange and Russell Blvd Active Transportation	Yolo	.	.009	\$ 369		•	369				\$ 369					I ama lafaaata ataa	V	X	75	76.4
*SANDAG	3-Yolo County-1 11-National City, City of-1	Program Improvements Bayshore Bikeway Segment 5 Connections	San Diego		,924		\$ 5,68		369				\$ 309		\$ 5	601		Large Infrastructure Medium Infrastructure	X V	_ ^	83	130.4
*SANDAG	11-La Mesa, City of-2	La Mesa Boulevard Complete Streets Activation	San Diego		,924	,	,					\$ 190			Φ 5	,001		Large Infrastructure	X		63	119
*SANDAG	11-Chula Vista. City of-1	Anita Street and Industrial Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	San Diego		.502	\$ 266	Φ 18		266			,	\$ 231					Small Infrastructure	×		94	104.9
SCAG	11-Imperial County Office of Education-1		Imperial			\$ 338			338			Ψ 55	Ψ 231				\$ 338	Non Infrastructure	X	Х	72	92
SCAG	7-Los Angeles County-5	Willowbrook Walk and Roll Pedestrian Safety Enhancements	Los Angeles			\$ 7,990	\$ 54			\$ 814	\$ 6,635	\$ 541	\$ 814	\$ 4	100 \$ 6		,	Medium Infrastructure	X	X	94	103
SCAG	7-El Monte, City-3 §	Valley Boulevard Complete Street	Los Angeles	\$ 34	,505	\$ 4,355	\$ 91	17		\$ 3,438			\$ 2,521					Large Infrastructure	Х		95	102
SCAG	7-Los Angeles, City of-2 §	Ballona Creek Multi-use Path Extension and Connections	Los Angeles	\$ 51	,338	\$ 5,090	\$ 2,15	50 \$	2,940			\$ 2,150	\$ 2,440	\$ 5	500			Large Infrastructure	Х		89	100
SCAG	7-Southern California Association of Governments-	Covina Town Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety and Connectivity (Gap Closure) Quick-Build Roadway	Los Angeles	\$	790	\$ 222	\$ 22	22							\$	222		Quick Build	Х		N/A	100
SCAG	7-Southern California Association of Governments- 2 #	-CSUDH Transportation Demand Management Plan: LA 28 and Beyond	Los Angeles	\$	284	\$ 284	\$ 28	34									\$ 284	Plan	x	X	N/A	92
SCAG	7-Los Angeles County- QB-1 Δ	Norwalk Boulevard Vision Zero Quick-Build Pedestrian Safety	Los Angeles		803		\$ 80								\$			Quick Build	Х		84	84
SCAG	12-Santa Ana, City of-3	Heroes Elementary School, Carver Elementary School, Willard Intermediate School, Wilson Elementary School Safe Routes To School	Orange		,968	\$ 3,350		50 \$	3.300			\$ 50	\$ 3,300					Large Infrastructure	X	Х	91	111
SCAG	12-Orange, City of-1	Santiago Creek Bike Trail Gap Closure	Orange			\$ 2,302		- +		\$ 2,302		- 33	,,,,,,,		\$ 2	:,302		Medium Infrastructure	X		83	100
SCAG	7-Southern California Association of Governments-		Orange		,000	·	\$ 41	16							7 2		\$ 416		X		N/A	93.75
SCAG	8-Coachella, City of-1	Connecting Coachella	Riverside		,334		\$ 2,15						\$ 2,150				Ψ +10	Large Infrastructure	Y	X	95	109
SCAG	8-Riverside County-3 §	Monroe Street and Interstate-10 Interchange Active Transportation Improvements	Riverside				\$ 2,18						Ψ 2,100		\$ 2	287		Large Infrastructure	X		87.5	107.5
SCAG	8-Rialto, City of-1	City of Rialto Safe Routes to School Improvements	San Bernardino			\$ 2,287 \$ 3,934	Ψ 2,28	,,			\$ 3,934				\$ 3			Medium Infrastructure	^	X	90	110
SCAG	7-Ventura, City of -1	Eastside Neighborhood Greenway	Ventura		,667			\$	280	\$ 1,130	Ф 3,934	\$ 280	\$ 1,130		\$ 3	,934		Medium Infrastructure Medium Infrastructure	X	X	86	98

California Transportation Commission 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component (\$1,000's)

				Resolution G-25-47, Amending Resolu						-33										
МРО	Application ID	Project Title	County	Total Project Cost	ATP Funding	25-2	26	26-27	27-28	28-29	PA&ED	PS&E	R/W	CON	CON NI	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	State Score (Out of 100)	Regional Score ‡
SCAG	7-Thousand Oaks, City of-1	State Route 23 and Olsen Road Bike Improvements	Ventura	\$ 826	\$ \$ 92		\$	\$ 92						\$ 92		Small Infrastructure			71	83
SJCOG	10-Stockton-01	Citywide Stockton Bicycle-Pedestrian Connectivity	San Joaquin	\$ 6,494	\$ 1,450					\$ 1,450				\$ 1,450		Medium Infrastructure	Х	х	96	119.25
STANCOG	10-Waterford, City of-1	Waterford Safe Routes to School - Washington Road	Stanislaus	\$ 845	\$ 845	\$	5 \$	\$ 95	\$ 745		\$ 5	\$ 55	\$ 40	\$ 745		Small Infrastructure	Х	х	66	85
STANCOG	10-Waterford, City of-3	Waterford Safe Routes to School - Welch Street	Stanislaus	\$ 948	\$ \$ 184	\$	5 \$	\$ 95	\$ 84		\$ 5	\$ 55	\$ 40	\$ 84		Small Infrastructure	Х	х	73	82.8
SBCAG	5-Santa Barbara-1 #		Santa Barbara	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$	110 \$	1,190			\$ 20	\$ 90		\$ 1,190		Small Infrastructure	Х		N/A	91.0
SBCAG	5-Santa Maria-1 #		Santa Barbara	\$ 2,793	\$ 1,021		\$	\$ 1,021						\$ 1,021		Small Infrastructure	Х	Х	N/A	86.5
SBCAG	5-Buellton-1#		Santa Barbara	\$ 836	\$ 440		\$	\$ 440						\$ 440		Small Infrastructure		Х	N/A	81.0
SBCAG	5-Santa Barbara-2#	Increasing Secure, Convenient, and Accessible Bicycle Parking in Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	\$ 35	\$ 35	\$	35							\$ 35		Small Infrastructure	Х		N/A	77.8
				\$ 508,657	\$ 67,481															
	Notes								Abbreviati	ons, Acrony	ms, and In	itialisms								
		s are on various scales (and not necessarily out of 100).	CON: Construction Phase																	
	# Project was not submitted									Disadvanta										
	§ Project requires baseline	agreement. this project is Southern California Association of Govern	monto							Fresno Cou		ernments Organization								
		ent was adopted May 15, 2025.	ments.									ation Commi								
	Critical via Compone	The was adopted may 15, 2025.								Non-Infrast		auon commi	001011							
									PA&ED:	Project App	roval and E	invironmenta	I Documenta	ation Phase						
												nd Estimate	Phase							
										Right-of-Wa										
												ncil of Govern								
									SANDAG	San Diego	Association	of Governme	ents	nnto						
												Association of C								
												f Governmen		o						
										Safe Route			11.0							
												Sovernments								

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Adoption of the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component For Seven Large Metropolitan Planning Organizations

RESOLUTION G-25-47, Amending Resolution G-25-33

- 1.1 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Sections 2380 2385 the California Transportation Commission (Commission) adopts a program of projects for the Active Transportation Program every two years no later than July 1 of each odd-numbered year, with each program covering a period of four fiscal years; and
- 1.2 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Streets and Highway Code Section 2382 subdivision (c), no less than 25 percent of overall program funds must benefit disadvantaged communities during each program cycle; and
- 1.3 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted the 2025 Active Transportation Program Guidelines on March 22, 2024 which describe the policy, standards, criteria, and procedures for the development, adoption, and management of the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.4 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted an amendment to the 2025 Active Transportation Program Guidelines and the 2025 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate on August 15, 2024; and
- 1.5 **WHEREAS,** the Amended 2025 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate provided \$168,700,000 for the 2025 Active Transportation Program and apportioned \$84,350,000 (50 percent) to the Statewide component, \$16,870,000 (10 percent) to the Small Urban and Rural component, and \$67,480,000 (40 percent) to the Metropolitan Planning Organization component in fiscal years 2025-26 through 2028-29; and
- 1.6 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted the 2025 Active Transportation Program Statewide and Small Urban and Rural components on December 5, 2024; and
- 1.7 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component for the San Diego Association of Governments which included \$6,137,000 for three projects on May 15, 2025; and

- 1.8 **WHEREAS,** the staff recommendations for the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component for seven large metropolitan planning organizations were posted on the Commission's website and made available to the public on June 2, 2025; and
- 1.9 **WHEREAS**, the staff recommendations conform with the 2025 Active Transportation Program Guidelines and other statutory requirements for the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.10 **WHEREAS,** the Commission considered the staff recommendations and public testimony at its June 26-27, 2025, meeting.
- 2.1 **NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Commission adopts the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component for seven large metropolitan planning organizations, which includes \$61,344,000 in funding for thirty-four projects, as reflected in Attachment B; and
- 2.2 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a project included in the adopted 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component must comply with the Active Transportation Program Guidelines; and
- 2.3 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that Commission adoption of the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Program of Projects does not authorize an implementing agency to begin work on a project. The implementing agency must not award a contract, and work cannot begin until the Commission has approved allocation of Active Transportation Program Funds for the project and, when applicable, federal approval to begin work has been received; and
- 2.4 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that Commission staff, in consultation with the California Department of Transportation and project sponsors, is authorized to make minor technical changes as needed to the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component to reflect the most current information, or to clarify the Commission's programming commitments, and shall request Commission approval of any substantive changes; and
- 2.5 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Commission directs staff to post the 2025 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component program of projects on the Commission's website.