



SENATE BILL 1121

Draft Interim State and Local Transportation
Needs Assessment

Senate Bill 1121: Interim Needs Assessment

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
<i>The Importance of California's Multimodal Transportation Infrastructure</i>	1
<i>Background on Senate Bill 1121</i>	1
<i>Engagement and Interim Report Development Process</i>	2
<i>Building on the 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment</i>	3
<i>Focus of the Interim Needs Assessment Report</i>	6
Chapter 2 Summary of Existing and Emerging Information	7
<i>Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan</i>	7
<i>Assets on California's State Highway System</i>	7
<i>2023 California Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment Report</i>	8
Pavement	8
Essential Components	9
Bridges	9
<i>Preliminary Transit Plans under Senate Bill 125</i>	9
Transit Fiscal Cliff	9
Senate Bill 125	10
<i>2023 California State Rail Plan</i>	11
Impacts of COVID-19 to Passenger Rail Services	11
California High Speed Rail	12
<i>Regional Transportation Plans</i>	12
<i>Additional Areas of Focus for the Full Needs Assessment</i>	13
Impacts of Zero-Emission and More Fuel-Efficient Vehicles on Transportation Revenue	13
Innovative Clean Transit Regulation	13
Climate Adaptation Challenges	14
Tribal Transportation Needs	14
Active Transportation	15
Accessibility	16
Airports and Maritime Ports	16
Chapter 3 Needs Analysis	17

<i>Transportation System Needs: System Maintenance</i>	17
What the State Highway System Management Plan includes compared to the Local Streets and Roads Report	17
State Highway System Management Plan	18
Local Streets and Roads Report	20
Self Help Counties Sales Tax Measure Expenditure Plans and Regional Transportation Plans Project Needs	21
California Transportation Plan	21
<i>Rail and Transit Operations – Challenges and Needs</i>	22
<i>Capital Needs</i>	23
Innovative Clean Transit Regulation	23
Chapter 4 Revenue Outlook	25
Near Term Gas Consumption and Revenue Projection	25
Other Transportation Revenues	26
Chapter 5 Next Steps	27
Revenue Projections	27
Identifying the monetary gap between our available revenues versus transportation project needs over the ten-year period (to 2035)	27
Discussion on the Impact of Declining Gas Tax Revenue	27
Develop Policy Recommendations	27

Chapter 1 Introduction

The Importance of California's Multimodal Transportation Infrastructure

At its core, California's multimodal transportation infrastructure exists to benefit the people it serves. The people of California depend on safe, accessible, and reliable transportation infrastructure to get to their destinations in a reasonable amount of time and cost, such as their jobs and schools; to meet with doctors and other health care practitioners; to visit family and friends; to purchase food, clothing, and other essential items; and to access community services, libraries, parks, and a host of other destinations essential for their well-being and quality of life. For this reason, it is imperative that the state's transportation system function for all users in all modes, regardless of income level, sex, race, ethnicity, sexual identity, geographic location, physical ability, age, or other personal characteristics or individual circumstance. California's transportation infrastructure also is critical to both the nation and world's economy, as evidenced by the fact that more than 40 percent of the total containerized cargo entering the U.S. arrives through California ports. Investing in California's multimodal transportation infrastructure should therefore be a top priority for ensuring the well-being of its people and communities, as well as ensuring its economic competitiveness in ranking amongst the top economies in the world.

Adequate funding is needed to address on-going maintenance of the existing system and to ensure the system achieves meaningful outcomes in transportation equity, environmental justice, and equitable economic opportunities. Funding also is needed to address future growth while continuing to:

- Grow the State's economy.
- Promote social equity and accessibility.
- Protect the environment.
- Provide safe mobility options.

Understanding the funding needs for transportation infrastructure is critical for the State to be able to meet Californian's expectations for a safe, accessible, equitable, and reliable multimodal transportation system and to continue to be an exceptional steward of tax dollars.

Background on Senate Bill 1121

In September 2022, Governor Newsom signed [Senate Bill \(SB\) 1121 \(Gonzalez, Chapter 508, 2022\)](#) into law. The intent of this legislation is to provide policymakers with a comprehensive picture on the transportation funding needs, for both state and local facilities, and how those needs will be met. Specifically, SB 1121 requires the California Transportation Commission (Commission), in consultation with the

California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), prepare a needs assessment of the cost to operate, maintain, and provide for the necessary future growth of the state and local transportation system for the next 10 years, consistent with the California Transportation Plan and with specific consideration of climate resiliency needs. The Commission is required to forecast the expected revenue, including federal, state, and local revenues, to pay for the cost identified in the needs assessment, any shortfall in revenue to cover the cost, and recommendations on how any shortfall should be addressed.

Under SB 1121, the Commission must prepare and submit an Interim State and Local Transportation Needs Assessment (Interim Needs Assessment) to the Legislature on or before January 1, 2024, and a complete needs assessment on or before January 1, 2025, and every 5 years thereafter. The Interim Needs Assessment reviews the state's surface transportation needs, with a focus on summarizing needs outlined in existing reports. The Interim Needs Assessment also includes a near-term, five-year gasoline consumption projection to 2029 which illustrates the decline due to increased fuel efficiency and adoption of zero-emission vehicles. Caltrans developed this near-term projection.

California's primary revenue source to fund transportation infrastructure improvements is the Fuel Excise Tax, which accounts for two-thirds of the state's funding. The initial five-year projection in this report illustrates how transportation revenues are not anticipated to keep pace with infrastructure needs into the future. The Complete Needs Assessment Report due on January 1, 2025 will provide a more detailed outlook on how significant the projected ten-year transportation revenue decline will be, the estimated ten-year transportation needs, and the growing gap between our needs and available revenue.

Engagement and Interim Report Development Process

As a result of both the state budget and contracting timelines, the Commission had a short amount of time to develop this Interim Needs Assessment. In the coming months, the Commission will have more in-depth workshops with state agencies, regional partners, cities and counties, community-based organizations, and non-governmental partners, particularly on gathering information from regional transportation plans and data inputs for transportation revenue projections. This information is critical especially as the Complete Needs Assessment is being developed in early 2024.

To begin developing the Interim Needs Assessment, the Commission convened a Stakeholder Working Group and kicked off the Interim Needs Assessment development process on August 8, 2023. The Stakeholder Working Group meets

regularly and its membership includes, consistent with SB 1121, community-based organizations, environmental justice and equity-based organizations, organized labor, the transportation industry, metropolitan planning organizations, county transportation commissions, regional transportation planning agencies, local governments, and transit operators. In addition, regular coordination with state transportation agencies, advisory bodies, and legislative staff has and will continue to be part of the engagement process.

Outside of the Stakeholder Working Group, interagency, and legislative coordination at the staff level, engagement opportunities will also include presenting at regularly scheduled meetings with organizations such as Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Regional Transportation Planning Agencies; the Rural Counties Task Force; Caltrans' Native American Advisory Committee, and the Interagency Equity Advisory Committee serving the Commission, Caltrans, and the California State Transportation Agency.

Stakeholder working group meetings and public workshops will continue. The SB 1121 Draft Interim Needs Assessment will have one additional public workshop in the fall of 2023. For the full report due to the Legislature on January 1, 2025, the Commission will host additional public workshops for the SB 1121 Complete State and Local Transportations Needs Assessment (Complete Needs Assessment) Report in Spring and Fall of 2024.

Regular updates on the needs assessment are being provided at Commission meetings. All reports, agendas, fact sheets, presentations, and other materials are posted on the Commission's webpage at: <https://catc.ca.gov/programs/sb1121>.

Building on the 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment

In 2011, the Commission prepared a Transportation Needs Assessment in partnership with Caltrans, Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Regional Transportation Planning Agencies, and other stakeholders to communicate an inventory of multimodal transportation needs, the cost to pay for it, and to provide a consistent message to the Legislature about the needs and costs. Similar to the 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment, the SB 1121 State and Local Transportation System Needs Assessment will articulate to the California Legislature a clear picture of multimodal transportation needs, available revenues, funding gaps, and potential policy solutions for long-term sustainable transportation funding. The Needs Assessment process will develop a consistent message from a diverse coalition of stakeholders from transportation industry, local and regional transportation planning agencies, metropolitan planning organizations,

organized labor, community based-organizations and environmental justice and equity-based organizations, cities and counties, and the public across the state.

Both the prior and current Needs Assessment efforts take place at a time of economic uncertainty – in 2011 California was at the tail end of the Great Recession, and the SB 1121 Transportation Needs Assessment is being prepared just after the end of the COVID-19 pandemic. Both the Great Recession and COVID-19 pandemic had significant impacts to the state and resulted in a period of reduced fuel consumption. However, in the long-term, transportation revenues are impacted by increased fuel economy and the transition to zero emissions vehicles required by state mandates not in effect in 2011.

The 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment Report articulated that investing in transportation infrastructure, both in terms of system preservation and expansion, would benefit users of the multimodal transportation system and generate jobs while bolstering one of the largest economies in the world. Without action, California's world class transportation system would be at risk due to decades of underinvestment. More than a decade later, we are under threat again on the possibility of underinvestment due to a shift on how people move, the introduction and adoption of zero emission vehicles, climate change, and continued growing congestion.

In 2017, the California Legislature enacted SB 1 (Beall, Chapter 5, 2017) which identified a \$59 billion shortfall to maintain existing state highway needs and a \$78 billion shortfall to maintain the existing network of local streets and roads. The SB 1 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program was meant to address a portion of the deferred maintenance on the State Highway System and the local streets and road system while also boosting funding for transit and active transportation.¹ However, the issue of deferred maintenance is no longer the only drastic challenge we face. The needs and issues to be addressed on our state and local transportation system have changed since the 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment – such as the increased frequency and severity of extreme climate events impacting our transportation infrastructure. From December 2022 through April of 2023, California experienced multiple atmospheric rivers, causing significant damage due to heavy rainfall for prolonged stretches of time. Entire towns have been lost due to increasingly intense wildfires. Entire segments of roadways have either been underwater or have fallen into the Pacific Ocean. On top of all the climate related damage, the state's gasoline fuel tax has been eroding due to increased fuel economy. This loss is being further accelerated due to the aggressive adoption of zero emission vehicles. The SB 1121 State and Local

¹ California State Controllers Office, https://www.sco.ca.gov/aud_road_maintenance_sb1.html

Transportation System Needs Assessment provides a critical opportunity to lay out an accurate picture of both the transportation needs and challenges California is faced with presently.

SB 1 funding has slowed deterioration of the state's roadway system by providing funding for multimodal transportation investments. State, regional, and local partners have demonstrated their ability to deliver transportation improvements efficiently and effectively with accountability and transparency. Just recently, the California State Auditor removed the state's transportation infrastructure from its "high-risk list" after 16 years in recognition of the progress California has made in rebuilding and upgrading the state transportation system. This is due in large part to SB 1 to the state's wise use of tax-payer money to upgrade California's aging transportation infrastructure as we were ushered in a new era.²

Our investments into cleaner transit fleets in recent years, along with continued technological advances in both fuel economy and battery electric vehicles, has led to California meeting its initial greenhouse gas reduction target set forth in Assembly Bill 32 (Nunez, 2006). Following Assembly Bill 32, Senate Bill 32 (Pavley, 2016) set a more aggressive target for greenhouse gas emission reductions – 40 percent below 1990 levels by December 31, 2030.³ However, there are serious challenges the State now faces that will continue to grow in severity over time, such as inadequate funding for transit exacerbated by the pandemic, continued revenue loss associated with decreased fuel consumption and zero-emission vehicle deployment, and the growing need for system preservation to adapt our transportation infrastructure to withstand climate change impacts. This Transportation Needs Assessment will lay the foundation for decisionmakers and the public to understand the impacts of deferred maintenance and the risks associated with reliance on a revenue source that has continually eroded over time due to growing fuel efficiency in automobiles - including a growing number of zero-emission vehicles statewide.

² <https://www.constructionequipmentguide.com/california-state-auditor-removes-transportation-infrastructure-from-and39high-riskand39-list/62473>

³ https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB32

Focus of the Interim Needs Assessment Report

The focus of the Interim Needs Assessment is to provide

1. An overview of existing information on projected transportation funding and needs
2. A status report of the assessment efforts completed to date
3. A plan for developing the Complete Transportation Needs Assessment Report

DRAFT

Chapter 2 Summary of Existing and Emerging Information

Senate Bill 1121 authorizes the use of existing reports and information in development of the needs assessment. This chapter provides an overview of documents that have analyzed and articulated transportation system needs. It also identifies emerging Needs Assessment areas that will require further information gathering and analysis. Information on needs and revenues contained in these reports is further discussed in Chapter 4 (Needs Analysis) and Chapter 5 (Revenue Projections)

Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan

Caltrans is the owner and operator of the State Highway System and its related infrastructure assets and facilities. Caltrans prepares the State Highway System Management Plan to integrate the maintenance, rehabilitation, and operation of the State Highway System into a single plan. The State Highway System Management Plan enables Caltrans to meet state and federal asset management requirements, while aligning transportation investments with state climate, health, and social equity goals while also stimulating a vibrant economy. The plan maintains a “fix-it-first” approach to meet defined condition targets, while placing an even stronger emphasis on creating a climate resilient transportation system that reduces greenhouse gas emissions. In doing so, this reduces the risk to state transportation assets that are in alignment with the state’s Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure.

Assets on California's State Highway System

The Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan encompasses a wide variety of physical assets, including four primary asset classes – Pavement, Bridges and Tunnels, Drainage, and Transportation Management Systems. This inventory consists of:

- 50,019 Pavement (Lane Miles)
- 13,272 Bridges and Tunnels (Structures)
- 20,298 Transportation Management System (Elements)
- 205,158 Drainage (Culverts)

Transportation Management Systems include, loop detectors and ramp meters, and other traffic operations elements. In addition to the four primary asset classes the State Highway System Management Plan includes eight supplementary asset classes:

- Complete Streets
- Drainage Pump Plants
- Highway Lighting

- Office Buildings
- Overhead Sign Structures
- Safety Roadside Rest Areas
- Transportation Related Facilities
- Weigh-In Motion Scales

2023 California Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment Report

The most recent California Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment Report (Local Streets and Roads Report), sponsored by the California State Association of Counties, the California League of Cities, and the Rural Counties Task Force, was published in April 2023 and analyzes the conditions of Pavement, Essential Components, and Bridges in 2022. Cities and counties are responsible for about 85 percent of the local streets and roads in the state of California, meaning most trips taken either begin or end on a local street or road. The first comprehensive statewide study of California's local street and road system was done in 2008, and regular updates since then have provided critical information and analysis of the local transportation network's condition and funding needs. Each subsequent report has monitored the changes biennially.

In 2017, the passage of SB 1 brought a significant amount of much needed funding to address the backlog of deferred maintenance on local streets and roads. However, the efforts to repeal SB 1 in 2018 created a climate of uncertainty where local municipalities were hesitant to commit to long-term repair efforts. Then the COVID-19 pandemic began in early 2020, which led to a significant reduction in transportation revenue and generated uncertainty through the 2022 construction season. These two events impacted local government transportation project delivery between 2018 to 2022. In addition, inflation increased repair costs considerably – construction costs rose 30 percent in 2022 when compared to construction costs in 2020.

Pavement

The average statewide Pavement Condition Index, a rating system used for California's local streets and roads and based on a scale of 100 (excellent) to 0 (failed), was 65 for 2022, half a point lower than the 2020 rating. The 2023 Local Streets and Roads Report indicated the overall condition of local streets and roads continue to be in fair condition and at risk of requiring extensive, and potentially more costly repairs. Additionally, 54 of the 58 counties in California have pavements in fair to poor condition.

The 2023 Local Streets and Roads Report highlights that the infusion of SB 1 dollars has significantly helped local cities and counties stabilize their pavement

condition at a Pavement Condition Index score of 65. Future assessments are needed to verify this remains true into the future.

Essential Components

The transportation network also includes essential safety and traffic components such as ADA accessibility improvements, curb ramps, sidewalks, storm drains, streetlights, and signals. For the first time, the 2023 Local Streets and Roads Report was able to separate the specific cost of Active Transportation needs. The full list of Essential Components can be found below on **Error! Reference source not found..**

Table 1: Local Streets and Roads Essential Component Categories

Asset Category	Essential Components
1	Storm drains and pipelines
2	Curb and gutter
3	Sidewalk (public)
4	Curb ramps
5	Traffic signals
6	Streetlights
7	Sound/retaining walls
8	Traffic signs
9	Other storm drain elements (e.g., utility access holes, inlets, culverts, pump stations)
10	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
11	Other ADA compliance needs
12	Other physical assets or expenditures

Bridges

City and county owned and operated bridges make up approximately 48 percent (or 12,339 bridges) of all bridges in California. The average age of a bridge in California is over 50 years, 10 years older than the national average, and more than half (52.1 percent by deck area) are in fair or poor condition. This does not imply a bridge is unsafe, just that deficiencies have been identified requiring maintenance, rehabilitation, or replacement.

Preliminary Transit Plans under Senate Bill 125

Transit Fiscal Cliff

The beginning of 2023 brought a significant amount of uncertainty for transit operators across the state. For the last three years transit agencies were given temporary federal relief funding for transit operations and payroll during the COVID-19 pandemic. Transit ridership declined dramatically during this period and has not fully rebounded. This has directly affected revenue collected in the

form of passenger fares by transit operators. The support from this influx of federal relief funding is set to expire for many transit operators by the end of Federal Fiscal Year 2024 and transit operators across the state will be negatively impacted, potentially resulting in cuts to transit service and workforce layoffs. Recognizing the dire situation faced by transit operators, the California State Legislature provided flexibility in one-time state funding to permit transit operators to use this previously approved capital funding to meet near-term operations funding needs through the passage of Senate Bill 125 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 54, 2023). Senate Bill 125 also established a series of new state processes to work with transit operators to identify a long-term solution to this precarious funding situation.

Senate Bill 125

SB 125 was signed by the Governor on July 10, 2023. It provides \$5.1 billion between Fiscal Year 23-24 and Fiscal Year 26-27 to regional transportation planning agencies and the operators in their jurisdictions on a formula basis, with flexibility to spend the funds on both capital and operating needs.

The intent of SB 125 is as follows:

- Provide one-time multiyear “bridge” funding for transit operators to address operational costs until long-term transit sustainability solutions are identified
- Assist transit operators in preventing service cuts and increasing ridership
- Prioritize the availability of transit for riders who are transit dependent
- Prioritize transit agencies representing a significant percentage of the region’s ridership⁴

In addition, SB 125 establishes a Transit Transformation Task Force led by CalSTA to develop policy recommendations to grow transit ridership, improve the transit experience and address long-term operational needs. An accountability program and reports on regional short-term financial plans were also included with the passage of SB 125.⁵

Right now, there is no up-to-date statewide assessment of capital and operations needs, or funding shortfalls, for California transit agencies. The last such assessment -- the 2011 Unmet Transit Funding Needs Report -- detailed the capital and operational funding estimates as well as funding needs, under unconstrained conditions, identifying a statewide ten-year funding total of \$24.6 billion for transit capital and \$85.4 billion for transit operations.⁶ However, that report is over a dozen years old. The Commission therefore intends to leverage information

⁴ CalSTA, <https://calsta.ca.gov/subject-areas/sb125-transit-program>

⁵ CalSTA, <https://calsta.ca.gov/subject-areas/sb125-transit-program>

acquired through the SB 125 program, as well as other sources of information, to inform the transit capital and operations needs analysis and projected funding shortfalls for the Complete Needs Assessment.

2023 California State Rail Plan

The 2023 Draft California State Rail Plan vision articulates the following: “Status quo is not an option. California’s economic, environmental, and equity goals demand a fully integrated, zero-emission, modern passenger and freight rail network that safely and reliably delivers more service to more destinations more often and attracts significant demand away from highway and air travel.”

The California State Rail Plan is a federally required document that is updated every five years by Caltrans. While the California State Rail Plan is not a financially constrained plan, it describes funding available for rail and identifies rail investments needed throughout the state.

The Draft 2023 California State Rail Plan sets priorities for capital project development, funding, and fleet deployment that inform negotiations with host railroads of the State’s direction for implementation. The first 10 years of the capital plan includes improvements that should be phased and advanced into project development to maximize use of existing system capacity and which the State reasonably expects to be delivered in that timeframe. The first five years include planned and programmed projects that will be implemented. The long-term Vision includes larger-scale improvements that the State could achieve through partnerships to fully realize the network service goals. The network Vision is tailored based on the understanding of market viability for passenger rail in different corridors, known constraints and an assessment of order-of magnitude costs – as an example, the Rail Plan Vision identifies service goals and phased implementation of improvements to provide High Speed connections Merced to Sacramento, the Inland Empire, and San Diego in advance of Phase 2 of High-Speed Rail.

At the time of publication of this draft assessment, the Draft 2023 California State Rail Plan has not been finalized, but outlines network service goals for intercity passenger rail, urban passenger rail, and short, mid, and long-term investment needs and implementation strategies through the year 2050. The Draft 2023 California State Rail Plan is consistent with both the California Transportation Plan 2050 and the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure.

Impacts of COVID-19 to Passenger Rail Services

The 2023 Draft California State Rail Plan highlights how the COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted passenger rail service across the state in terms of both funding and ridership.

Throughout the pandemic, there was a steep reduction in ridership for public transportation, which has significantly impacted operating costs and farebox recovery. While roadway congestion was initially reduced as much as 40 percent, transit ridership was reduced as much as 90% or 95%.

Travel in single occupancy vehicles has rebounded while rail and transit ridership continue to lag.

California High Speed Rail

The California High-Speed Rail Authority was established by the California Legislature in 1996 to oversee the development of High-Speed Rail in California. In 2008 California voters approved the bond measure, Proposition 1A, making it the nation's first ever voter-approved financing mechanism for high-speed rail. In 2015 a groundbreaking ceremony was held in Fresno to signify the construction of the nation's very first High-Speed Rail line. Today, 119 miles of the High-Speed Rail line has been constructed in the Central Valley. High-speed rail will provide the backbone of high-speed service that will increase connectivity between statewide, regional and urban services, and is an important component to the California State Rail Plan in terms of service connectivity and integration.

The [California High-Speed Rail Business Plan](#) identifies how High-Speed Rail is funded in the state and is updated annually. The High-Speed Rail line is funded through Proposition 1A and receives funding from Cap-and-Trade auction revenues (also known as Greenhouse Gas Reduction Funds). Recently, the federal government re-emerged as a funding partner. Additional passenger rail funding has been made available through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act. However, the COVID-19 pandemic also affected the development of High-Speed Rail in multiple ways, such as pausing work on construction in the Central Valley. Once work was able to resume, the cost of construction materials had increased during this period due to global supply chain issues.

Since High-Speed Rail has its own Business Plan, it's cost will not be rolled up into the full Needs Assessment. However, given the interconnection and synergy of High-Speed Rail with other mobility options and transportation modes, it will be acknowledged in the full Needs Assessment as a critical infrastructure investment.

Regional Transportation Plans

The Commission is currently working with the 18 Metropolitan Planning Organizations and meeting with the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies to gather information on their financially constrained and financially unconstrained multimodal project lists, which will directly inform the 10-year statewide transportation needs. The analysis will be completed and included in the Complete Needs Assessment.

Additional Areas of Focus for the Full Needs Assessment

The following additional transportation regulatory requirements, challenges, and needs will be addressed in the SB 1121 Complete Needs Assessment.

Impacts of Zero-Emission and More Fuel-Efficient Vehicles on Transportation Revenue

In 2020, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-79-20 to support achievement of the state's Climate goals. Executive Order 79-20 calls for all new passenger vehicle sales in California to be Zero-Emission Vehicles by the year 2035. This Executive Order also laid the foundation for the California Air Resources Board's Advanced Clean Cars Regulation II. The California Air Resources Board also oversees other regulatory programs related to zero-emission vehicles such as the Advanced Clean Fleets and Advances Clean Trucks, the latter focused on medium and heavy-duty vehicles. Each of these regulatory programs overseen by the California Air Resources Board complement one another and have similar milestones and goals. Meeting each of the zero-emission vehicle targets in these programs will help meet the goals set forth in Executive Order N-79-20 and further reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

In fact, sales of zero-emission passenger vehicles in the first quarter of 2023 surpassed the state's goal of selling 1.5 million light duty passenger vehicles by 2025, two years ahead of schedule.⁷ This target was set in Executive Order B-62-12 in 2012 under Governor Jerry Brown.⁸ The transition to zero-emission vehicles is beneficial to not only achieving our statewide climate goals but reduces the number of criteria pollutants and improves overall air quality, a direct benefit to people and the communities they live in. With the ongoing success of zero-emission vehicle sales comes the fact that this directly impacts the state's main transportation funding source – the gasoline excise tax.

Innovative Clean Transit Regulation

The California Air Resources Board's Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) Regulation was adopted in December 2018 and requires all public transit agencies to gradually transition to a 100 percent zero-emission bus (ZEB) fleet. Beginning in 2029, 100% of new purchases by transit agencies must be ZEBs, with a goal for full transition by 2040. It applies to all transit agencies that own, operate, or lease buses with a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) greater than 14,000 lbs. It includes standard, articulated, over-the-road, double-decker, and cutaway buses.

⁷ State of California, <https://www.gov.ca.gov/2023/04/21/california-surpasses-1-5-million-zevs-goal-two-years-ahead-of-schedule/>

⁸ State of California, <https://www.ca.gov/archive/gov39/2019/01/04/executive-order-b-62-18/index.html>

Additional funding opportunities are being identified to help offset the cost of Zero-Emission Buses, which would particularly help smaller and more rural transit agencies.

Climate Adaptation Challenges

Climate change is having significant impacts on our statewide multimodal transportation system. The Legislative Analyst's Office prepared a report in April 2022 entitled "Climate Change Impacts in California: Transportation" which highlights the five major Climate Change Hazards as:

1. Higher average temperatures and periods of extreme heat.
2. More frequent and intense droughts.
3. Increased risk of floods.
4. More severe wildfires.
5. Coastal flooding and erosion⁹

The Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan highlights that the Ten-Year Investment in Climate Focused Objectives went from \$1.1 billion in 2015 to \$4.6 billion in 2023.¹⁰ However, available funding still only addresses roughly half the needs outlined in the Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan.

Tribal Transportation Needs

California has the largest Native American population of any U.S. state, with 109 federally recognized tribes and 62 non-federally recognized tribes indigenous to the state.

Tribal governments fund transportation improvements through a variety of federal, state, and local transportation sources, and in some instances from businesses, such as casinos. The Federal Highway Administration manages the Tribal Transportation Program, which provides funding to federally recognized tribes for transportation planning, research, maintenance, engineering, restoration, and construction. The annual fund amounts for each federally recognized tribal government are determined by a formula that takes into account population and road mileage. Tribal Transportation Program funds can be used for roadways, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, transit operations, and other uses.

The State cannot collect fuel taxes on tribal lands due to the sovereign status of federally recognized tribal governments. Furthermore, California state fuel taxes

⁹California Legislative Analyst Office,

https://lao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/4576#Major_Climate_Change_Impacts_on_Transportation

¹⁰California Department of Transportation, Draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan

do not provide dedicated funding to tribal governments, although tribes are eligible to apply for some competitive programs, and transportation agencies sometimes partner with tribal governments on projects that have tribal significance. Tribal governments may face additional hurdles when competing for funding, such as limited staffing, insufficient access to matching funds, lack of awareness about federal and state funding sources, and limited resources for the planning and data collection necessary to put together a competitive application.¹¹

While each tribe is unique in its transportation needs, common transportation priorities include safety and road conditions. Caltrans conducted tribal listening sessions to inform the California Transportation Plan 2050, which was finalized in 2021. The following key needs and themes emerged from those listening sessions:

- Reliable access to tribal lands
- Road safety
- Multi-jurisdictional communication
- Inadequate funding
- Transportation planning capacity
- Multimodal mobility
- Cultural resources protection
- Emergency response
- Training and technical assistance
- Active partnerships¹²

Active Transportation

Active Transportation was identified in the 2011 Transportation Needs Assessment and will be included in the full Needs Assessment. Active Transportation Needs from the Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment, the State Highway System Management Plan, and Regional Transportation Plans will be collected and analyzed. Active Transportation is critical to our transportation system and provides multiple benefits and simultaneous benefits, such as improved bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, increased physical activity and reducing the number of vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions reduction.

Another indicator of active transportation needs comes from the Commission's Active Transportation Program. The 2023 Active Transportation Program (ATP) was able to fund 93 projects, or approximately 22 percent, of the 432 applications that

¹¹ <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/transportation-planning/division-of-transportation-planning/state-planning-equity-and-engagement/california-transportation-plan>

¹² <https://transweb.sjsu.edu/sites/default/files/2154-Reddy-California-Tribal-Nations-Transportation-Planning-Assessment.pdf>

the Commission received for the Statewide and Small and Urban and Rural components. The Commission was able to fund more projects in the 2023 Active Transportation Program due to a one-time augmentation the program received. To provide more perspective, in the 2021 Active Transportation Program funded 50 projects in the Statewide and Small Urban and Rural components, or approximately 11 percent, of the 454 applications received. Based on the 2021 Active Transportation Program, the Commission determined that another approximately \$1.5 billion would be needed to fund projects that had clearly and sufficiently met the evaluation criteria but were not able to receive awards due to lack of funding.

Investing in active transportation infrastructure increases mobility options for people and is a critical transportation component in helping California meet its climate, equity, health, and safety goals. Specifically, active transportation reduces both the amount of greenhouse gas emissions and criteria air pollutants and increases physical activity.

Accessibility

Many older adults and people with disabilities cannot use a private car or conventional public transportation. These population groups instead rely on accessible transportation services to stay connected to services, social opportunities, and community activities. Accessible transportation services are a range of programs that provide riders with necessary assistance getting into and out of a vehicle, accommodate special medical equipment and mobility devices, and pick up and drop off riders closer to their origin or destination.

The need for accessible transportation is expected to grow. The 2021 Master Plan for Aging highlights that in California the over-60 population is projected to increase "from 16 percent in 2010 to one-quarter of the population by 2030, when there will be 10.8 million older adults in California.

Airports and Maritime Ports

The Interim Report and the Complete Needs Assessment will not include the transportation needs and costs of Airports and Maritime Ports because these modes of transportation fall outside the definition of the state and local transportation system included in SB 1121. Information on Airports and Maritime Ports needs can be obtained from the California Aviation System Plan and the California Freight Mobility plan

Chapter 3 Needs Analysis

Transportation System Needs: System Maintenance

Both the State Highway System Management Plan and the Local Streets and Roads Report articulate that while much has been accomplished with the infusion of transportation funding from SB 1, significant unmet maintenance and operation needs remain across the state. This section highlights the assessment's initial analysis of the differences and the funding shortfalls that are anticipated on the State and Local side. The final needs assessment will expand on this analysis.

What the State Highway System Management Plan includes compared to the Local Streets and Roads Report

The State Highway System Management Plan is prepared by Caltrans and meets both Federal and State reporting requirements. It is updated every two years and Assembly Bill 515 (Frazier, 2017) requires that Caltrans submits the Draft State Highway System Management Plan to the Commission, with the Final State Highway System Management Plan submitted to the Governor and Legislature by June 30 of every odd numbered year.

The State Highway System Management Plan is a state-prepared document that exclusively focuses on the State Highway System and its assets, while the Local Streets and Roads Report is sponsored by local agency associations and focuses exclusively on the assets of cities and counties. Additionally, the State Highway System Management Plan reports on its Programs and Performance Objectives by aligning them with Caltrans's Strategic Plan Goals:

- Safety First
- Stewardship and Efficiency
- Climate Action (Climate Adaptation and Resiliency)
- Equity and Livability (Advance racial and social equity and environmental justice)
- Multimodal Network (Enhance and Connect the Multimodal Network)
- Cross-Cutting (Focus Areas that are achieving multiple goals)

Senate Bill 1 incorporated a significant number of accountability and reform measures, one of which requires Caltrans to report on how the four primary assets are meeting the targets laid out in the Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP). The TAMP describes the vision for how good asset management will help to deliver broad transportation goals and fundamental objectives supported by information on current asset conditions, the desired conditions in the future, and the likely conditions given future funding scenarios. The TAMP is also a key requirement of both federal regulation and California law. Federal regulation (23

CFR 515) requires an asset management plan be updated every 4 years from the date of initial TAMP certification for pavement and bridges on the National Highway System. Senate Bill 486 (DeSaulnier, 2013) requires Caltrans to develop an asset management plan for the State Highway System.

The Local Streets and Roads Report identifies a myriad of pavement funding sources, with some that are only available to local cities and counties compared to the State Highway System Management Plan. Available funding sources, beyond local revenues, for pavement include, but are not limited to:

- Federal Funding Sources
 - Community Development Block Grant
 - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program
- State Funding Sources.
 - State Transportation Improvement Program
 - Vehicle Registration Fees

Finally, the Local Streets and Roads Report includes the impacts of the electric and hybrid vehicle market share on the Gas Tax, re-emphasizing that the unmet needs in both reports may be impacted sooner than later.

State Highway System Management Plan

The State Highway System Management Plan clearly articulates how its four primary assets and supplementary assets are performing on the State Highway System as shown in Table 2: Existing SHS Inventory and Baseline Conditions for Primary and Supplementary Assets.

Condition of State Highway System Assets overseen by Caltrans

Table 2: Existing SHS Inventory and Baseline Conditions for Primary and Supplementary Assets

Performance Objective	Unit	Inventory	Condition (% of Inventory)		
			Good	Fair	Poor
Pavement	lane-mile	50,019	53.2	45.5	1.3
Bridges and Tunnels	square feet	253,638,040	49.3	46.9	3.8
Drainage	linear feet	20,033,247	74.2	16.2	9.6
Transportation Management Systems	each	20,298	77.8	N/A	22.2
Complete Streets	linear feet	8,423,470	64.9	14.5	20.6
Drainage Pump Plants	each	290	13.5	36.2	50.3
Highway Lighting	each	104,810	37.3	14.6	48.1
Office Buildings	square feet	2,669,524	40.1	32.3	27.6
Overhead Sign Structures	each	18,006	58.7	34.7	6.6
Safety Roadside Rest Areas	locations	86	30.2	41.9	27.9
Transportation Related Facilities	square feet	4,665,081	24.4	15.3	60.3
Weigh-in-motion Scales	stations	159	35.2	57.9	6.9

Unmet Needs in 2023 State Highway System Management Plan

The draft 2023 State Highway System Management Plan identifies that the 10-Year need is \$112.7 billion, with a 10-Year funding Investment of \$62.9 billion. That leaves a gap of nearly \$50 billion over the next ten years. The last column in Table 3: Draft 2023 Ten Year Needs Assessment and Investment Plan. When multiplied by ten the annual unfunded need comes out to approximately \$50 billion.

Table 3: Draft 2023 Ten Year Needs Assessment and Investment Plan¹³

Program	10 year Needs (\$B)	10 year Investment (\$B)	Annual Unfunded Need (\$B/yr)
Maintenance Program	6.5	6.5	0.0
State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP)	76.3	51.2	2.5
SHOPP New Objectives	29.8	5.1	2.5
Total	112.7	62.9	5.0

Local Streets and Roads Report

Since it was initially published in 2008, the Local Streets and Roads report has reported the Pavement Needs Assessment Goal for local streets and roads to have a Pavement Condition Index score in the 80s, to reach what is considered “Best Management Practice.” (Best Management Practice is the goal of achieving pavement condition score, on a scale of 0-100, of 80. This ensures preventive maintenance treatments, like slurry seals, chip seals, thin overlays, are most cost-effective.) Achieving this allows cities and counties to eliminate the deferred maintenance backlog. Currently the estimated 10-Year need for Pavement is \$81 billion but the available funding is only \$33.6 billion which results in a funding shortfall of \$47.4 billion, or \$4.7 billion annually.

For Essential Components (safety, traffic, and regulatory elements), the 10-year need is estimated to be \$39 billion in 2022, an increase from the \$35.5 billion reported in 2020.

To maintain the current condition of local bridges, it will require \$800 million annually, but only \$290 million is available. The Local Streets and Roads Report highlights that there is an estimated ten year shortfall of \$4.3 billion to maintain the safety and integrity of bridges.

The 2023 Local Streets and Roads Report identifies that there is a \$127.2 billion need on city and county operated streets and roads, with an estimated available funding of \$52.9 billion. This results in a total funding shortfall of \$74.3 billion.

¹³ Includes mobility hubs, complete streets, fish and wildlife connectivity, climate adaptation, and resilience.

Table 4: Historical Local City and County Needs

Asset	Needs (\$B)						
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020
Pavements	\$67.6	\$70.5	\$72.4	\$72.7	\$70.0	\$61.7	\$76.0
Essential Components	\$32.1	\$29.0	\$30.5	\$31.0	\$32.1	\$34.1	\$35.5
Bridges*	---	\$3.3	\$4.3	\$4.3	\$4.6	\$5.5	\$7.2
Totals	\$99.7	\$102.8	\$107.2	\$108.0	\$106.7	\$101.3	\$118.7

Table 5: Local City and County 2022 Funding and Funding Shortfall

Asset	2022 (\$B)		
	Needs	Funding	Shortfall
Pavements	\$81.0	\$33.6	\$(47.4)
Essential Components	\$39.0	\$16.4	\$(22.6)
Bridges	\$7.2	\$2.9	\$(4.3)
Totals	\$127.2	\$52.9	\$(74.3)

Self Help Counties Sales Tax Measure Expenditure Plans and Regional Transportation Plans Project Needs

The Commission is currently working on gathering the Regional Transportation Plans and working with local and regional sales tax measure authorities to analyze the project needs and transportation revenues that go beyond the State and Federal level.

California Transportation Plan

The California Transportation Plan (CTP) is the long-range, financially unconstrained, statewide transportation plan prepared every five years by Caltrans in accordance with federal regulations and state statute. The CTP provides a 20–25-year horizon and describes the state's transportation system priorities and a roadmap for future investment or outlook. The intent of the CTP is to provide a unifying vision to improve the statewide transportation system that informs, and is informed by, regional transportation plans and other statewide transportation planning documents. SB 1121 requires the analysis of future growth to be consistent with the CTP. This will be discussed in the Complete Transportation Needs Assessment.

Rail and Transit Operations – Challenges and Needs

There are several significant challenges beyond the impact of COVID-19 on ridership and funding that have affected the operational side of passenger rail service. Climate change is a serious concern for both passenger and freight rail. In particular, there are two Intercity Passenger Rail lines – the Capital Corridor and the Pacific Surfliner, that will be directly affected by sea-level rise. Just within the past year the Commission allocated \$1,126,000 to help stabilize the Del Mar Bluffs in San Diego County for the Pacific Surfliner route due to a landslide.

Currently, CalSTA is working with transit operators across the state and will be convening a Transit Transformation Task Force as required under SB 125. The purpose of the Transit Transformation Task Force is to consult CalSTA to “prepare and submit a report of findings and policy recommendations based on the task force’s efforts to the appropriate policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature on or before October 31, 2025. The report must include a detailed analysis of specified issues and recommendations on specified topics, including, among others, reforming the Transportation Development Act.¹⁴”

¹⁴ <https://calsta.ca.gov/subject-areas/sb125-transit-program>

Capital Needs

Capital needs for both passenger rail and transit operators include, but are not limited to, new passenger rail car or a new bus, new fueling or charging infrastructure, maintenance and operations facilities, dispatch, telematics, wayfinding, and ticketing systems, accessibility improvements, and new stations for transit or passenger rail services. Additional capital needs for passenger rail also includes laying new rail line tracks and safety improvements.

Since 2011, there have been several new regulatory requirements for transit operators, particularly those that operate buses described below.

Innovative Clean Transit Regulation

In 2018 the California Air Resources Board adopted the Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) Regulation which requires all public transit agencies that own, operate, or lease buses, to gradually transition to a 100 percent zero-emission bus (ZEB) fleet. By 2029, all new purchases by transit agencies must be ZEBs, with a goal for full transition by 2040.

Large and small transit agencies in California were required to submit their Innovative Clean Transit Rule Rollout Plans to the California Air Resources Board no later than June 30, 2023. All 21 large transit agencies, and 28 small transit agencies submitted their plans for review. The following information is based on what has been submitted to CARB by the transit agencies¹⁵.

Capital Cost for Innovative Clean Transit – Zero Emission Bus

The cost to purchase a ZEB is quite significant, particularly for smaller and more rural transit agencies in California. Large transit agencies have:

- Approximately 9,000 transit buses
- Average fleet of 400 buses
- An average fleet size of 20 ZEBs

The estimated cost to transition the entire fleet for each of the 21 large transit agencies is approximately \$400 million for a total of approximately \$8.4 billion.

Based on the Innovative Clean Transit Plans submitted by 28 small transit agencies, small transit agencies have:

- Approximately 1,200 transit buses
- 86 ZEBs across all fleets
- 11,024 transit busses still need to be transitioned to ZEBs

¹⁵ CalSTART, Summary of Innovative Clean Transit Rollout Plans August 11, 2023

The estimated cost to transition all small transit agencies bus fleet is \$38 million, accounting for vehicles only. This results in a total funding need of \$1.06 billion. This does not include infrastructure costs for clean fueling or charging stations.

Transit Agency Size	Cost to Transition to ZEB	Overall Cost
Large Transit Agency	\$ 400 million per agency	\$8.4 billion for all
Small Transit Agency	\$ 38 million per agency	\$1.06 billion for all

DRAFT


Chapter 4 Revenue Outlook

This chapter includes a near-term look at how fuel efficiency and zero emission vehicle deployment will lead to decreased fuel consumption which impacts our primary source of transportation funding – gas excise tax revenues.

Near Term Gas Consumption and Revenue Projection

The 2024 State Transportation Improvement Program Fund Estimate, prepared by Caltrans and adopted by the Commission in August 2023, provides a near-term analysis of how increased fuel economy and zero-emission vehicles has impacted gasoline consumption, as seen below in Figure 1. The graph below is an example intended to highlight how the projected declining gasoline revenue will impact the 2024 Fund Estimate out to the fiscal year 2028-2029. As shown, gasoline revenues are expected to be \$1.3 billion lower (13 percent) due primarily to increases in fuel economy and zero-emission vehicles. As alternative fuel vehicles add complexity to the existing tax structure and gain greater market share over gasoline and diesel vehicles, the state will need to continue to explore a tax structure that accounts for all fuel types in order to maintain appropriate transportation revenue levels.

Figure 1: Projected Gas Consumption Levels and Revenue Differences



Caltrans Division of Budgets prepared the hypothetical scenario based on the following assumptions:

- Vehicle miles traveled, consumption, fuel type data using CARB's EMFAC2021 database
- Electric vehicle penetration and gasoline fuel economy remain unchanged beginning in 2022-23
- Vehicle miles traveled is converted into consumption with the 2022 average gasoline fuel economy per EMFAC
- 2022-23 consumption per Department of Finance projections, each year thereafter based on calculated percentage change derived using above

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) develops the Emissions Factor (EMFAC) gas vehicle types and electric vehicle (EV) projections from 2022 to 2030 were pulled for this dataset. EMFAC's original dataset is projecting increased vehicle miles traveled (VMT) year over year through 2030, with EVs comprising most of the increase. Assuming fuel economy and EV penetration were held constant starting in 2022 and basing the graph on EMFACs projected total VMT, gasoline-powered vehicles make up all of the increase in annual VMT.

Other Transportation Revenues

With the limited time available to prepare the Interim Needs Assessment, the focus is on illustrating the impacts of increases in fuel economy and zero-emission vehicles on gasoline consumption, which is the foundation of the state's primary fund source for transportation, the gas tax. However, other state transportation revenue sources exist, such as diesel excise and sales taxes, vehicle registration fees (Transportation Improvement Fees) and truck weight fees. Additionally, federal and local funds are used to support California's transportation system. It is important to note that the federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act provides funding through Federal Fiscal Year 2026; funding levels after that time require an additional act of Congress to approve future transportation reauthorizations. Local funds require their own forecast methodologies. Due to time constraints to prepare the Interim Needs Assessment, projections related to other state sources, federal funds, and local funds will be considered in the Complete Transportation Needs Assessment Report.

Chapter 5 Next Steps

Revenue Projections

The Complete Needs Assessment will include revenue projections for all federal and state revenue sources, and an evaluation of local revenue sources, such as local sales tax measures, as included in each of the regional transportation plans prepared by Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Regional Transportation Planning Agencies.

Identifying the monetary gap between our available revenues versus transportation project needs over the ten-year period (to 2035)

The Complete Needs Assessment will provide information on what the transportation needs are compared to the available transportation revenue.

The adoption rate of ZEVs has grown dramatically in the last few years, particularly in the pandemic. With California's ambitious climate goals and new ZEV sales adoption by 2040, a thorough discussion with stakeholders will be critical to identify how significantly this will impact bicycle, pedestrian, passenger and freight rail, state highways, local roads infrastructure needs and transit service operations.

Discussion on the Impact of Declining Gas Tax Revenue

The Complete Needs Assessment will include a discussion of declining Gas Tax revenues and the revenue impact of the ZEV market share, especially as it pertains to safety, environmental justice, equity, accessibility, and climate goals.

Another question that will be explored in the Complete Needs Assessment is how declining Gas Tax revenue will impact California's Economic Well-Being? Specifically, what does this mean for maintaining our economic competitiveness? How will this effect employment? How does our transportation system serve some of our most vulnerable communities that have not historically been included, such as our Tribal partners where mobility options and infrastructure is limited? And how do we ensure that mobility options continue to address the needs and concerns of our users across all ages and abilities?

Develop Policy Recommendations

The Complete Needs Assessment will develop policy recommendations on how to address funding shortfalls.