CTC-0001 (NEW 07/2018)

ROAD REPAIR AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017 PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT I-10 Truck Climbing Lane

| 1-10 | Track Chilibing Lanc | |
|------|----------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| | ResolutionTCEP-P-2021-07B |
|-----|--|
| | (will be completed by CTC) |
| 1. | FUNDING PROGRAM |
| | Active Transportation Program |
| | Local Partnership Program (Competitive) |
| | Solutions for Congested Corridors Program |
| | State Highway Operation and Protection Program |
| | |
| 2. | PARTIES AND DATE |
| 2.1 | This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <i>I-10 Truck Climbing Lane</i> , effective on, |
| 3. | RECITAL |
| 3.2 | Whereas at its December 2, 2020 meeting the Commission approved the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program, and included in this program of projects the <i>I-10 Truck Climbing Lane</i> , the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A and the Project Report attached hereto as Exhibit B, as the baseline for project monitoring by the Commission. |
| 3.3 | The undersigned Project Applicant certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible. |
| 4. | GENERAL PROVISIONS |
| | The Project Applicant, Implementing Agency, and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions: |
| 4.1 | To meet the requirements of the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (Senate Bill [SB] 1, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017) which provides the first significant, stable, and on-going increase in state transportation funding in more than two decades. |
| 4.2 | To adhere, as applicable, to the provisions of the Commission: |
| | Resolution <i>Insert Number</i> , "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Active Transportation Program", dated |
| | Resolution <i>Insert Number</i> , "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Local Partnership Program", dated |
| | Resolution <i>Insert Number</i> , "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program", dated December 2, 2020 |
| | Resolution Insert Number, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the State Highway Operation and Protection Program", dated |
| | Resolution G-20-77, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program", dated December 2, 2020 |

Project Baseline Agreement Page 1 of 3

- 4.3 All signatories agree to adhere to the Commission's Trade Corridor Enhancement Program, Guidelines. Any conflict between the programs will be resolved at the discretion of the Commission.
- 4.4 All signatories agree to adhere to the Commission's SB 1 Accountability and Transparency Guidelines and policies, and program and project amendment processes.
- 4.5 The SBCTA agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project.
- 4.6 The SBCTA agrees to report to Caltrans on a quarterly basis; after July 2019, reports will be on a semi-annual basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost, schedule, outcomes, and anticipated benefits.
- 4.7 Caltrans agrees to prepare program progress reports on a quarterly basis; after July 2019, reports will be on a semi-annual basis and include information appropriate to assess the current state of the overall program and the current status of each project identified in the program report.
- 4.8 The SBCTA agrees to submit a timely Completion Report and Final Delivery Report as specified in the Commission's SB 1 Accountability and Transparency Guidelines.
- 4.9 All signatories agree to maintain and make available to the Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including without limitation engineering, financial and other data, and methodologies and assumptions used in the determination of project benefits during the course of the project, and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 4.10 The Transportation Inspector General of the Independent Office of Audits and Investigations has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Project Applicant, the Implementing Agency, and any consultant or sub-consultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project, therefore all project records shall be maintained and made available at the time of request. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

5. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

5.1 <u>Project Schedule and Cost</u> See Project Programming Request Form, attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

5.2 Project Scope

See Project Report or equivalent, attached as <u>Exhibit B</u>. At a minimum, the attachment shall include the cover page, evidence of approval, executive summary, and a link to or electronic copy of the full document.

5.3 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

Notwithstanding any other term in this agreement, in the event of a cost overrun the state will cover a share proportionate to the state contribution of the TCEP funding identified in the Project Programming Request (PPR) submitted as a part of the baseline agreement.

Attachments:

Exhibit A: Project Programming Request Form

Exhibit B: Project Report

Project Baseline Agreement Page 2 of 3

SIGNATURE PAGE TO PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

I-10 Truck Climbing Lane TCEP-P-2021-07B

Resolution

California Transportation Commission

| 4/8/2021 |
|------------|
| Date |
| |
| 4/8/2021 |
| |
| |
| 04/15/2021 |
| Date |
| |
| Date |
| |
| |
| Date |
| |
| |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| Amendment (Existin | ng Project) XES | NO | | | Date 04/08/2021 11:47:44 | |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Programs L | .PP-C LPP- | F SCCP | TCEP S | TIP Other | | |
| District | EA | Project ID | PPNO | Nominatir | ng Agency | |
| 08 | 1F760 | 0815000050 | 3009Q | San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SB0 | | |
| County | Route | PM Back | PM Ahead | Co-Nominating Agency | | |
| San Bernardino | 10 | R 36.400 | R 39.200 | Caltra | ns HQ | |
| Riverside | 10 | R 0.000 | R 0.200 | MPO | Element | |
| | | | | SCAG | Capital Outlay | |
| Pr | oject Manager/Cont | act | Phone | Email A | Address | |
| | Paul Melocoton | | 909-884-8276 | pmelocoton@ | gosbcta.com | |
| Project Title | | | | | | |

Location (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work)

In San Bernardino County, from the 16th Street Overcrossing in Yucaipa to 0.2 miles east of the County Line Road Overcrossing in Calimesa (Riverside). Construct a truck climbing lane in the eastbound direction.

| Component | | Implementing Agency | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------|----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PA&ED | San Bernardino (| San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) | | | | | | | | | |
| PS&E | San Bernardino (| San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) | | | | | | | | | |
| Right of Way | San Bernardino (| San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | San Bernardino (| San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) | | | | | | | | | |
| Legislative Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assembly: | 42 | Senate: | 23 | | Congressional: | 36,8 | | | | | |
| Project Milestone | | | | | Existing | Proposed | | | | | |
| Project Study Report App | proved | | | | | | | | | | |
| Begin Environmental (PA | &ED) Phase | | | (Actual) | 08/11/2017 | 08/11/2017 | | | | | |
| Circulate Draft Environme | ental Document | Document Type | (ND/MND)/FONSI | (Actual) | 07/03/2020 | 07/03/2020 | | | | | |
| Draft Project Report | | | | (Actual) | 07/03/2020 | 07/03/2020 | | | | | |
| End Environmental Phase | e (PA&ED Milestor | ne) | | (Actual) | 09/18/2020 | 11/16/2020 | | | | | |
| Begin Design (PS&E) Pha | ase | | | (Actual) | 11/04/2020 | 03/03/2021 | | | | | |
| End Design Phase (Read | ly to List for Advert | isement Milestone) | | (Target) | 01/28/2022 | 05/06/2022 | | | | | |
| Begin Right of Way Phas | е | | | (Actual) | 09/21/2020 | 03/03/2021 | | | | | |
| End Right of Way Phase | (Right of Way Cert | ification Milestone) | | (Target) | 12/29/2021 | 05/06/2022 | | | | | |
| Begin Construction Phase | e (Contract Award | Milestone) | | (Target) | 06/17/2022 | 06/30/2022 | | | | | |
| End Construction Phase | (Construction Cont | ract Acceptance Mile | estone) | (Target) | 12/29/2023 | 04/22/2024 | | | | | |
| Begin Closeout Phase | | | | (Target) | 01/01/2024 | 04/22/2024 | | | | | |
| End Closeout Phase (Clo | seout Report) | | | (Target) | 01/01/2025 | 02/20/2025 | | | | | |

I-10 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane in Yucaipa

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

Date 04/08/2021 11:47:44

Purpose and Need

The purpose of the Project is to improve operational characteristics by separating trucks and other slow-moving vehicles from faster moving passenger vehicles on an additional portion of EB I-10 that includes steep uphill grades (sustained 3.5%). By providing a truck climbing lane, the conflicts between slow- and fast-moving vehicles will be reduced, which will result in improvements to safety and operations.

Need: A large volume of commercial trucks travel through the Project limits. According to the environmental documentation and traffic studies prepared for the Project, truck percentages in this area are in the range of 13-16 percent (over 19,000 trucks per day in both directions), with over 50% of these being 5-axle semi-trailer truck types. Truck accident frequency can be correlated to an increase in the differential speed between trucks and faster moving vehicles; therefore, climbing lanes are advantageous when excessive speed differentials exist.

Interstate 10 is a nationally significant freight corridor and critical for regional freight flows as well as inter-state commerce. It is on the federally designated Primary Freight Network (PFN), and the I-10 EB segment in Yucaipa is a significant bottleneck on this facility, turning a 6-minute trip time from Redlands to the county line into a 24-minute stop-and-go experience for both trucks and cars in the PM peak period.

| NHS Improvements X YES NO | Roadway Class 1 | R | Reversible Lar | ne Analysis 🗌 YES 🔀 NO |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Inc. Sustainable Communities Strategy | Goals YES NO | Reduce Greenhouse Gas E | Emissions 🔀 | YES NO |
| Project Outputs | | | | |
| Category | Out | puts | Unit | Total |
| Operational Improvement | Slow vehicle lanes | | Miles | 3 |

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

Date 04/08/2021 11:47:44

Additional Information

Performance Indicators and Measures: Note that in cases where Cal-B/C 7.2 was used to derive the change between Build and No Build scenarios, only the change is reported as output. In these cases the change has been included as the "Build Total" value so that the correct "Change" is reported.

Project Milestones: Actual anticipated Construction Contract Award Date is 10/05/2022. Listed milestone is 06/30/2022 to allow for programming funding in FY 21/22.

Any ROW costs shown in the cooperative agreement are there only so ROW provisions can be included in the cooperative agreement.

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| | | Performance Indica | ators and Measures | S | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| Measure | Required For | Indicator/Measure | Unit | Build | Future No Build | Change |
| Congestion Reduction | TCEP | Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel Time Reduction | Hours | 10,572 | 14,851 | -4,279 |
| | TCEP | Daily Truck Trips | # of Trips | 11,271 | 10,452 | 819 |
| | TCEP | Daily Truck Miles Traveled | Miles | 56,355 | 52,260 | 4,095 |
| Throughput | TCEP | Change in Truck Volume That Can Be Accommodated | # of Trucks | 4,110,000 | 3,810,000 | 300,000 |
| | TCEP | Change in Rail Volume That Can Be Accommodated | # of Trailers # of Containers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | TOED | Change in Cargo Volume That Can Be | # of Tons | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | TOEP | Accommodated | # of Containers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| System Reliability | TCEP | Truck Travel Time Reliability Index | Index | 1 | 2.03 | -1.03 |
| | TCEP | Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel Time Reduction | Hours | 10,572 | 14,851 | -4,279 |
| Velocity | TCEP | Travel Time or Total Cargo Transport Time | Hours | 0.109 | 0.23 | -0.121 |
| Air Quality & | LPPF, LPPC, | Particulate Matter | PM 2.5 Tons | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| GHG | SCCP, TCEP | a ticulate Matter | PM 10 Tons | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Carbon Dioxide (CO2) | Tons | 73,508 | 0 | 73,508 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | PC, Carbon Dioxide (CO2) PC, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) PC. Sulphur Dioxides (SOx) | Tons | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Sulphur Dioxides (SOx) | Tons | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | TCEP Daily Truck Miles Traveled TCEP Change in Truck Volume That Can Be Accommodated TCEP Change in Rail Volume That Can Be Accommodated TCEP Change in Cargo Volume That Can Be Accommodated TCEP Truck Travel Time Reliability Index TCEP Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel Time Reduction TCEP Travel Time or Total Cargo Transportime LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Particulate Matter LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Sulphur Dioxides (SOx) LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Carbon Monoxide (CO) LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Non-Motorized Serious Injuries LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Fatalities per 100 Million VMT LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Number of Serious Injuries LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Number of Serious Injuries per 100 Million VMT LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Million VMT LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP Jobs Created (Direct and Indirect) | Tons | -181 | 0 | -181 | |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) | Tons | -14 | 0 | -14 |
| Safety | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | | Number | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Number of Fatalities | Number | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Fatalities per 100 Million VMT | Number | 0.5 | 0.6 | -0.1 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Number of Serious Injuries | Number | 94 | 158 | -64 |
| | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Number of Serious Injuries per 100 Million VMT | Number | 16.7 | 29 | -12.3 |
| Economic Development | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Jobs Created (Direct and Indirect) | Number | 434 | 0 | 434 |
| Cost Effectiveness | LPPF, LPPC, SCCP, TCEP | Cost Benefit Ratio | Ratio | 9.2 | 0 | 9.2 |

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| District | County | Route | EA | Project ID | PPNO |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------|------------|-------|
| 08 | San Bernardino, San Bernardino | 10, 10 | 1F760 | 0815000050 | 3009Q |
| Project Title | | | | | |

I-10 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane in Yucaipa

| | | Exist | ting Total F | Project Cost | (\$1,000s) | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|-------------------------|---|
| Component | Prior | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | Implementing Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,979 | | | | | | | 1,979 | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| PS&E | 3,850 | | | | | | | 3,850 | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| CON | | 30,264 | | 2,890 | | | | 33,154 | San Bernardino County Transportatio |
| TOTAL | 5,829 | 30,264 | | 2,890 | | | | 38,983 | |
| | | Propo | sed Total | Project Cos | (\$1,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,979 | | | | | | | 1,979 | |
| PS&E | 3,850 | | | | | | | 3,850 | |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 30,264 | | | | | | 30,264 | |
| TOTAL | 5,829 | 30,264 | | | | | | 36,093 | |
| Fund #1: | RIP - Natio | nal Hwy Sy | /stem (Cor | nmitted) | | | | | Program Code |
| | | - , - , | | | | | | | i rogram code |
| | | | Existing F | | 00s) | | | | 20.XX.075.600 |
| Component | Prior | 21-22 | Existing F 22-23 | unding (\$1,0 | 00s) 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | |
| Component E&P (PA&ED) | Prior | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency |
| | Prior 2,890 | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E | | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) | | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) | | | | unding (\$1,0 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W | | | | unding (\$1,0 23-24 | | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will not be needed for the project and |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 2,890 5,780 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will not be needed for the project and SBCTA will remove the funds with |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 2,890 5,780 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will not be needed for the project and |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 2,890 5,780 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will not be needed for the project and SBCTA will remove the funds with |
| E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) | 2,890 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 23-24 2,890 2,890 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | 2,890 2,890 5,780 | 20.XX.075.600 Funding Agency San Bernardino County Transportation extended to 2/2021\$2890 PSE EXT. TO 2890 \$2890 PSE voted 01/27/21 Notes \$2,890 in RIP funds for CON will not be needed for the project and SBCTA will remove the funds with |

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| Fund #2: | Local Fund | ds - Local N | | | | | | | Program Code |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|--------|--|
| | | | Existing F | unding (\$1, | 000s) | | | | 20.10.400.140 |
| Component | Prior | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | Funding Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,979 | | | | | | | 1,979 | |
| PS&E | 960 | | | | | | | 960 | \$960 for Program Management costs is shown in PS&E phase. |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | Actual PS&E is funded with STIP- |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | RIP. |
| R/W | _ | | | | | | | | CON is \$5.196M |
| CON | _ | 6,190 | | | | | | 6,190 | CM is \$0.994M |
| TOTAL | 2,939 | 6,190 | | | | | | 9,129 | |
| | | | Proposed I | -unding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | 1,979 | | | | | | | 1,979 | Following submittal of the |
| PS&E | 960 | | | | | | | 960 | application, the Project Report was finalized, indicating \$0 costs in |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | ROW. \$394,000 in ROW costs |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | have been removed. |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 6,190 | | | | | | 6,190 | |
| TOTAL | 2,939 | 6,190 | | | | | | 9,129 | |
| Fund #3: | State SB1 | TCEP - Tra | ade Corrido | ors Enhanc | ement Acco | ount (Comn | nitted) | | Program Code |
| | | | Existing F | unding (\$1, | 000s) | | | | 20.XX.723.200 |
| Component | Prior | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | Funding Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | CON is \$8.084M |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | CM is \$1.546M |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 14,444 | | | | | | 14,444 | |
| TOTAL | | 14,444 | | | | | | 14,444 | |
| | | | Proposed I | unding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | | CON is \$12.125M |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | CM is \$2.319M |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | Regional share. |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 14,444 | | | | | | 14.444 | |
| TOTAL | | 14,444 | | | | | | 14,444 | |
| | | , | | | | | | , | |

PRG-0010 (REV 08/2020)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| Fund #4: | State SB1 | TCEP - Tra | ade Corrido | ors Enhance | ement Acco | ount (Comn | nitted) | | Program Code |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|-------|--------------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | | | unding (\$1, | | ` | , | | 20.XX.723.100 |
| Component | Prior | 21-22 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-26 | 26-27+ | Total | Funding Agency |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | CON is \$12.125M |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | CM is \$2.319M |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 9,630 | | | | | | 9,630 | |
| TOTAL | | 9,630 | | | | | | 9,630 | |
| | | | Proposed F | Funding (\$1 | ,000s) | | | | Notes |
| E&P (PA&ED) | | | | | | | | | CON is \$8.084M |
| PS&E | | | | | | | | | CM is \$1.546M State share. |
| R/W SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | State share. |
| CON SUP (CT) | | | | | | | | | |
| R/W | | | | | | | | | |
| CON | | 9,630 | | | | | | 9,630 | |
| TOTAL | | 9,630 | | | | | | 9,630 | |

PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR)

PPR ID ePPR-6507-2020-0001 v5

| PRG-0010 (REV 08/2 | 2020) | | | errk-0307-2020- | |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | Complete this page f | or amendments or | nly | Date 04/08/202 | 111:47:44 |
| District | County | Route | EA | Project ID | PPNC |
| 80 | San Bernardino, San Bernardino | 10, 10 | 1F760 | 0815000050 | 30090 |
| SECTION 1 - All P | Projects Projects | | | | |
| Project Backgroun | d | | | | |
| Print for Baseline A | Agreement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Programming Cha | _ - | | | | |
| Print for Baseline A | Agreement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Daggar for Drange | and Change | | | | |
| Reason for Propos | | 6 ilma maiman abaman | s in least and DID for | ماء | |
| ladea comments i | dentifying TCEP shares. Added comments clari | lying minor changes | s in local and RIP lun | us. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | e will delay one or more components, clearly ex | plain 1) reason for t | the delay, 2) cost incr | ease related to the delay | , and 3) ho |
| cost increase will b | | | | | |
| Print for Baseline A | Agreement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other Significant I | nformation | | | | |
| Print for Baseline A | Agreement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| SECTION 2 - For | | | | | |
| - | nt Request (Please follow the individual SB1 pro | ogram guidelines fo | r specific criteria) | | |
| Print for Baseline A | Agreement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Approvals | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

request.

| Date | Title | Signature | Name (Print or Type) |
|------|-------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

SECTION 3 - All Projects

Attachments

- 1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency
- 2) Project Location Map

08-SBd-10-PM 36.4/R39.2 08-RIV-10-PM R0.0/R0.2 EA 1F760 – 0815000050 – 3009Q 075.600, 800.100 – HE13 November 2020

Project Report For Project Approval

| On Route | Interstate 10 | |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Between | 16 th Street | <u> </u> |
| And | County Line Road | <u> </u> |
| | of-way information contained in this rethe data to be complete, current and acc | curate: |
| | Swan R. Esparza |) |
| | REBECCA GUIRADO Deputy District Director, R | |
| APPROVAL RECOMMI | ENDED: | |
| | Ferry Fard FERRY R. FARD Project Manager (Acting) | |
| CONCURRED BY: | | |
| | to of the spen | |
| | DAVID BRICKER Deputy District Director, E | nvironmental Planning |
| | CATALINO A. PINING II Deputy District Director, T | I Traffic Operations |
| | MA JAMAL M. ELSALEH Deputy District Director, D | |
| PROJECT APPROVED: | | |
| | Diane Morales | November 12, 2020 |
| for, | MICHAEL D. BEAUCHAMP District Director | Date |

Regional Vicinity and Project Location Map 5TH ST City of Highland City of Redlands SAN BERNARDING AVE COLTON AVE CITRUS AVE 5TH AVE OAK GLEN RD Begin Construction SBd PM 36.4 YUCAIPA BLVD City of Redlands End Construction Riv PM R0.02 WEDWOOD CANYON RD City of Yucaipa Sen Bernardine County COUNTY LINE RD diverside County City of Calimesa OCUSTAVE City of Beaumont City of Moreno Valley. 08-SBD-10 PM 36.4/R39.2 & LEGEND 08-RIV-10 PM R0.0/R0.2 Project Limits EA 1F7600 I-10 Eastbound TCL Improvement Project

I-10 EB Truck Climbing Lane in the City of Yucaipa, between 16th Street and County Line Road

This Project Report has been prepared under the direction of the following registered civil engineer. The registered civil engineer attests to the technical information contained herein and the engineering data upon which recommendations, conclusions, and decisions are based.

100

10/28/2020

JULIAN HERNANDEZ, P.E.

Project Engineer HDR Engineering, Inc. Date



P.M. 11/2/2020 Paula Beauchamp Digitally signed by Paula Beauchamp Date: 2020.11.03

10:23:51 -08'00'

Submitted By:

PAULA BEAUCHAMP

Director of Project Delivery and Toll Operations

SBCTA

Date

Concurred By:

A habib

11/03/2020

AYSHA HABIB

Date

Branch Chief, Caltrans District 8

Design Oversight

Table of Contents

| l. | INTRODUCTION | I |
|----|---|----|
| 2. | RECOMMENDATION | 2 |
| 3. | BACKGROUND | 3 |
| | Project History | |
| | Community Interaction | |
| | Existing Facility | |
| 4. | PURPOSE AND NEED | |
| | 4A. Problem, Deficiencies, Justification | 6 |
| | Purpose | 6 |
| | Need | |
| | 4B. Regional and System Planning | 6 |
| | Identify Systems | 6 |
| | State Planning | 7 |
| | Regional Planning | 7 |
| | Local Planning | 8 |
| | Transit Operator Planning | 8 |
| | 4C. Traffic | 8 |
| | Current and Forecasted Traffic | 8 |
| | Collision Analysis | 18 |
| | ALTERNATIVES | 21 |
| | 5A. Viable Alternatives | 21 |
| | No-Build | 21 |
| | Build (Preferred) Alternative | 21 |
| | Proposed Engineering Features | 21 |
| | Nonstandard Design Features | 24 |
| | Interim Features | 27 |
| | High-Occupancy Vehicle (Bus and Carpool) Lanes | 28 |
| | Ramp Metering | 28 |
| | California Highway Patrol (CHP) Enforcement Areas | 28 |
| | Park-and-Ride Facilities | |
| | Utilities and Other Owner Involvement | |
| | Railroad Involvement | 29 |
| | Highway Planting | |
| | Erosion Control | |
| | Noise Barriers | |
| | Nonmotorized and Pedestrian Facilities | |
| | Needed Roadway Rehabilitation and Upgrading | |
| | Needed Structure Rehabilitation and Upgrading | |
| | Cost Estimates. | |
| | Right-of-Way Data | |
| | <i>C</i> , | |

| | Effects of Projects-Funded-by-Others on State Highway | 31 |
|-----|---|----|
| | 5B. Rejected Alternatives | 31 |
| 6. | CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRING DISCUSSION | 32 |
| | 6A. Hazardous Waste | 32 |
| | 6B. Value Analysis | 34 |
| | 6C. Resource Conservation | |
| | 6D. Right-of-Way Issues | |
| | 6E. Environmental Compliance | |
| | 6F. Air Quality Conformity | |
| | 6G. Title VI Considerations | |
| | 6H. Life-Cycle Cost Analysis | |
| | 6I. Reversible Lanes | |
| 7. | OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AS APPROPRIATE | |
| | Public Hearing Process | |
| | Route Matters | |
| | Permits | |
| | Cooperative Agreements | |
| | Report on Feasibility of Providing Access to Navigable Rivers | |
| | Public Boat Ramps | |
| | Transportation Management Plan | |
| | Stage Construction | |
| | Accommodation of Oversize Loads | |
| | Graffiti Control | 42 |
| | Asset Management | 42 |
| | Complete Streets | |
| | Climate Change Considerations | |
| | Broadband and Advance Technologies | |
| | Other Appropriate Topics | 43 |
| 8. | FUNDING, PROGRAMMING AND ESTIMATE | |
| | Funding | |
| | Programming | |
| | Estimate | 43 |
| 9. | DELIVERY SCHEDULE | 44 |
| 10. | RISKS | 44 |
| 11. | EXTERNAL AGENCY COORDINATION | 44 |
| 12. | PROJECT REVIEWS | 45 |
| 13. | PROJECT PERSONNEL | 46 |
| 14. | ATTACHMENTS | 46 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA), in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), proposes to extend the eastbound (EB) truck climbing lane (TCL) on Interstate 10 (I-10) from the 16th Street bridge in the City of Yucaipa to just east of the existing EB County Line Road Off-Ramp at the San Bernardino County/Riverside County line (Project)(see Attachment A). The extension of the existing TCL within the Project limits for an additional three miles from its current location will improve operations by separating slow moving vehicles from faster moving passenger cars on a freeway segment with sustained grades of up to 3.75 percent (%).

The Project includes paving the existing I-10 dirt median and adding a concrete barrier to divide the EB and westbound (WB) roadbeds. The final striping will shift the existing three EB general purpose (GP) lanes to the inside so that lane number one will be located along the improved median, and the existing outside lane will provide a continuation to the TCL that currently ends at the EB Live Oak Canyon Road Off-Ramp. The Project Limits include striping transitions beyond the pavement construction limits to join the existing lane configurations on I-10.

The only structural work required as part of this Project is the widening of the Oak Glen Creek Bridge (No. 54-0648) in order to close the gap in the median between the EB and WB roadbeds. This Project has been classified as a Category 4B because the improvements do not require substantial new right-of-way (R/W) and do not substantially increase traffic capacity. According to the Project Study Report/Project Development Support (PSR/PDS) dated June 2017, the Project category assignment was done in accordance with Chapter 8, Section 5 of the Caltrans Project Development Procedures Manual (PDPM), and approved by the Deputy District Director for Design in November 2017. See Attachment I – Project Category Approval. The following table provides a summary of the Project.

Table 1-1 Project Summary

| Project Limits | 08-SBd-10 PM 36.4/R39.2 & | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| | RIV-10-PM R0.0/R0.2 | | |
| Number of Alternatives | 2 (No-Build Alternative & Build Alternative) | | |
| | Current Cost | Escalated Cost | |
| | Estimate: | Estimate: | |
| Capital Outlay Support | \$6.70 M | \$7.61 M | |
| Capital Outlay Construction | \$20.33 M | \$24.74 M | |
| Capital Outlay Right-of-Way | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Funding Source | Local, State & Federal | | |
| Funding Year | 2021/2022 | | |
| Type of Facility | 6 to 8 Lane Freeway | | |
| Number of Structures | 1, Oak Glen/Wilson Creek (Br. No. 54 0648 L/R) | | |
| Environmental Determination | CEQA: Initial Study (IS) | | |
| or Document | NEPA: Environmental Assessment (EA) | | |
| Legal Description | In San Bernardino County in Yucaipa from the | | |
| | 16 th Street Overcrossing to Riverside County Line | | |
| | & in Calimesa from San Bernardino County Line | | |
| | to 0.2 mile east of County Line Road | | |
| | Undercrossing | | |
| Project Development Category | 4B | | |

2. RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that this Project Report be approved for the Build Alternative and that the Project proceeds to the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase. This Project Report adopts the Environmental Document (ED) Initial Study with Mitigated Negative Declaration/Environmental Assessment with Finding of No Significant Impact (IS-MND/EA-FONSI) (Attachment L).