To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: May 17, 2017

Reference No.: 4.21 - *Replacement Item* Information

From: SUSAN BRANSEN Executive Director Prepared By: Jose Oseguera

Assistant Deputy Director

Subject: <u>QUARTERLY REPORT – COMMISSION COMMENT LETTERS ON NOTICES</u> <u>OF PREPARATION AND DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTS</u>

SUMMARY:

At the June 2009 California Transportation Commission (Commission) Meeting, the Commission delegated to the Executive Director the authority to provide comments to routine Notices of Preparation and Draft Environmental Impact Reports. The Commission's delegation to the Executive Director requires that comments to routine Notices of Preparation and Draft Environmental Impact Reports be reported to the Commission on a Quarterly basis.

For the period of January 1, 2017 to March 31, 2017, the Commission received five Notices of Preparation. The Executive Director's comment letters are attached.

BACKGROUND (OR FINANCIAL RESOLUTION FOR ALLOCATIONS):

At the June 2009 Commission Meeting, the Commission delegated to the Executive Director the authority to provide comments to routine Notices of Preparation and Draft Environmental Impact Reports. The commission's delegation to the Executive Director requires that comments to routine Notices of Preparation and Draft Environmental Impact Reports be reported to the Commission Quarterly.

Attachments:

- Executive Director's comment letters to Notice of Preparation

BOB ALVARADO, Chair FRAN INMAN, Vice Chair YVONNE B. BURKE LUCETTA DUNN JAMES EARP JAMES C. GHIELMETTI CARL GUARDINO CHRISTINE KEHOE JAMES MADAFFER JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE

SENATOR JIM BEALL, Ex Officio ASSEMBLY MEMBER JIM FRAZIER, Ex Officio

SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1120 N STREET, MS-52 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 P. O. BOX 942873 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 (916) 654-4245 FAX (916) 653-2134 http://www.catc.ca.gov

March 6, 2017

Ms. Elizabeth White Office of Environmental Analysis California Department of Transportation, District 4 111 Grand Avenue, MS 8B Oakland, CA 94612

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Alameda Creek Bridge Replacement Project

Dear Ms. White,

The California Transportation Commission (Commission), as a Responsible Agency, received the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Alameda Creek Bridge Replacement Project. The project will replace the Alameda Creek Bridge and realign the bridge approaches on State Route 84 (SR-84) from postmile 13.0 to 13.6, in southern Alameda County.

The Commission has no comments with respect to the project purpose and need, the alternatives studied, the impacts evaluated, and the evaluation methods used. The Commission should be notified as soon as the environmental process is finalized since project funds cannot be allocated for project design, right of way or construction until the final environmental document is complete. The Commission will consider the environmental impacts and will make a determination on whether to approve the project for future consideration of funding.

Upon completion of the California Environmental Quality Act process, prior to the Commission's action to approve the project for future consideration of funding, the Commission expects the lead and/or implementing agency to provide written assurance whether the selected alternative identified in the final environmental document is or is not consistent with the project programmed by the Commission and included in the appropriate Regional Transportation Plan. In the absence of such assurance of consistency, it may be assumed that the project is not consistent and Commission staff

Ms. White Draft EIR for the Alameda Creek Bridge Replacement Project March 6, 2017 Page 2

will base its recommendations to the Commission on that fact. The Commission may deny funding to a project which is no longer eligible for funding due to scope modifications or other reasons.

If you have any questions, please contact Jose Oseguera, Assistant Deputy Director, at (916) 653-2094.

Sincerely,

userBrauch SUSAN BRANSEN

Executive Director

BOB ALVARADO, Chair FRAN INMAN, Vice Chair YVONNE B. BURKE LUCETTA DUNN JAMES EARP JAMES C. GHIELMETTI CARL GUARDINO CHRISTINE KEHOE JAMES MADAFFER JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE

SENATOR JIM BEALL, Ex Officio ASSEMBLY MEMBER JIM FRAZIER, Ex Officio

SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1120 N STREET, MS-52 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 P. O. BOX 942873 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 (916) 654-4245 FAX (916) 653-2134 http://www.catc.ca.gov

March 6, 2017

Ms. Smita Deshpande Generalist Branch Chief Department of Transportation, District 12 1750 East Fourth Street, Suite 100 Santa Ana, CA 92705

RE: Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the State Route (SR) 241/State Route (SR) 91 Tolled Express Lanes Connector Project

Dear Ms. Deshpande,

The California Transportation Commission (Commission), as a Responsible Agency, received the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) for the SR-241/SR-91 Tolled Express Lanes Connector Project. The project will construct a direct connector between SR-241 and the 91 Express Lanes with a total length of approximately 8.7 miles.

The Commission has no comments with respect to the project purpose and need, the alternatives studied, the impacts evaluated, and the evaluation methods used. The Commission should be notified as soon as the environmental process is finalized since project funds cannot be allocated for project design, right of way or construction until the final environmental document is complete. The Commission will consider the environmental impacts and will make a determination on whether to approve the project for future consideration of funding.

Upon completion of the California Environmental Quality Act process, prior to the Commission's action to approve the project for future consideration of funding, the Commission expects the lead and/or implementing agency to provide written assurance whether the selected alternative identified in the final environmental document is or is not consistent with the project programmed by the Commission and included in the appropriate Regional Transportation Plan. In the absence of such

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Ms. Deshpande Draft SEIR for the SR-241/SR-91 Tolled Express Lanes March 6, 2017 Page 2

assurance of consistency, it may be assumed that the project is not consistent and Commission staff will base its recommendations to the Commission on that fact. The Commission may deny funding to a project which is no longer eligible for funding due to scope modifications or other reasons.

If you have any questions, please contact Jose Oseguera, Assistant Deputy Director, at (916) 653-2094.

Sincerely,

Usap Brauser

SUSAN BRANSEN Executive Director

BOB ALVARADO, Chair FRAN INMAN, Vice Chair YVONNE B. BURKE LUCETTA DUNN JAMES EARP MES C. GHIELMETTI RL GUARDINO "ARISTINE KEHOE JAMES MADAFFER JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE

SENATOR JIM BEALL, Ex Officio ASSEMBLY MEMBER JIM FRAZIER, Ex Officio

SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1120 N STREET, MS-52 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 P. O. BOX 942873 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 (916) 654-4245 FAX (916) 653-2134 http://www.catc.ca.gov

February 7, 2017

Ms. Heather A. Tomley Director of Environmental Planning Port of Long Beach 4801 Airport Plaza Drive Long Beach, CA 90815

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility Project

Dear Ms. Tomley,

The California Transportation Commission (Commission), as a Responsible Agency, received the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility Project. The project will construct additional railcar storage and staging capacity, including additional rail tracks for locomotive fueling and railcar repair to accommodate more efficient assembly of cargo trains up to 10,000 feet in length.

The Commission has no comments with respect to the project purpose and need, the alternatives studied, the impacts evaluated, and the evaluation methods used. The Commission should be notified as soon as the environmental process is finalized since project funds cannot be allocated for project design, right of way or construction until the final environmental document is complete. The Commission will consider the environmental impacts and whether to approve the project for future consideration of funding.

Upon completion of the California Environmental Quality Act process, prior to the Commission's action to approve the project for future consideration of funding, the Commission expects the lead and/or implementing agency to provide written assurance whether the selected alternative identified in the final environmental document is or is not consistent with the project programmed by the Commission and included in the appropriate Regional Transportation Plan. In the absence of such

Ms. Tomley Draft EIR for the Pier B On-Dock Rail Support Facility Project February 7, 2017 Page 2

assurance of consistency, it may be assumed that the project is not consistent and Commission staff will base its recommendations to the Commission on that fact. The Commission may deny funding to a project which is no longer eligible for funding due to scope modifications or other reasons.

If you have any questions, please contact Jose Oseguera, Assistant Deputy Director, at (916) 653-2094.

Sincerely,

Susan Brausen

SUSAN BRANSEN Executive Director

BOB ALVARADO, Chair FRAN INMAN, Vice Chair YVONNE B. BURKE LUCETTA DUNN MES EARP SS C. GHIELMETTI L. GUARDINO CHRISTINE KEHOE JAMES MADAFFER JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE

SENATOR JIM BEALL, Ex Officio ASSEMBLY MEMBER JIM FRAZIER, EX Officio

SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA





CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1120 N STREET, MS-52 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 P. O. BOX 942873 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 (916) 654-4245 FAX (916) 653-2134 http://www.catc.ca.gov

January 17, 2017

Mr. Tom Fitzwater SVRT Environmental Planning Manager VTA Environmental Programs & Resources, Building B-2 3331 North First Street San Jose, CA 95134

RE: Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (Draft SEIS/SEIR) for the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority's Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Silicon Valley – Phase II Extension Project

Dear Mr. Fitzwater,

The California Transportation Commission (Commission), as a Responsible Agency, received the Draft SEIS/SEIR for Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority's (VTA) BART Silicon Valley – Phase II Extension Project. The project consists of a 16-mile extension of the BART system from BART's Warm Springs Station in Alameda County into Santa Clara County. VTA's BART Silicon Valley Program is planned to be implemented in two phases. This Draft SEIS/SEIR addresses Phase II, which is the remaining six miles of the Silicon Valley Program from Berryessa to San Jose that was not approved in the 2010 EIS. Although the 16-mile, six station, BART Silicon Valley Program was approved in previous EIRs, this SEIR will be the first California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document to evaluate a six mile, four station extension.

The Commission has no comments with respect to the project purpose and need, the alternatives studied, the impacts evaluated, and the evaluation methods used. The Commission should be notified as soon as the environmental process is complete, as the Commission cannot allocate funds to a project for design, right of way or construction until the final environmental document is complete and the Commission has considered the environmental impacts of the project and approved the environmentally cleared project for future consideration of funding.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Mr. Fitzwater Draft SEIS/SEIR for VTA's BART Silicon Valley – Phase II Extension Project January 17, 2017 Page 2

Upon completion of the CEQA process, prior to the Commission's action to approve the project for future consideration of funding, the Commission expects the lead and/or implementing agency to provide written assurance whether the selected alternatives identified in the final environmental document is or is not consistent with the project programmed by the Commission and included in the Regional Transportation Plan. In the absence of such assurance of consistency, it may be assumed that the project is not consistent and Commission staff will base its recommendations to the Commission on that fact. The Commission may deny funding to a project which is no longer eligible for funding due to scope modifications or other reasons.

If you have any questions, please contact Jose Oseguera, Assistant Deputy Director, at (916) 653-2094.

Sincerely,

JUSAN Brahala

SUSAN BRANSEN Executive Director

BOB ALVARADO, Chair FRAN INMAN, Vieo Chair YVONNE B. BURKE LUCETTA DUNN JAMES EARP 'MES C. GHIELMETTI 'RL GUARDINO ...dRISTINE KEHOE JAMES MADAFFER JOSEPH TAVAGLIONE

SENATOR JIM BEALL, Ex Officio ASSEMBLY MEMBER JIM FRAZIER, Ex Officio

SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1120 N STREET, MS-52 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 P. O. BOX 942873 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 (916) 654-4245 FAX (916) 653-2134 http://www.catc.ca.gov

January 18, 2017

Mr. Richard Putler Senior Environmental Planner Central Region Environmental Division California Department of Transportation 855 M Street, Suite 200 Fresno, CA 93721

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Porterville Intersection Improvements on State Route 190 Project

Dear Mr. Putler,

The California Transportation Commission (Commission), as a Responsible Agency, received the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Porterville Intersection Improvements on State Route 190 Project. The project will construct a turn lane, eliminate merge movements, and allow pedestrian movements in five locations along State Route 190.

The Commission has no comments with respect to the project purpose and need, the alternatives studied, the impacts evaluated, and the evaluation methods used. The Commission should be notified as soon as the environmental process is complete, as the Commission cannot allocate funds to a project for design, right of way or construction until the final environmental document is complete and the Commission has considered the environmental impacts of the project and approved the environmentally cleared project for future consideration of funding.

Upon completion of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process, prior to the Commission's action to approve the project for future consideration of funding, the Commission expects the lead and/or implementing agency to provide written assurance whether the selected alternative identified in the final environmental document is or is not consistent with the project

Mr. Putler Draft EIR for the Porterville Intersection Improvements on State Route 190 January 18, 2017 Page 2

programmed by the Commission and included in the appropriate Regional Transportation Plan. In the absence of such assurance of consistency, it may be assumed that the project is not consistent and Commission staff will base its recommendations to the Commission on that fact. The Commission may deny funding to a project which is no longer eligible for funding due to scope modifications or other reasons.

If you have any questions, please contact Jose Oseguera, Assistant Deputy Director, at (916) 653-2094.

Sincerely,

Swap Brappel

SUSAN BRANSEN Executive Director