## 2020 Local Partnership Competitive Program Staff Recommendations

The Local Partnership Program, created under Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Beall, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), provides funding to counties, cities, districts, and regional transportation agencies in which voters have approved fees or taxes dedicated solely to transportation. Consistent with the intent behind SB 1, the Commission intends this program to balance the need to direct increased revenue to the state's highest transportation needs while distributing the economic impact of increased funding.

In March 2019, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) initiated the process to develop the 2020 Local Partnership Program Guidelines. The Commission held eight workshops between March 2019 and February 2020 to solicit input on the development of the Local Partnership Program Guidelines. These workshops included consultation with stakeholders representing regional agencies, local governments, private industry, and non-governmental organizations. The Commission's guidelines, adopted at its March 25, 2020 meeting, describe the policy, standards, criteria, and procedures for the development, adoption and management of the 2020 Local Partnership Competitive Program.

The Commission established the 2020 Local Partnership Competitive Program as a threeyear, \$216 million (Fiscal Years 2020-21 through 2022-23) program. Project nominations were due to the Commission on, or postmarked by, June 30, 2020. The Commission received 62 project nominations seeking over \$647 million. Since SB 1 provides an ongoing funding source for the Local Partnership Program, agencies with projects not recommended for funding in this cycle will have the opportunity to apply for funding in subsequent cycles.

An evaluation team, consisting of Commission and Caltrans staff, reviewed all 62 project nominations based on the screening and evaluation criteria set forth in the Commission's adopted guidelines. Due to the competitive nature of this program, staff evaluations were limited to documentation submitted with the application package including the required performance metrics outlined in the program guidelines. All projects nominated for funding provide significant value to the residents of California and the amount of funding requested clearly reflects the significant need to address transportation improvements across all localities in the state.

Recommendations for funding are set forth on the attached list of projects. The recommended projects were determined to be the projects that best address the criteria as outlined in the guidelines. Staff recommends Local Partnership Competitive funding totaling \$213 million for 21 projects valued at more than \$1.4 billion. The recommendation includes a variety of projects that provide multimodal transportation improvements in localities across the state. Collectively, the projects recommended will construct high occupancy vehicle, express, and auxiliary lanes; active transportation improvements; bridge improvements; transit improvements; traffic management system enhancements; local road improvements and rehabilitation; and new soundwalls.

The recommended projects will provide a variety of benefits that include: reduction of vehicle miles traveled; safety improvements; increased mobility; connectivity; and accessibility; bridge improvements; increased pavement conditions; reduction of greenhouse gas emissions; support the advancement of transportation, land use, and housing goals; and promote economic development.

The projects proposed for funding meet the following requirements: applicant agencies possess an eligible tax or fee within their jurisdiction that solely dedicates revenue to transportation; programming is for construction in an eligible fiscal year; each project has a construction funding plan; and there is a commitment by the applicants to fund cost increases. All applications were organized into eligibility types (voter approved or imposed fee) and population categories pursuant to the guidelines. The recommended list of projects resembles a similar proportion of these types and categories when compared to all projects submitted.

Projects not recommended for funding were considered less competitive for a variety of reasons including: construction to commence later in the funding cycle, less committed funds per program dollar leveraged, less benefit demonstrated when compared to cost, or minimal quantifiable air quality improvements demonstrated. Lastly, two projects were deemed ineligible based on information provided in the application. The projects not recommended for funding are, by and large, worthy projects though not as competitive for this program cycle.

The Commission will consider staff recommendations and adoption at the December 2-3, 2020 Commission meeting.

## 2020 Local Partnership Competitive Program Staff Recommendations (\$1,000s)

County	Applicant Agency	Implementing Agency	Project Title	Project Type	Ranking	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total Project Cost (1000s)	Total Recommended Funding	Voter-Approved (V) Imposed Fee (I)	Population Category
Fresno	Fresno County Transportation Authority	y Fresno County Transportation Authority	Golden State Corridor Infrastructure Improvements and Economic Development	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Complete Streets)	HIGH	\$ 7,000			\$ 47,085	\$ 7,000	v	11
Sonoma	Windsor	Windsor	Windsor River Road/Windsor Road Intersection Improvement and Multi-Use Pathway Connector	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Multi-modal Accessibility)	HIGH	\$ 2,842			\$ 8,119	\$ 2,842	I	v
El Dorado	El Dorado County Department of Transportation	El Dorado County Department of Transportation	Diamond Springs Parkway- Phase 1B	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Connector, Multi-modal Accessibility)	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)			\$ 5,320	\$ 28,293	\$ 5,320	I	IV
Nevada	Truckee	Truckee	Legacy Trail-Brockway Road Multi-Use Trail Connection	ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION (Gap Closure)	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)	\$ 630			\$ 1,260	\$ 630	v	v
San Diego	San Diego Association of Governments	s San Diego Association of Governments	Bike Up & Down in Uptown (BUDU)	ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION (Gap Closure, Connector)	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)		\$ 7,000		\$ 16,521	\$ 7,000	v	I
Sutter	Yuba City	Yuba City	Bridge Street Widening and Complete Streets	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVMENTS (Complete Streets)	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)	\$ 2,810			\$ 5,621	\$ 2,810	I	v
Alameda/ Contra Costa	Alameda County Transportation Commission	Caltrans	Route 680 Southbound Express Lane from Route 84 to Alcosta Boulevard	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS (HOV/Express Lanes)	MEDIUM/HIGH		\$ 25,000		\$ 259,000	\$ 25,000	v	1
Calaveras	Calaveras County	Calaveras County	Route 4 Wagon Trail Realignment	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Safety Improvements)	MEDIUM/HIGH	\$ 5,988			\$ 30,861	\$ 5,988	I	v
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	NextGen Bus Speed & Reliability Improvements	TRANSIT BUS (Bus Improvement/Bus Only Lanes)	MEDIUM/HIGH		\$ 25,000		\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	v	I
Los Angeles	Long Beach	Long Beach	Market Street Complete Street in the City of Long Beach	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Complete Streets)	MEDIUM/HIGH		\$ 2,838		\$ 13,000	\$ 2,838	I	ш
Mendocino	Point Arena	Point Arena	Mill Street Reconstruction, Sidewalk, Drainage, and Asphalt Replacement	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Road Rehabilitation/Pedestrian Safety Improvements)	MEDIUM/HIGH	\$ 1,065			\$ 1,420	\$ 1,065	v	v
Orange	Orange County Transportation Authority	Caltrans	Route 55 Improvement: from Route 5 to Route 405	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS (HOV/General Purpose/Aux lanes)	MEDIUM/HIGH	\$ 25,000			\$ 349,212	\$ 25,000	v	I
Riverside	Western Riverside Council of Governments	Eastvale	Limonite Avenue Gap Closure	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Gap Closure)	MEDIUM/HIGH		\$ 9,475		\$ 21,800	\$ 9,475	I	I
Sacramento/Yolo	Sacramento	Sacramento	I Street Bridge Replacement	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVMENTS (Bridge Replacement/Active Transportation)	MEDIUM/HIGH			\$ 15,000	\$ 228,248	\$ 15,000	I	ш
San Francisco	San Francisco County Transportation Authority	San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Mission Street and Geneva Avenue Safety Improvements in the City of San Francisco	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Safety improvements/ Gap Closure/Transit Enhancement)	MEDIUM/HIGH		\$ 8,700		\$ 20,548	\$ 8,700	v	П
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	Route 101/De La Cruz Boulevard/Trimble Road Interchange Improvements	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Bridge Replacement / Connector/Active Transportation)	MEDIUM/HIGH	\$ 25,000			\$ 73,391	\$ 25,000	v	I
Stanislaus	Stanislaus Council of Governments	Stanislaus County	McHenry Avenue Widening Improvements in the Cities of Modesto and Escalon	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Intercounty Connector)	MEDIUM/HIGH	\$ 2,128			\$ 22,322	\$ 2,128	v	Ш
Tulare	Tulare County Association of Governments	Caltrans	Route 99/Commercial Avenue Interchange (1) (2)	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS (Interchange/Aux Lanes/Active Transportation/TMS)	MEDIUM (+)		\$ 9,000		\$ 75,300	\$ 9,000	v	Ш
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	La Canada Flintridge	Route 210 Sound wall Improvements, Phase IV (3)	SOUNDWALL ONLY(4 segments)	N/A			\$ 5,500	\$ 11,000	\$ 5,500	v	I
	L.					\$ 72,463	\$ 87,013	\$ 25,820	\$ 1,263,001	\$ 185,296		

Funding available: \$ 187,167

Contingency List - Unused Incentive Funding HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS Santa Cruz County Regional (Multimodal improvements:Aux lanes/ Bus-only lanes/Active MEDIUM/HIGH (-) \$ 14,394 \$ 150,568 \$ 14,394 IV Santa Cruz Caltrans Watsonville- Santa Cruz Multimodal Corridor Program (1) V Transportation Commission Transportation) LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Active Transportation/ITS/Road Rehabilitation) South Watt Avenue Improvement: Florin Road to Jackson Road MEDIUM/HIGH (-) \$ 13,277 \$ 35,035 \$ 13,277 V Sacramento Sacramento Transportation Authority Sacramento County 1 \$ 27,671 \$ 185,603 \$ 27,671

(1) Recommending less than requested amount

(2) Awarded federal BUILD Grant

(3) Sound wall only project

Maximum unused incentive funding available: \$ 20,000

> Recommendation oversubscribed: \$ (7,671)

A project fact sheet is linked to each project recommended for funding.

## 2020 Local Partnership Competitive Program Staff Recommendations (\$1,000s)

	County	Applicant Agency	Implementing Agency	Project Title	Project Type	Ranking	Total Project Cost (1000s)	Total Requested Funding	Voter-Approved (V) Imposed Fee (I)	Population Category
	Napa	Napa Valley Transportation Authority	Caltrans	Soscol Junction (Route 29/221/Soscol Ferry Road)	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)	\$ 64,000	\$ 25,000	v	IV
Recommended in Othe Programs	r Riverside	Riverside County Transportation Commission	Riverside County Transportation Commission	Route 71/91 Interchange Improvement	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 148,208	\$ 25,000	v	1
	Monterey	Transportation Agency for Monterey County	Caltrans	Route 156/Castroville Boulevard Interchange	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 55,200	\$ 14,750	v	Ш
	San Francisco	San Francisco County Transportation Authority/City and County of San Francisco	Port of San Francisco	Mission Bay Ferry Landing	ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION	MEDIUM/HIGH (+)	\$ 58,400	\$ 7,000	v	II
	Sacramento	Sacramento Transportation Authority	Rancho Cordova	White Rock Road Safety and Congestion Relief	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 37,710	\$ 13,250	v	L
	Sacramento	Sacramento Transportation Authority	Sacramento County	Elverta Road Improvement: Dutch Haven Boulevard to Watt Avenue	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 25,000	\$ 5,500	v	1
	San Diego	San Diego Association of Governments	Caltrans	Build North Coast Corridor: Route 5/56 Roadway/Bike Connectors	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 46,690	\$ 15,000	v	1
	Riverside	Western Riverside Council of Governments	Moreno Valley	Indian Street/Cardinal Avenue New Bridge (Over Lateral A)	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM	\$ 4,714	\$ 2,000	1	1
Not Recommneded for	Riverside	Western Riverside Council of Governments	Temecula	Route 15 Congestion Relief	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM	\$ 8,089	\$ 2,743	I	1
Funding	Santa Clara	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority/San Mateo County Transit District	San Mateo County Transit District	Mountain View Transit Center Grade Separation and Access	TRANSIT RAIL	MEDIUM	\$ 78,781	\$ 25,000	v	1
A High-Ranking Project from the Same Agency is		Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission	Santa Cruz County	Route 152/Holohan Road Intersection	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENT	MEDIUM	\$ 3,602	\$ 1,350	v	IV
Recommended for Funding	Orange/VAR	Orange County Transportation Authority	Caltrans	Route 241 to Route 91 Express Lanes Connector	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (-)	\$ 250,000	\$ 25,000	v	1
runung	Riverside	Western Riverside Council of Governments	San Jacinto	Ramona Expressway Pavement Rehabilitation and Safety Improvements	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (-)	\$ 5,000	\$ 4,500	I	I.
	Sacramento	Sacramento Transportation Authority	Elk Grove	Kammerer Road Reconstruction Between Bruceville Road and Lent Ranch Parkway	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (-)	\$ 14,860	\$ 5,000	v	1
	Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Route 710 Early Action Soundwalls Package 2	SOUNDWALL / HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/LOW (+)	\$ 11,690	\$ 5,845	v	I
	Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	Route 710 Early Action Soundwalls Package 3	SOUNDWALL / HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/LOW (+)	\$ 52,000	\$ 25,000	v	1
	Sacramento	Sacramento Transportation Authority	Sacramento Regional Transit	Sacramento Valley Station Loop	TRANSIT RAIL	MEDIUM/LOW (+)	\$ 133,800	\$ 10,000	v	1
	Sacramento	Rancho Cordova	Rancho Cordova	White Rock Road Safety and Congestion Relief	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 37,710	\$ 13,250	I	v
	Sacramento	Sacramento County	Sacramento County	South Watt Avenue Improvement: Florin Road to Jackson Road	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 35,035	\$ 13,277	I	1
	Sacramento	Sacramento County	Sacramento County	Elverta Road Improvement: Dutch Haven Boulevard to Watt Avenue.	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM/HIGH (-)	\$ 25,000	\$ 5,500	1	1
	Alameda/Contra Costa/San Francisco	SF Bay Area Rapid Transit District	SF Bay Area Rapid Transit District	Hayward Maintenance Complex Sustainability and Capacity Improvements	TRANSIT RAIL	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 800,000	\$ 25,000	v	1
	Orange	Anaheim	Anaheim	Orangewood Avenue Bridge Widening	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 10,096	\$ 2,000	I	Ш
	San Diego	Carlsbad	Carlsbad	Carlsbad Boulevard and Tamarack Avenue Pedestrian Improvements	ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 2,435	\$ 1,000	I	IV
	San Joaquin	San Joaquin County	San Joaquin County	Grant Line Road Realignment	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 38,655	\$ 14,577	I.	Ш
	San Joaquin	Manteca	Manteca	Airport Way Widening	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 15,037	\$ 3,150	1	v
	San Mateo	San Mateo County Transportation Authority	South San Francisco	Hillside and Lincoln Traffic Improvements	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 2,200	\$ 1,100	v	Ш
	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	Caltrans	Santa Barbara Route 101 Multimodal Corridor - Segment 4D/4E	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 320,315	\$ 25,000	v	
Not Recommnded for	Shasta	Redding	Redding	South Bonnyview Road/Route 5 Interchange Area Improvements	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 8,050	\$ 3,000	I	v
Not Recomminded for Funding	Sonoma	Sonoma County Transportation Authority	Santa Rosa/Caltrans	Route 101/Hearn Avenue Interchange	HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 36,000	\$ 14,000	v	ш
	Yuba	Yuba County	Yuba County	Hammonton-Smartsville Road Safety - Final Phase	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (+)	\$ 2,897	\$ 750	v	v
	Riverside	Wildomar	Wildomar	Bundy Canyon Road Widening and Active Transportation Corridor	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM	\$ 29,847	\$ 11,343	I	v
	San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles	Paso Robles	South Vine Bridge	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENT	MEDIUM	\$ 11,650	\$ 5,500	I	v
	San Mateo	San Mateo County Transportation Authority	San Carlos	Holly Street / Route 101 Pedestrian and Bicycle Overcrossing	ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION	MEDIUM	\$ 11,600	\$ 5,800	v	II
	San Mateo	San Mateo Transit District	San Mateo Transit District	Battery/Electric Bus Charging Infrastructure	TRANSIT BUS	MEDIUM	\$ 41,850	\$ 20,925	v	
	San Mateo	San Mateo County Transportation Authority	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board	Atherton Station Hold Out Rule Removal	TRANSIT RAIL	MEDIUM	\$ 7,150	\$ 2,725	v	II
	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara Route 101 Multimodal Corridor - Cabrillo Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION	MEDIUM	\$ 43,013	\$ 14,920	v	
	San Bernardino	Apple Valley	Apple Valley	Dale Evans Parkway at Waalew Road Realignment	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (-)	\$ 1,360	\$ 680	I	v
	Yolo	Woodland	Woodland	Matmor Road and E. Gum Avenue Complete Streets and Rehabilitation	LOCAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	MEDIUM (-)	\$ 8,500	\$ 1,500	I	v
	Alameda	Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	Purchase 40-foot Diesel Buses	TRANSIT BUS	MEDIUM/LOW (+)	\$ 28,000	\$ 8,000	v	I
Ineligible Project Not Evaluated	Los Angeles	Manhattan Beach	Manhattan Beach	Manhattan Beach Boulevard and Peck Avenue Improvement		Not Rated	\$ 800	\$ 400	I	v
	San Bernardino	Rancho Cucamonga	Rancho Cucamonga	Foothill Boulevard Complete Streets		Not Rated	\$ 4,570	\$ 2,285	I	IV