2025 Active Transportation Program (Cycle 7)



Sonoma Region Branch Workshop November 14, 2023 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Program Goals



- Increase walking and biking
- Increase safety of non-motorized users
- Help regional agencies meet their SB 375 goals
- Enhance public health
- Ensure disadvantaged communities fully share in the benefits of the program
- Provide a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users

Program Structure



- Competitive funding program
- Funds distributed into the 3 ATP components
 - 50% for the Statewide Component
 - 10% for Small Urban & Rural Component
 - 40% for Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Component
- * Tulare now falls in the Small Urban & Rural Component
- A minimum of 25% of funds in each of the 3 components must benefit disadvantaged communities

Application Types



Large Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

- Total Project Cost of greater than \$10 million*
- Large applications may apply for Pre-Construction phases only

Medium Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

Total Project Cost of greater than \$3.5 million and up to \$10 million*

Small Infrastructure or Infrastructure/Non-Infrastructure

Total Project Cost of \$3.5 million or less*

Non-Infrastructure Only

Education, Encouragement, and Enforcement Activities

Plans

 Community-wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school, or active transportation plan that encompasses disadvantaged community

^{*}Pending 2025 ATP Guidelines adoption in March 2024

Eligible Applicants



- Local, Regional, or State agencies
- Caltrans
 - Caltrans can also partner with other eligible agencies
- Transit Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public Schools or School Districts
- Tribal Governments
- Private Nonprofit (recreational trail funding)

Program Status



- Anticipate Approximately \$555,500 in Funding
 - \$100,000 fiscal years 2025-26 and 2026-27
 - \$177,750 fiscal years 2027-28 and 2028-29
- Six Cycles of Projects Selected for Funding
- Over 1,000 Projects Funded
- Most Provide Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
- Almost 100% Delivery Rate
- All the Cycle 1 Projects are Completed or Under Construction

Program Challenges



- Very Over Subscribed
 - Massive Community Need
 - Not Enough Funding
- Funding Requests are Getting Larger
- Ensure Program is Open to All Geographic Areas Across the State
- Program Funds all Project Phases
- Measuring Performance

Highlights from the 2025 Guidelines



- Program Schedule
- Application Update Submittable
- Justice40 Initiative
- New Federal Tools
- Quick-Build Program
- Policy Clarifications

Sonoma County in the ATP



- Submitted 32 projects over six Cycles
- 4 projects have been funded overall (13% success rate):
 - 1 project funded through the Statewide component
 - 3 projects funded through the MPO component
- Average scores have gradually increased each cycle, excluding Cycle 6.
- Highest scoring application was an 89 from Cycle 3.

Sonoma County in Cycle 6



- 4 applications submitted
 - 1 application was funded 25% success rate
 - Funded in the MPO Component
 - Only project to request a Cycle 6 Site Visit
- General Feedback:
 - Good job describing how the project will benefit disadvantaged communities.
 - More discussion of local health concerns is needed.
 - Safety/collision analysis was lacking and not clearly tied to countermeasures.
 - Public participation needed to be more recent and project specific.
 - Maps were not clear.

Mendocino County in the ATP



- Submitted 21 projects over six Cycles
- 9 projects have been funded overall (43% success rate):
 - 5 projects funded through the Statewide component
 - 4 projects funded through the SUR component
- Average scores have varied significantly between cycles, but generally have stayed in the 70's.
- Highest scoring application was a 90 in Cycle 2.

Mendocino County in Cycle 6



- 2 applications submitted
 - 1 application was funded 50% success rate
 - Funded in the SUR Component
 - Only project to request a Cycle 6 Site Visit.
- General Feedback:
 - More discussion of local health concerns is needed.
 - Safety/collision data was lacking with little to no explanation in narrative.
 - Good explanation of challenges faced during public participation process.

Central Workshop



• November 16, 2023

1:00pm – 4:00pm

Virtual

Thank You



Contact Information

Laurie Waters

Laurie.Waters@catc.ca.gov

Beverley Newman-Burckhard

Beverley.Newman-Burckhard@catc.ca.gov

Elika Changizi

Elika.Changizi@catc.ca.gov

Active Transportation Program Website