|         |            | tation Commission                              |       |                  |             |                        |           |                     |           |   |
|---------|------------|--|-------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---|
| March 1 | 5-16, 2017 | Meeting - Extension Requests / Staff Recommend | ation |                  |             |                        |           |                     |           |   |
| Agenda  |            |  |       |                  |             |                        | Extension | Recomm              | endations |   |
| Item#   | Ref#       | Extension Type                                 | Proj# | PPNO             | County      | Agency                 | Request   | Caltrans            | CTC Staff | Notes   |
|         |            |  |       |                  |             |                        |           |                     |           |   |
| 86      | 2.8b.(1)   | Contract Award - SHOPP                         | 1     | 6624             | Tulare      | Caltrans               | 6 months  | <del>6 months</del> |           | <del>Just Awarded</del>   |
|         |            |  | 2     | <del>0480G</del> | Sonoma      | Caltrans               | 6 months  | <del>6 months</del> |           | <del>Just Awarded</del>   |
|         |            |  | 3     | 0485U            | Santa Clara | Caltrans               | 9 Months  | 9 Months            | 6 Months  | Involves RWQCB permit for the parent project and an endowment agreement for the long term stewardship of the mitigation's functions. Delay occurred due to the timing of the permit and to ensure the endowment would be in place before the start of the mitigation work.  |
|         |            |  | 4     | 2358             | Santa Cruz  | Caltrans               | 6 Months  | 6 Months            | 6 Months  | Project bids opened in October 2016; however, one bid was received only. The bid came in 66 percent above the estimates. The Dept. rejected the bid, re-packaged, and readvertised the project. The extension is needed to award the contract.  |
|         |            |  | 5     | 6654             | Tulare      | Caltrans               | 9 Months  | 9 Months            | 6 Months  | The project was RTL in June 2016; however, a utility conflict was identified requiring a utility agreement with SoCal Gas. The RW and RTL were re-certified in December 2016.   |
|         |            |  | 6     | <del>0558</del>  | Imperial    | Caltrans               | 6 Months  | 6 Months            |           | <del>Just Awarded</del>   |
|         |            |  | 7     | 0559             | Imperial    | Caltrans               | 6 Months  | 6 Months            | 6 Months  | The Dept. has received claims on pavement projects regarding the current pavement smoothness specification. The Dept.'s new specification is expected soon, which is needed before the project can be re-advertised and awarded.  |
|         |            |  | 8     | 3473             | Siskiyou    | Caltrans               | 6 Months  | 6 Months            | 6 Months  | The lowest bidder did not meet the Dept.'s Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goals; a reconsideration hearing was held in January 2017. The second lowest bid came at a 20 percent over the Engineer's estimate. The current funding is inadequate to award. The extension is needed to provide the Dept. sufficient time to determine the proper course of action. |
|         |            |  | 9     | 9991C            | Riverside   | Caltrans               | 6 months  | 6 months            | 6 Months  | The low bidder had a subcontractor that did not possess the correct license. The project needs to be re-advertised.   |
| 87      | 2.8b.(2)   | Contract Award - STIP Local                    | 1     | 4517             | Mendocino   | County of<br>Mendocino | 14 months | 14 months           | 14 months | Bids received for Construction exceeded the amount of funds programmed. Need additional time to seek local, state or federal funds.   |
| 88      | 2.8b.(3)   | Contract Award - ATP                           | 1     | 1168             | SBD         | City of Chino Hills    | 6 months  | 6 months            | 6 Months  | The City needed to include this project in an FTIP amendment and cannot advertise and award the project contract until the amendment is approved.   |

| Californ | California Transportation Commission |   |       |       |             |                          |           |                 |           |   |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| March 1  | 15-16, 2017                          | Meeting - Extension Requests / Staff Recommenda |       |       |             |                          |           |                 |           |   |
| Agenda   |                                      |   |       |       |             |                          | Extension | Recommendations |           |   |
| Item#    | Ref#                                 | Extension Type                                  | Proj# | PPNO  | County      | Agency                   | Request   | Caltrans        | CTC Staff | Notes   |
|          |                                      |   | 2     | 5140  | Los Angeles | City of Santa<br>Clarita | 3 months  | 3 months        | 3 months  | The City had an unexpected but necessary scope increase. The City needed to seek additional funding for the scope increase causing project delays.  |
|          |                                      |   | 3     | 5154  | Los Angeles | City of Bell<br>Gardens  | 8 months  | 8 months        | 8 months  | The City needed to include this project in an FTIP amendment and cannot advertise and award the project contract until the amendment is approved.   |
| 89       | 2.8b.(4)                             | Contract Award - ATP                            | 1     | 2190E | Alameda     | City of Alameda          | 6 months  | 6 months        | 6 Months  | The City needed to make changes to their Remedial Action Work plan at the request of the Department of Toxic Substance Control.   |
| 90       | 2.8d                                 | Project Expenditure - STIP                      | 1     | 2572  | MON         | City of Marina           | 20 months | 20 months       | 20 months | Delays in PAED due to the RFP process taking longer due to the extensive scope of work. Once advertised, only one response was received. A Cost Effectiveness/Public Interest finding had to be approved. Once the firm was approved, they had to go through a preward audit that added to delay. |