

2025 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 7)



Nevada County Branch Workshop

January 8, 2024

2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Program Goals



- Increase walking and biking
- Increase safety of non-motorized users
- Help regional agencies meet their SB 375 goals
- Enhance public health
- Ensure disadvantaged communities fully share in the benefits of the program
- Provide a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users

Program Structure



- Competitive funding program
- Funds distributed into the 3 ATP components
 - 50% for the Statewide Component
 - 10% for Small Urban & Rural Component
 - 40% for Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Component
- A minimum of 25% of funds in each of the 3 components must benefit disadvantaged communities

Application Types



Large Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

- Total Project Cost of greater than \$10 million
- Large applications may apply for Pre-Construction phases only

Medium Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

- Total Project Cost of greater than \$3.5 million and up to \$10 million

Small Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

- Total Project Cost of \$3.5 million or less

Non-Infrastructure Only

- Education and Encouragement Activities

Plans

- Community-wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school, or active transportation plan that encompasses disadvantaged community

Eligible Applicants



- Local, Regional, or State agencies
- Caltrans
 - Caltrans can also partner with other eligible agencies
- Transit Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public Schools or School Districts
- Tribal Governments
- Private Nonprofit (recreational trail funding)

Program Status



- Anticipate Approximately \$568,700 in Funding
- Six Cycles of Projects Selected for Funding
- Over 1,000 Projects Funded
- Most Provide Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
- Almost 100% Delivery Rate
- All the Cycle 1 Projects are Completed or Under Construction

Program Challenges



- Very Over Subscribed
 - Massive Community Need
 - Not Enough Funding
- Funding Requests are Getting Larger
- Ensure Program is Open to All Geographic Areas Across the State
- Program Funds all Project Phases
- Measuring Performance

Highlights from the 2025 Guidelines



- Program Schedule
- Application Update – Submittable
- Justice40 Initiative
- New Federal Tools
 - [Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool](#)
 - [US DOT Equitable Transportation Community \(ETC\) Explorer](#)
- Quick-Build Program
- Policy Clarifications

Nevada County in the ATP



- Submitted 20 projects over six Cycles
- 4 projects have been funded overall (20% success rate):
 - 1 project funded through the Statewide component
 - 3 projects funded through the SUR component
- Average scores have remained in the mid-50's to 60's excluding Cycle 5 and Cycle 6.
- Highest scoring application was a 93 from Cycle 6.

Nevada County in Cycle 6



- 2 applications submitted
 - Both applications were funded – 100% success rate
 - 1 funded in the Statewide Component
 - 1 funded in the SUR Component
- General Feedback:
 - Need was not clearly conveyed in narrative response. (Addressing lack of mobility and Non-Infrastructure)
 - Safety/collision analysis was thorough even with lack of collisions in project area.
 - Public participation needed to be more recent and include community member participation.
 - Non-Infrastructure discussion fell short throughout the application. How will it help encourage usage? How will it help address safety concerns?

Placer County in the ATP



- Submitted 27 projects over six Cycles
- 10 projects have been funded overall (37% success rate):
 - 4 project funded through the Statewide component
 - 1 project funded through the SUR Component
 - 5 projects funded through the MPO component
- Average scores have gone back and forth between 50's and 70's each cycle, excluding Cycle 6.
- Highest scoring application was a 90 from Cycle 4.

Placer County in Cycle 6



- 5 applications submitted
 - 1 application was funded – 20% success rate
 - Funded in the MPO Component
 - 1 project was deemed ineligible
- General Feedback:
 - Not clear if the project was requested or supported by the disadvantaged community.
 - Need question lacking adequate responses. Connectivity and mobility discussion was lacking. Local health concerns were too general. Active transportation needs of students not included.
 - Safety/collision analysis was lacking and not clearly tied to countermeasures. Some crash hot-spots fell outside of project area.
 - Public participation needed more detail. Documentation was lacking or feedback received was conflicting with what was proposed in app. Some outreach was dated or insufficient for project size.

Remaining Central Workshops



- January 10, 2024
1:00pm – 4:00pm
Applications
Virtual
- February 6, 2024
9:00am – 12:00pm
Scoring Rubrics
Virtual

Thank You



Contact Information

Laurie Waters

Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov

Beverley Newman-Burckhard

Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov

Elika Changizi

Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov

[Active Transportation Program Website](#)